

Originally published as:

Hanke, K., Kramer, P., Seeher, S., Beimforde, N., Kurth, R., Bannert, N. Reconstitution of the ancestral glycoprotein of human endogenous retrovirus K and modulation of its functional activity by truncation of the cytoplasmic domain (2009) Journal of Virology, 83 (24), pp. 12790-12800.

DOI: 10.1128/JVI.01368-09

This article is not available in the publisher's version.

1	Reconstitution of the Ancestral Glycoprotein of a Human Endogenous						
2	Retrovirus-K and Modulation of its Functional Activity by Truncation of the						
3	Cytoplasmic Domain						
4							
5	Kirsten Hanke ^{1§} , Philipp H	Kramer ^{1§} , Sandra Seeher ¹ , Nadine Beimforde ^{1,2} , Reinhard Kurth ¹ ,					
6	Norbert Bannert ^{1*}						
7							
8	¹ Robert Koch Institute, Cer	ntre for Biological Safety 4, Nordufer 20, 13353 Berlin, Germany					
9	² Charite-Universitätsmediz	in Berlin, Hindenburgdamm 30, 12203 Berlin, Germany					
10							
11	Running Title: Reconstruct	ion and characterization of HERV-K Env					
12	§ K.H. and P.K. contributed	d equally to this work.					
13							
14	*Corresponding author:	Robert Koch Institute					
15		Centre for Biological Safety 4					
16		Nordufer 20					
17		13353 Berlin					
18		Germany					
19		Phone: +49 (0) 30-4547-2549					
20		Fax: +49 (0) 30-4547-2334					
21		Email: <u>BannertN@rki.de</u>					
22							
23	Abstract Word Count: 241						
24	Text Word Count: 8721						
25							

1 Abstract

2 Endogenous retroviruses present in the human genome provide a rich record of ancient 3 infections. All presently recognized elements, including the youngest and most intact 4 proviruses of the Human Endogenous Retrovirus-K(HML-2) family, have suffered 5 postinsertional mutations during their time of chromosomal residence and genes encoding the 6 envelope glycoprotein (Env) have not been spared these mutations. In this study we have, for 7 the first time, reconstituted an authentic Env of a HERV-K(HML-2) provirus by back-8 mutation of putative postinsertional amino acid changes of the protein encoded by HERV-9 K113. Supported by codon-optimized expression we demonstrate that the reconstituted Env 10 has regained its ability to be incorporated into retroviral particles and to mediate entry. The 11 original ancient HERV-K113 Env is synthesized as a moderately glycosylated gp95 precursor 12 protein cleaved into surface (SU) and transmembrane (TM) subunits. Of the nine N-linked 13 oligosaccharides, four are part of the TM subunit contributing 15 kDa to its apparent 14 molecular mass of 41 kDa. The carbohydrates as well as the cytoplasmic tail are critical for 15 efficient intracellular trafficking, processing, stability and particle incorporation. Whereas 16 deletions of the carboxy-terminal six residues completely abrogate cleavage and virion 17 association, more extensive truncations slightly enhance incorporation but dramatically 18 increase the ability to mediate entry of pseudotyped lentiviruses. Although the first HERV-19 K(HML-2) elements infected human ancestors about 30 million years ago, our findings 20 indicate that their glycoproteins are in most respects remarkably similar to classical 21 contemporary retroviruses and can still mediate efficient entry into mammalian cells.

22

23

24

1 Introduction

2 Retroviruses that infect germ line cells or their precursors can become vertically transmitted genetic elements and spread in a host population during subsequent generations (35). About 3 4 8% of the human genome consists of stably integrated endogenous retroviruses acquired 5 during early and more recent evolution by our primate and hominid ancestors. These fossils 6 are grouped into several distinct families (2, 42). Their sequence and genomic structure 7 typically resemble one of the genera of current exogenous retroviruses providing an 8 exceptional archive for the study of many aspects of viral and host co-evolution and its 9 dynamics (25). In contrast to humans, several animals including mice and sheep contain 10 replication competent present-day exogenous and endogenized forms of the same retrovirus 11 (1, 10). The most striking example is the koala, a species with a profound ongoing 12 endogenization burst with a highly oncogenic gamma etrovirus (52).

13 The most recently integrated human elements belong to the betaretrovirus-like HERV-14 K(HML-2) family (7, 25, 54). Infectious viruses of this family appear to have started invading 15 the chromosomes before the evolutionary split of Old World monkeys and hominoids about 16 30 million years ago (41, 50). Several of its members are human specific, indicating a 17 continuing active replication in our ancestors following the deviation of the chimpanzee 18 lineage 5-6 million years ago (4, 7, 12). The recent acquisition of several HERV-K(HML-2) 19 elements is further substantiated by the lack of fixation (not present in all individuals) (6, 54). 20 However, during their residency in the host genome every one of the currently known 21 proviruses has suffered from mutations, deletions or recombination events. In many cases 22 homologous recombination has left only a single LTR at the integration site (31). None of the 23 more complete proviruses appear to be replication competent, although some of them have 24 retained the capacity to form particles (8, 11). Recently, infectious HERV-K(HML-2) viruses 25 have been produced by generating consensus sequences based on human specific elements 26 and, in an alternative approach, by assembling functional regions of three authentic proviruses into a single element (21, 39). These studies clearly demonstrate that (i) HERV-K(HML-2) is
able to form viral particles infecting human cells and (ii) certain recombination events such as
template switching during reverse transcription or gene conversion might re-establish fully
functional chimeric HERV-K(HML-2) elements by combining conserved sequences from
partially crippled proviruses.

6 One of the best preserved full length HERV-K(HML-2) elements is HERV-K113 (5, 54). Due 7 to its low prevalence of less than 20% it escaped recognition by the Human Genome Project 8 and the provirus was identified in a human BAC library on chromosome 19p13.11 of an 9 unknown DNA donor (54). As previously reported by us and by others, despite a functional 10 LTR promoter and open reading frames for all proteins, a few substitutions in the reverse 11 transcriptase and one critical substitution in the gag gene of the provirus contribute to its lack 12 of replication (5, 29, 54). In addition, the envelope protein of the cloned HERV-K113 13 provirus is not incorporated into viral particles, suggesting further postinsertional damage by 14 mutation(s) (5, 20). These changes are not necessarily present in all HERV-K113 variants in 15 the human population since some degree of polymorphism between carriers from different 16 ethnic groups can be expected (44). Thus functional HERV-K113 envelope genes might still 17 exist in some humans, as has been demonstrated for the related HERV-K108 element (20).

18 Retroviral envelope proteins are synthesized as fusion inactive precursor glycoproteins which 19 trimerize and get cleaved by furin-like endopeptidases in the Golgi compartment of the cell 20 into SU and TM subunits (32, 38). The mature N-glycosylated trimeric proteins eventually 21 reach the cell membrane where they become incorporated into particles (18). The cytoplasmic 22 domain of the protein has been shown to be critical for transport, incorporation and 23 fusogenicity of virions (9, 13, 15, 37). Some retroviruses release a short carboxy-terminal 24 R-peptide, a step necessary for full fusogenic activity of the glycoprotein (27). With the 25 exception of the syncytin-1 and -2 glycoproteins which are the fusogenic envelope proteins of 26 a HERV-W and HERV-FRD element involved in the formation of the placental

syncytiotrophoblast layer, the envelope proteins of other HERVs are poorly characterized
 (14).

3 In the present report we have identified inactivating mutations in the envelope gene of a 4 present day HERV-K113 provirus. All or almost all of the changes most likely occurred 5 gradually following integration in the genome more than a million years ago. In this case the 6 already endogenous virus was probably able to replicate for a certain period of time, although 7 damaging pre-integrational mutations introduced by the RNA polymerase or during reverse 8 transcription by errors of the enzyme and by the action of APOBEC proteins can not be 9 completely ruled out. We reconstituted the original sequence presumed to exist at the time of 10 integration. Facilitated by codon-optimized expression we investigated various aspects of the 11 glycoprotein and carboxy-terminal truncation mutants in terms of cleavage, N-glycosylation, 12 incorporation into viral particles and mediation of viral entry into target cells.

- **1** Materials and Methods:
- 2

3 Cell culture.

4 HEK 293T, Tera-1, HeLa and CrFK cells were cultured in complete Dulbecco's Modified
5 Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% FBS, penicillin (50 U/ml), streptomycin (50 μg/ml)
6 and L-glutamine (2 mM).

7

8 Codon optimization, mutagenesis and cloning of Env constructs.

9 A synthetic version of the HERV-K113 (GenBank AY037928) Env protein, optimized for 10 expression in mammalian cells, was designed and synthesized by Geneart (Regensburg, Germany). The codon optimized sequence, coEnv (Fig. S1), was cloned into a C-terminal V5-11 12 tag-containing pcDNA3 expression vector (Invitrogen) using the EcoRI/ NotI cleavage sites. 13 The construct for the expression of the putative ancestral (original) oricoEnv-V5 14 (pcDNAoricoEnv-V5) as well as all derived mutants were generated using the QuickChange 15 Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit or the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit 16 (Stratagene) and primer pairs listed in Fig. S2. These mutants include the N-glycosylation 17 the deficient variant oricoEnvGly, cleavage site mutants oricoEnvCS⁻ and 18 oricoEnvCS MMTV, the C-terminal truncation mutants oricoEnv∆659-699, oricoEnv∆680-19 699, oricoEnv∆693-699 and the envelope proteins without V5-tag. The last four were 20 generated by the introduction of stop codons at the respective positions in pcDNAoricoEnv-21 V5. All mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

22

23 Envelope expression analysis.

For Env expression analysis, 4×10^6 cells grown in 100 mm dishes were transfected with the appropriate expression plasmid using the calcium phosphate method. Cells were lysed in 500 µl lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA,

1 and protease inhibitor mix (Roche)) and cell debris was removed by centrifugation. For 2 immunoprecipitation experiments 25 μ l of α V5 sepharose (Sigma) were added to the lysate 3 and incubated on an overhead shaker for 4 h. The sepharose beads were washed 5 times with 4 washing buffer (1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.02% SDS and protease inhibitor mix), mixed with Laemmli sample buffer (BioRad), briefly 5 6 boiled and pelleted. For simple immunoblotting, the cleared lysates were directly mixed with 7 sample buffer and cleared by centrifugation before being subjected to SDS-PAGE. The 8 separated proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene diflouride membrane (Roth) using the 9 semi dry transfer method. The membranes were blocked in blocking buffer (PBS, 5% 10 skimmed milk powder, 0.1% Tween) and finally incubated with specific antibodies. An α V5-11 HRP antibody (Invitrogen) was used for quantification. It was detected by a secondary Alexa 12 Fluor 680 donkey anti-mouse IgG before measurement of band fluorescence intensity using 13 an Odyssey Scanning and Analysis (LI-COR) unit. In experiments without quantification, 14 α V5-HRP or TM specific (HERM-1811-5, Austral Biologicals) monoclonal antibodies were 15 used in conjunction with an HRP-conjugated secondary anti-mouse antibody. Bands were 16 visualized using Enhanced Chemiluminescence Reagents (Pierce).

17

18 Immunofluorescence microscopy.

Cells (1 x 10^5) were grown in chamber slides (Nalge Nunc) and transfected with 0.2 µg of 19 20 plasmid DNA using Effectene Reagent (Qiagen). After 48 h, cells were fixed with 2% 21 paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min, rinsed briefly with PBS, permeabilized with PBS-22 buffered 0.5% Triton-X 100 and again washed 3 times with PBS. After blocking with Marvel 23 (1% non fat milk in PBS) for 20 min, cells were incubated with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer for 60 min at 37 °C. HERM-1811-5 (Austral Biologicals) or aV5 (Serotec) 24 were used as primary antibodies. The slides were washed 3 times with PBS and a Cy3-25 26 conjugated mouse specific secondary antibody (Sigma) diluted 1:200 was added for 30 min.

After extensive washing the cells were mounted in Mowiol and examined using a Zeiss LSM
 510 confocal laser scanning microscope.

3

4 **Deglycosylation experiments.**

5 PNGase F deglycosylation experiments were performed with precipitated V5-tagged envelope 6 proteins immunoprecipitated from lysates of transfected cells grown in 6-well plates. Cells 7 were lysed 48 h post transfection in 200 µl lysis buffer. The precipitates were briefly boiled in 8 1x denaturation buffer at 98 °C for 10 min and 3 µl 10x G7- buffer (New England Biolabs), 3 9 µl 10% NP-40 and 1500 units of N-glycosidase F (PNGase F, New England Biolabs) were 10 added for digestion. The tubes were incubated for various times at 37 °C and the 11 deglycosylation reaction was stopped by adding Laemmli sample buffer (BioRad). The 12 proteins were immunoblotted using the α V5- HRP specific antibody (Invitrogen).

13 To inhibit intracellular N-glycosylation, cells were preincubated for 2.5 h with DMEM 14 containing 30 or 50 μ g/ml of tunicamycin. After the pretreatment, cells were transfected using 15 the Polyfect Transfection Reagent Kit (Qiagen) and incubated for an additional 24 h in 16 medium containing tunicamycin before lysis and immunoblotting.

17

18 **Production of pseudotyped SHIV reporter viruses.**

The SIVmac based retroviral vectors pSIvec1 Δ envLuc and pSIvec1 Δ envGFP, the HIV-1-Rev expressing plasmid pCMV-Rev and the plasmid pSVIII- Δ KS (expressing a non-functional HIV-1 envelope to allow non-specific virus uptake to be determined) are described elsewhere (3). To generate infectious SHIV particles capable of a single round of infection, 4 x 10⁶ HEK 23293T cells were transfected with 15 µg of pSIvec1 Δ envLuci, 5 µg pCMV-Rev and 0.5 µg of an envelope expression vector in 10 cm dishes using the calcium phosphate method. At 2 days post-transfection, supernatants were harvested, centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min and

- filtered through 0.45 μm pore-sized membranes to remove residual cells. Gag-specific ELISA
 and RT-activity (GE Healthcare) were used to assess and normalize virus preparations.
- 3

4 Ultracentrifugation of cell supernatants.

5 Viral particles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation of cell supernatants through a 20%
6 sucrose cushion for 2 h, at 4 °C and 175,000g. The viral pellet was resuspended in 50 μl of
7 0.05 M Hepes, pH 7.2.

8

9 Infectivity assays.

For entry experiments, CrFK target cells were sown in 6-well plates (600,000 cells/well). The next day, cells were rinsed with PBS and incubated with 400 µl normalized virus supernatant and 200 µl DMEM for 2 h before addition of 1.5 ml DMEM medium and culturing for 2 days. Infection was determined by measuring the luciferase activity in cell lysates using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacture's instructions.

15

1 Results

2 Enhanced expression of the HERV-K113 envelope protein.

The Env of HERV-K(HML-2) is translated from a singly spliced transcript. A second splicing 3 4 event removing large parts of the coding region results in the mRNA for the 5 nucleocytoplasmic shuttle protein Rec at the expense of Env (Fig. 1). This internal splicing, 6 the low GC content of 42% and the use of rare codons are the most likely causes for the poor 7 expression of the HERV-K(HML-2) envelope protein from CMV promoter-driven constructs 8 (5). To overcome this limitation for the Env of HERV-K113, which significantly hampers a 9 functional characterization of the protein, we have generated a synthetic version optimized for 10 expression in mammalian cells by synonymous exchange of 71% of the codons (no change in 11 amino acid sequence) and raising the GC content of the sequence to 63%. The codon 12 optimization and the concomitant removal of the internal splice sites resulted in a drastic 13 increase in expression (over 50-fold) as demonstrated by immunoprecipitation (Fig. 2A) of 14 the glycoproteins from transiently transfected HEK 293T cells and by immunofluorescence 15 microscopy (Fig. 2B). For immunoprecipitation and detection purposes, a V5-tag that 16 increases the molecular size by 1.7 kDa has been fused to the C-terminus of wtEnv and 17 coEnv. On immunoblots, specific bands with apparent molecular masses in the range of about 18 75-95 kDa and 32-38 kDa are visible (Fig. 2A), sizes that match those expected for the 19 glycosylated precursor protein and the transmembrane (TM) subunit (5).

20

21 Reconstitution of the ancestral sequence of the HERV-K113 envelope protein.

We and others have previously reported that the HERV-K113 envelope protein is not incorporated into viral particles (5, 20) and it was assumed that the cause lay in postinsertional mutations acquired during its time in the human genome. In an approach similar to that recently used to generate two related HERV-K(HML-2) consensus sequences (21, 39), we aligned the amino acid sequence of HERV-K113 Env with the corresponding

1 sequences of 10 well-preserved human specific elements belonging to this family to identify 2 and repair postinsertional amino acid substitutions in the HERV-K113 coEnv protein (Fig. 3). 3 To differentiate between authentic polymorphisms in the integrating ancient viruses and 4 postinsertional changes, we assumed a nonsynonymous postinsertional mutation if none or 5 only one of the aligned proviruses matched the amino acid of HERV-K113 and assumed a 6 shared polymorphism if two or more of the elements had the same amino acid as HERV-7 K113, even if different from the consensus sequence. Three such variable positions (T137, 8 I369 and V676) were identified and left unchanged (Fig. 3). In contrast, 8 putative protein-9 relevant postinsertional substitutions were identified, 4 in the SU and 4 in the TM region (Fig. 10 3). Using site-directed mutagenesis we reversed these 8 amino acids in the pcDNAcoEnv-V5 11 plasmid, taking the consensus amino acids as the originals. The resulting construct, 12 pcDNAoricoEnv-V5, encodes a V5-tagged version of the putatively ancestral HERV-K113 13 envelope protein existing at the time of integration into a human ancestor.

14

15 The original HERV-K113 envelope protein is moderately N-glycosylated.

16 We first compared the expression of coEnv (present day) and oricoEnv (original) by 17 immunoblotting of lysates from transfected HEK 293T, Tera-1 and HeLa cells. Although both 18 glycoproteins had similar levels of expression, considerable differences in the processing and 19 migration patterns of the presumed TM subunits were seen. Although the ratio of precursor to 20 TM varied somewhat from lysate to lysate, oricoEnv was more efficiently cleaved than coEnv 21 in all experiments. The presumed TM subunit of oricoEnv-V5 migrates as a 43 kDa band in 22 all cells tested. In contrast, coEnv-V5 is very inefficiently cleaved into a 35 kDa protein in 23 Tera-1 cells, and in HEK 293T and HeLa cells a fuzzy doublet of about 33 and 35 kDa can be 24 seen (Fig. 4A).

Differences in the carbohydrate composition of the two proteins were considered to be a
likely reason for the discrepancy in size. CoEnv contains 10 consensus NXS/T motifs for

1 N-linked glycosylation (23, 55), with two of the sites (N506 and N507) being adjacent 2 (Fig. 3). The N506K back mutation altered this motif giving 9 potential sites for N-linked carbohydrates in oricoEnv: 5 in the SU subunit and four in the ectodomain of TM (Fig. 4B). 3 4 Treatment of precipitated glycoproteins from transfected HeLa cells with peptide-N-5 glycosidase F (PNGase F), which removes all N-linked glycans, decreased the apparent 6 molecular weight of the presumed oricoEnv-V5 TM subunit to 28 kDa (Fig. 4C), the size 7 calculated for the non-glycosylated TM-V5 subunits. However, the supposed TM doublet of 8 coEnv-V5 was also shifted by PNGase F treatment although the deglycosylated proteins 9 clearly migrated above 28 kDa, indicating further N-terminal processing sites and a lower 10 carbohydrate content of the TM subunit compared to that of oricoEnv. The precursor sizes of 11 approximately 95 and 70 kDa are consistent with the molecular masses of the glycosylated 12 and non-glycosylated proteins (the theoretical size of the unmodified protein without signal 13 peptide is 68 kDa).

14 To ascertain the nature of the detected protein bands we incubated pcDNAoricoEnv-V5 15 transfected HEK 293T cells with tunicamycin, an agent that prevents the transfer of 16 saccharidic units to the nascent peptide chain in the ER. Immunoblot analysis show that 17 tunicamycin treatment results in the disappearance of the glycosylated precursor and TM 18 bands and instead a protein pattern similar to that observed after PNGase F treatment (70 kDa 19 precursor and 28 kDa TM) is seen (Fig. 4D). The TM protein in tunicamycin treated cells is 20 barely visible, indicating an inefficient furine protease-mediated cleavage of the non-21 glycosylated protein. This assumption is further strengthened by the expression and 22 immunoblot analysis of a mutant oricoEnv protein with all nine potential N-glycosylation 23 sites mutated by changing the serine or threonine residue of the NXS/T motif into alanine. 24 The oricoEnvGly protein shows a band pattern similar to that already described for cells treated with moderate levels of tunicamycin (Fig. 4E). Together, these results illustrate that 25 26 prevention of N-linked glycosylation results in a 70 kDa precursor protein whose processing

is extremely inefficient. Furthermore, the strength of a specific 64 kDa band increases with treatment. This protein appears to represent an N-terminal truncation product of the unglycosylated precursor, suggesting a protective role for the N-terminal glycans against cellular proteases and degradation.

5 Interestingly, the position of one of the potentially glycosylated asparagines (N461) is only 5 6 amino acids upstream of the predicted furin cleavage site (Fig. 4B). An N-glycan at this 7 location might influence the processing efficiency of the precursor protein. To test this by preventing glycosylation at this position we substituted the serine in the ⁴⁶¹NRSKR sequence 8 to alanine (⁴⁶¹NRAKR). This mutation obliterates the glycosylation signal and changes the 9 10 supposed cleavage site motif of oricoEnv into a sequence matching that of the closely related 11 betaretrovirus mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) (Fig. 4B). As shown in Fig. 4F, this 12 substitution had no significant effect on processing efficiency. In contrast, replacing the positively charged amino acids in the cleavage site by alanine (⁴⁶¹NASAA mutant) completely 13 14 abolished the release of the 43 kDa TM subunit. This result therefore implies that either N461 15 is not glycosylated or that the carbohydrate at this location neither inhibits nor enhances processing. Furthermore, the ⁴⁶¹NASAA mutant provides further evidence that the 43 kDa 16 17 TM protein is generated by processing at the assumed SU/TM cleavage site.

18

19 Incorporation of the reconstituted HERV-K113 Env into lentiviral particles is 20 modulated by C-terminal sequences.

We next investigated whether or not the HERV-K113 oricoEnv protein is incorporated into lentiviral particles. Together with vectors for the expression of the lentiviral proteins and the packaged RNA containing a luciferase gene, 0.5 µg of an Env plasmid of choice were included to produce pseudotyped SHIV-reporter particles in transfected HEK 293T cells, as described previously (3). Furthermore, for several retroviruses a C-terminal truncation of the cytoplasmic tail has been shown to influence particle incorporation and/or fusogenic

1 properties of the envelope protein (13-15). We therefore created three mutants with C-2 terminal truncations, namely oricoEnv Δ 659-699, oricoEnv Δ 680-699 and oricoEnv Δ 693-699 3 (Fig. 5A), and included them with the cleavage and glycosylation minus mutants 4 oricoEnvGly and oricoEnvCS in the experiments. All proteins were expressed at 5 approximately equivalent levels in HEK 293T cells (Fig. 5B). The Env proteins were detected 6 using a commercially available monoclonal antibody that recognizes an epitope in the 7 ectodomain of the TM subunit and the changes in size of the truncated TM subunits were 8 noticeable for oricoEnv Δ 659-699 and oricoEnv Δ 680-699 (Fig. 5). Interestingly, no processing 9 occurred with the oricoEnv Δ 693-699 mutant that lacks just seven amino acids (Fig. 5B, left 10 panel). To examine incorporation into virions, the supernatants were harvested, carefully 11 clarified and concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion. Immunoblot analysis of the pellets using the TM specific antibody revealed varying degrees of 12 13 incorporation into SHIV particles (Fig 5B, right panel). Whereas no incorporation was 14 detectable for coEnv, oricoEnvA693-699 and the glycosylation and cleavage deficient 15 oricoEnv mutants, substantial incorporation of oricoEnvA680-699 and oricoEnvA659-699 16 was observed at levels approximately 2 and 5 times higher, respectively, than the parental 17 oricoEnv. It is of particular interest that the mutant almost completely lacking the cytoplasmic 18 tail (oricoEnv Δ 659-699) is exclusively incorporated as the cleaved glycoprotein.

19 Together, these results demonstrate that progressive truncation of the HERV-K Env20 cytoplasmic tail influences processing and particle association.

21

The ancestral HERV-K113 envelope protein mediates entry of retroviral particles and its infectivity can be augmented by an extensive C-terminal truncation.

The infectivity of the SHIV-luciferase reporter particles carrying various envelope proteins
was tested next. The single round entry assays were performed using Crandell feline kidney

1 (CrFK) cells or dog Cf2Th cells as targets and virus suspensions normalized for reverse 2 transcriptase activity. CrFK cells have been previously reported to be susceptible to infection with HERV-K(HML-2) (39). Although specific entry of virions carrying coEnv or 3 4 oricoEnv∆693-699 could not be detected (Fig. 6), significant entry was achieved by 5 pseudotyping with the ancestral oricoEnv, giving levels of luciferase activity two orders of 6 magnitude higher than the background determined with a non-functional HIV envelope 7 protein (ΔKS) (3). Similar results were obtained in experiments with Cf2Th cells (data not shown). Unexpectedly, the efficiencies of entry with oricoEnv∆680-699 and oricoEnv∆659-8 9 699 pseudotypes were even 10 or 100-fold higher, respectively (Fig. 6). In parallel 10 experiments using pseudotypes expressing GFP instead of luciferase, flow cytometry revealed 11 that up to 30% of the target cells were infected with oricoEnv Δ 659-699 (data not shown). Therefore, in contrast to the modern proviral HERV-K113 envelope protein, the putative 12 13 ancestral envelope is efficiently incorporated into retroviral particles and mediates entry. 14 Removal of the last 7 amino acids of the glycoprotein completely blocked cleavage and 15 particle incorporation and although truncation of the cytoplasmic tail by 20 or 42 C-terminal 16 amino acids resulted in only a slightly higher incorporation there was a much stronger 17 enhancement of virus entry.

18

19 Two arginine-to-cysteine mutations are the predominant cause for the loss of coEnv20 function.

To further analyze the impact of the postinsertional mutations in the *env* gene of HERV-K113 we generated coEnv Δ 659-699 constructs containing the SU or TM sequences of oricoEnv. These two envelope proteins (coEnv Δ 659-699 SU-orico and coEnv Δ 659-699 TM-orico) did not facilitate entry of pseudotyped reporter viruses, indicating that mutations in both the SU and the TM subunit of coEnv contribute to the lack of entry (Fig. 7A). Eight oricoEnv Δ 6591 699 mutants, each carrying a single reversion, were then generated in order to identify the 2 extent with each of the eight postinsertional mutations contributes to the loss of coEnv 3 function. Entry experiments using these pseudotyped reporter viruses showed that only the 4 R140C mutation in the SU subunit and the R577C mutation in the TM essentially completely 5 abolish entry whereas the K506N and P626T mutations each reduce entry by a factor of 6 approximately 10 (Fig. 7A). Similar results were obtained with mutants in the context of the 7 full length oricoEnv protein (Fig. 7B).

1 Discussion

2 Cell entry of retroviruses is triggered by a specific interaction of the viral envelope glycoprotein with one or more receptor proteins in the cellular membrane. The receptor 3 4 expression profile largely defines the virus's cellular tropism. Despite being present in many 5 healthy and tumorigenic human tissues and being capable of pseudotyping other retroviruses, 6 the envelope protein of HERV-K(HML-2) has been only poorly characterized (17, 20). Two 7 major obstacles have long hampered the study of the protein's fundamental characteristics: the 8 inefficient protein expression in mammalian cells, even when under the control of strong 9 promoters, and the uncertainty concerning the impact of postinsertional mutations (53). 10 Recently however, recognition that the HERV-K108 glycoprotein has residual functional 11 activity plus the *in silico* generation of consensus prototypic HERV-K(HML-2) sequences 12 have added considerably to our basic understanding of the virus and its proteins (20, 21, 39).

The data presented here describe a strategy to overcome the poor expression and document efforts to reconstitute a HERV-K(HML-2) Env protein coded for by the HERV-K113 element that originally entered the human germ line as an infectious retrovirus more than 800,000 years ago (33, 54). This provirus is one of the most studied elements. It has preserved open reading frames for all viral proteins, but has been inactivated by postinsertional mutations effecting, amongst others, the expression and function of Env (5, 29).

19 The use of a synthetic DNA sequence optimized for mammalian cells elevated the expression 20 of HERV-K113 Env by a factor of over 50 compared with that of the proviral sequence. 21 Codon optimization has previously been successfully used to boost the expression of several 22 other retroviral proteins, including envelope glycoproteins (19, 45). Full-length retroviral 23 genomes require a balanced expression of their proteins for optimal replication and their 24 DNA, RNA and protein sequences are under a multitude of constrains and selective pressures. 25 The consequential use of rare codons, low GC content, unstable RNA and internal splicing, 26 (e.g. the generation of Rec transcripts from HERV-K env mRNA) results in submaximal levels of expression. A limited expression of toxic viral proteins can as well minimize adverse
effects on cell viability. Viral RNA is also a target for antiviral responses that result in
specific transcript degradation and low protein expression. Recently a large number of
microRNAs has been identified that target exogenous and endogenous retroviruses, including
HERV-K (28). The role played by such silencing small RNAs in the inefficient expression of
HERV-K proteins deserves further investigation.

7 The efficient expression of the Env protein prompted us to identify and correct mutations that 8 have altered the original protein sequence of the primordial infectious retrovirus and to 9 perform a comparative study with the contemporary Env. Such sequence changes may have 10 been already introduced before integration, e.g. by the RNA polymerase in the producer cell, 11 during reverse transcription, as a result of the inherent low fidelity of the enzyme or by the 12 action of APOBEC deaminases. Alternatively, the mutations have occurred gradually over 13 evolutionary time since integration. Because it is unlikely that there are more than one or two 14 RT errors in a single round and as the action of APOBEC deaminases has not been shown to 15 significantly effect HERV-K113 in a recent report (40), it is likely that most, if not all, of the 16 mutations occurred after integration.

17 Although the method used to identify postinsertional changes was based, like those previously 18 used to generate consensus sequences (21, 39), on sequence alignments, it also allows likely 19 mutations and shared polymorphisms in a minority of elements to be discriminated (29). The 20 young age of the 11 proviruses used for alignment makes it very unlikely that the shared 21 polymorphisms are the result of matching postinsertional mutations in more than two 22 individual proviruses or of ectopic recombination events (30) between the env genes of 23 HERV-K113 and two or more of the other aligned elements, although these cannot be 24 completely ruled out.

The assumed shared polymorphisms account for three amino acid differences between the reconstituted HERV-K113 Env and the deduced consensus sequence (Fig. 3). In contrast,

1 amongst the aligned sequences eight amino acids are unique to the contemporary HERV-2 K113 Env or match with only one element. The corresponding non-synonymous mutations 3 were therefore assumed to be postinsertional changes and were inverted to restore the original 4 protein sequence. As shown by the immunoblot TM band, the reversions yielding oricoEnv 5 altered the protein's N-glycan pattern. Although one consensus N-glycosylation motif in the 6 TM subunit was lost by the N506K back mutation, an increase in the apparent molecular mass 7 was seen. Aberrant folding and impaired transport through the ER-Golgi compartment are the 8 likely reasons for the peculiar glycosylation, migration characteristic in the Western blot, and 9 the reduced stability of the modern HERV-K113 Env. This is consistent with the very low 10 level of surface expression and lack of incorporation into viral particles (20). In contrast, the 11 TM subunit of the reconstituted original protein (oricoEnv) displayed a more regular 12 glycosylation pattern, reached the cell surface (data not shown) and was efficiently 13 incorporated into lentiviral particles. In agreement with the known consensus sequences for 14 HERV-K(HML-2), oricoEnv contains nine potential N-glycosylation sites, 5 in the SU and 4 15 in the TM subunit. The number and SU/TM ratio of 5/4 are similar to that of Jaagsiekte sheep 16 retrovirus (JSRV; ratio 6/3). The mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV; ratio 3/2) or syncytin 17 1 (HERV-W; ratio 6/1) are less glycosylated (14). Deglycosylation experiments shifted the 18 V5-tagged oricoEnv TM by 15 kDa from 43 kDa to 28 kDa. Assuming a reduction of at least 19 2.8 kDa per oligosaccharide (14), it is likely that all four N-glycosylations sites predicted for 20 TM are modified in HEK 293T cells and probably in most other human cells. The same seems 21 also to be true for the five sites in the oricoEnv SU subunit. Focusing on the HERV-K108 22 glycoprotein, which contains one additional N-glycosylation site in its SU subunit, 23 Dewannieux and colleagues demonstrated a 20 kDa shift following PNGase F digestion (20). 24 This result and the size difference between the glycosylated and non-glycosylated precursor 25 proteins indicate that most, if not all, theoretical sites carry an N-linked carbohydrate. Of 26 particular interest in this respect is N461 that lays only 5 amino acids upstream of the furin

cleavage site (⁴⁶¹NRSKR). Since cleavage occurs after initial glycosylation, 1 an 2 oligosaccharide at this position might influence processing efficiency (55) and indeed, an impaired cleavage has previously been shown for HERV-K(HML-2) Env proteins (8). To test 3 4 this, we substituted the serine with alanine, eliminating the glycosylation motif and 5 immediately creating the cleavage site of MMTV (26). The mutated protein was cleaved with 6 the same efficiency as the oricoEnv protein, indicating that in HEK 293T cells, at least, the 7 presence of an N-glycosylation site close to the cleavage site does not impede the furin 8 protease or asparagine 461 is not glycosylated. Alternatively, a cleavage enhancement by the N-glycan prevention in the ⁴⁶¹NRAKR mutant might be exactly balanced by a negative effect 9 10 of the serine to alanine mutation. As tunicamycin treatment or expression of non-glycosylated 11 oricoEnv resulted in poor processing and a susceptibility to intracellular proteolysis, it would 12 appear that efficient cleavage of Env depends on proper glycosylation. Several studies have 13 already described the importance of extensive SU and TM glycosylation for folding, 14 transport, processing, fusogenicity and immunological shielding of numerous retroviral 15 envelope proteins (36, 47, 49). For HIV-1, which contains between 18 and 33 N-linked 16 carbohydrates (4 in TM), mutation of glycosylation sites in gp41 has been shown to lead to a 17 considerable inhibition of Env transport, cleavage and fusion activity (16, 23, 55). This 18 impediment results from a substantial arrest of the protein in the Golgi (23). Furthermore, 19 non-glycosylated HERV-W envelope proteins also remain trapped in the secretory 20 compartment as misfolded proteins (14).

In agreement with these observations and with previously published data, it was not possible to demonstrate incorporation of the contemporary HERV-K Env (coEnv) into lentiviral particles or the production of infectious virus (5, 20). It is likely that one or both of the arginine to cysteine mutations which render the protein non-functional prevent the correct folding of the Env protein, which in turn results in aberrant glycosylation and a lack of particle incorporation. In contrast to coEnv, pseudotyping of SHIV particles by the ancient oricoEnv was very efficient. The protein was able to efficiently mediate entry into target cells,
 demonstrating a functional reconstitution. In contrast, abrogating N-glycosylation or
 preventing processing into SU and TM subunits by mutation of the cleavage site dramatically
 reduced oricoEnv particle incorporation.

5 HERV-K(HML-2) Env has a relatively short putative cytoplasmic tail of only 44 amino acids 6 (20) and truncation of the cytoplasmic tail is known to modulate particle incorporation and 7 the fusogenicity of retroviral glycoproteins (13, 15, 37). In the case of MLV and related 8 gammaretroviruses, the R-peptide, a short C-terminal peptide, is cleaved off by the viral 9 protease during maturation, a step necessary for full fusogenicity. Although there is no 10 evidence for the presence of an R-peptide in HERV-K(HML-2), we addressed whether 11 arbitrary progressive C-terminal truncations of oricoEnv by insertion of stop codons would 12 effect Env incorporation and associated viral functions. Surprisingly, the mutant with the 13 shortest deletion (seven amino acids) had a late maturation defect with no cleavage or particle 14 incorporation. The intermediate truncation of 18 amino acids did not significantly affect 15 processing and incorporation efficiency but particle infectivity was increased by a factor of 16 10. A further truncation of 41 amino acids, leaving only 3 amino acids of the putative 17 cytoplasmic domain, yielded particles bearing approximately 5-fold more Env but having a 18 capacity for fusion almost 100-fold higher than with the full-length oricoEnv. Although the 19 underlying mechanism for this difference remains unclear, it is possible that the higher 20 glycoprotein content with the short-tailed Env helps the budding lentiviral particle by 21 reducing the interference between the matrix protein of the nascent Gag shell and the C-22 terminal tail (13, 24, 43). Indeed, envelopes with short cytoplasmic tails are known to be 23 better incorporated into SIV particles (34, 48). Alternatively, the mutant might have improved 24 kinetics of transport and maturation or a lower rate of endocytosis resulting in a higher 25 glycoprotein concentration at the budding site.

1 Truncation of the Env cytoplasmic tail has been reported to augment fusogenicity for a 2 number of retroviruses (13, 46, 51). For the HERV-K Env it is tempting to speculate that the 3 predominant or almost exclusive incorporation of completely processed glycoproteins is one 4 reason for the considerably higher infectivity using the short-tailed truncation mutants. Other 5 possible explanations include an increased mobility in the viral membrane (which could 6 promote Env clustering during fusion) or an inherent structural difference in the TM 7 ectodomain that favours fusogenicity (22, 56). Further studies are needed to elucidate the 8 exact cause of this enhancement and to determine its relevance for integration into HERV-K 9 particles.

10 Given the abundance and polymorphism of endogenous retrovirus-K elements in humans and 11 other primates it is likely that many more functional HERV-K(HML-2) glycoproteins are to 12 be found in several genomes in addition to the known functional HERV-K108 Env. The 13 reconstitution and efficient expression of the original ancient HERV-K113 Env protein 14 facilitated rational studies of the N-glycosylation, processing, incorporation and mediation of 15 entry of this fossil retrovirus. The results show that the protein can pseudotype retroviruses 16 and mediate efficient entry into cells. Its use as a functional model protein should assist in the 17 search for the cellular receptor and in studies focusing on the pathophysiologic as well as 18 physiologic implications of HERV-K(HML-2) Env expression.

1 Acknowledgments

We thank Sandra Klein for her excellent technical support and Dr. Kazimierz Madela for providing his expertise with the confocal microscope. We are grateful to Steve Norley for his critical reading of the manuscript and helpful discussions. The work was supported in part by a donation from the Heinz Kuthe de Mouson Legacy to R.K.

1 Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Genomic organization of the HERV-K113 provirus and its envelope protein. The Env precursor protein is translated from a singly spliced RNA and is composed of the N-terminal signalpeptide (SP), the surface glycoprotein (SU) and the transmembrane subunit (TM) comprising the hydrophobic membrane spanning domain (MSD). The cleavage site (CS) for cellular furine proteases is indicated. The theoretical molecular weights of each subunit (SP, TM, SU) as well as the last amino acid of relevant domains are shown.

8

9 Fig. 2. Expression of wtEnv and coEnv. HEK 293T cells were transfected with 10 pcDNAwtEnv-V5 and pcDNAcoEnv-V5. (A) Western blot analyses of immunoprecipitates 11 using an α V5-HRP antiserum (1:5000). Assumed precursor proteins at 75-90 kDa and TM 12 subunits at 32-38 kDa are visible. Quantification of the band intensities indicates an increase 13 in expression of 50-fold or more resulting from Env codon optimization. (B) Immune 14 fluorescence analysis of wtEnv and coEnv using an α V5-Cy3 antibody. The signal intensity 15 and the number of cells expressing Env is significantly higher in cells transfected with coEnv.

16

17 Fig. 3. Alignment of the amino acid sequences of 11 HERV-K(HML-2) envelope proteins. Sequences of HERV-K101 (AF164609), K102 (AF164610), K104 (AC116309), K107 18 19 (AF164613), K108 (AC072054), K109 (AC055116), K115 (AY037929), Y178333, 20 AP000776 and AC025420 are compared to the K113 (AY037928) wtEnv sequence. The 21 consensus sequence and the putative original sequence (oricoEnv) obtained by applying an 22 algorithm to identify shared polymorphisms (see results for details) are included. The 23 consensus sequence and oricoEnv differ at positions 137, 369 and 676 due to shared 24 polymorphisms (highlighted by rectangles). The eight sites in HERV-K113 wtEnv changed to 25 the consensus sequence in order to reconstitute the ancestral protein sequence are in grey.

26

1 Fig. 4. Reconstitution of the ancient K113 oricoEnv sequence alters TM subunit processing. 2 (A) Western blot analysis of coEnv-V5 and oricoEnv-V5 expressed in HEK 293T cells, Tera-3 1 and HeLa cells. (B) Position of the potential glycosylation sites (NXS/T) within the Env 4 molecule. The localization of the cleavage site (CS) and of amino acid changes resulting in 5 oricoEnv are indicated. (C) PNGase F treatment of cell lysates from HeLa cells expressing 6 coEnv-V5 and oricoEnv-V5. Lysates were incubated with and without PNGase F at 37 °C for 7 1 h. Treated samples show a shift in the precursor and TM bands. (D) Incubation of HEK 8 293T cells expressing oricoEnv-V5 with tunicamycin (30 and 50 µg/ml). The generation of a 9 64 kDa N-terminal Env precursor degradation product (marked by an asterisk) is enhanced by 10 tunicamycin treatment. (E) Elimination of the predicted glycosylation sites by mutagenesis 11 results in a decrease in the molecular weights of the precursor and TM subunit and inhibits 12 processing. (F) Analysis of cleavage site (CS) mutants in HEK 293T cells. In oricoEnv CS-V5 the cleavage site sequence ⁴⁶¹NRSKR was changed to ⁴⁶¹NASAA. OricoEnv CS/MMTV-13 V5 carries a mutation (⁴⁶¹NRSKR to ⁴⁶¹NRAKR) that prevents potential glycosylation at 14 15 N461 and matches the MMTV cleavage site.

16

Fig. 5. Incorporation of oricoEnv and C-terminal truncation mutants into lentiviral particles. 17 18 (A) Amino acid sequences of the membrane spanning domain (boxed) and the cytoplasmic 19 region are shown. The Env truncation mutants are named according to the number of amino 20 acids deleted by the introduction of a stop codon (*). (B) The left hand panel shows an 21 immunoblot of HEK 293T cells transfected with vectors used to produce pseudotyped SHIV 22 reporter viruses plus the respective Env expression plasmids, visualized by a TM-ectodomain 23 specific monoclonal antibody. Note the size shifts of the mutated TM subunits. The 24 corresponding supernatants were collected, concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a sucrose cushion and also subjected to immunoblotting with the TM specific antibody (right 25

hand panel). Finally, the blot was stripped and incubated with an SIV Gag specific antibody
 to determine particle load.

3

Fig. 6. Infectivity assay with pseudotyped SHIV reporter particles carrying a luciferase gene.
The lentiviral particles were produced in HEK 293T cells, normalized and used to infect
CrFK cells. 48 h later, cells were lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The background
level was determined by infection with a truncated non-functional HIV Env (ΔKS). Mock
treated cells were not infected. The means and standard deviations of six replicates are shown.
Similar results were achieved in four independent experiments.

10

Fig. 7. Impact of postinsertional mutations in the envelope protein of HERV-K113 on the entry efficiency of pseudotyped SHIV reporter viruses. (A) Mutations in the context of the Cterminal truncation mutant with the highest entry level. (B) The substitutions have similar effects on the function of the full length oricoEnv. The means and standard deviations of six replicates are shown. Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments.

1 Supplementary figure legends

- 2 **Fig. S1.** Codon optimized sequence of the HERV-K113 *env* gene.
- 3
- 4 Fig. S2. Table of mutagenesis primers used for generating oricoEnv, oricoEnvCS,
- 5 oricoEnvGly, oricoEnv Δ 659-699, oricoEnv Δ 680-699, oricoEnv Δ 693-699 and coEnv
- 6 mutants.

1 **References**

2	1.	Arnaud, F., M. Varela, T. E. Spencer, and M. Palmarini. 2008. Coevolution of
3		endogenous betaretroviruses of sheep and their host. Cell Mol Life Sci 65:3422-32.
4	2.	Bannert, N., and R. Kurth. 2006. The evolutionary dynamics of human endogenous
5		retroviral families. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 7:149-73.
6	3.	Bannert, N., D. Schenten, S. Craig, and J. Sodroski. 2000. The level of CD4
7		expression limits infection of primary rhesus monkey macrophages by a T-tropic simian
8		immunodeficiency virus and macrophagetropic human immunodeficiency viruses. J
9		Virol 74: 10984-93.
10	4.	Barbulescu, M., G. Turner, M. I. Seaman, A. S. Deinard, K. K. Kidd, and J. Lenz.
11		1999. Many human endogenous retrovirus K (HERV-K) proviruses are unique to
12		humans. Curr Biol 9:861-8.
13	5.	Beimforde, N., K. Hanke, I. Ammar, R. Kurth, and N. Bannert. 2008. Molecular
14		cloning and functional characterization of the human endogenous retrovirus K113.
15		Virology 371: 216-25.
16	6.	Belshaw, R., A. L. Dawson, J. Woolven-Allen, J. Redding, A. Burt, and M. Tristem.
17		2005. Genomewide screening reveals high levels of insertional polymorphism in the
18		human endogenous retrovirus family HERV-K(HML2): implications for present-day
19		activity. J Virol 79: 12507-14.
20	7.	Belshaw, R., V. Pereira, A. Katzourakis, G. Talbot, J. Paces, A. Burt, and M.
21		Tristem. 2004. Long-term reinfection of the human genome by endogenous
22		retroviruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:4894-9.
23	8.	Bieda, K., A. Hoffmann, and K. Boller. 2001. Phenotypic heterogeneity of human
24		endogenous retrovirus particles produced by teratocarcinoma cell lines. J Gen Virol
25		82: 591-6.

1	9.	Blot, V., S. Lopez-Verges, M. Breton, C. Pique, C. Berlioz-Torrent, and M. P.
2		Grange. 2006. The conserved dileucine- and tyrosine-based motifs in MLV and MPMV
3		envelope glycoproteins are both important to regulate a common Env intracellular
4		trafficking. Retrovirology 3: 62.
5	10.	Boeke, M., and J.P. Stoye. 1997. Retrotransposons, endogenous retroviruses and the
6		evolution of retroelements., p. 343-436. In S. H. H. J.M. Coffin, and H.E. Varmus (ed.),
7		Retroviruses. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, N.Y.
8	11.	Boller, K., K. Schonfeld, S. Lischer, N. Fischer, A. Hoffmann, R. Kurth, and R. R.
9		Tonjes. 2008. Human endogenous retrovirus HERV-K113 is capable of producing
10		intact viral particles. J Gen Virol 89:567-72.
11	12.	Buzdin, A., S. Ustyugova, K. Khodosevich, I. Mamedov, Y. Lebedev, G.
12		Hunsmann, and E. Sverdlov. 2003. Human-specific subfamilies of HERV-K (HML-2)
13		long terminal repeats: three master genes were active simultaneously during branching
14		of hominoid lineages. Genomics 81:149-56.
15	13.	Celma, C. C., M. G. Paladino, S. A. Gonzalez, and J. L. Affranchino. 2007.
16		Importance of the short cytoplasmic domain of the feline immunodeficiency virus
17		transmembrane glycoprotein for fusion activity and envelope glycoprotein incorporation
18		into virions. Virology 366: 405-14.
19	14.	Cheynet, V., A. Ruggieri, G. Oriol, J. L. Blond, B. Boson, L. Vachot, B. Verrier, F.
20		L. Cosset, and F. Mallet. 2005. Synthesis, assembly, and processing of the Env
21		ERVWE1/syncytin human endogenous retroviral envelope. J Virol 79:5585-93.
22	15.	Cote, M., Y. M. Zheng, L. M. Albritton, and S. L. Liu. 2008. Fusogenicity of
23		Jaagsiekte sheep retrovirus envelope protein is dependent on low pH and is enhanced by
24		cytoplasmic tail truncations. J Virol 82:2543-54.
25	16.	Dash, B., A. McIntosh, W. Barrett, and R. Daniels. 1994. Deletion of a single N-
26		linked glycosylation site from the transmembrane envelope protein of human

1	immunodeficiency virus type 1 stops cleavage and transport of gp160 preventing env-
2	mediated fusion. J Gen Virol 75 (Pt 6):1389-97.

- 3 17. de Parseval, N., V. Lazar, J. F. Casella, L. Benit, and T. Heidmann. 2003. Survey of 4 human genes of retroviral origin: identification and transcriptome of the genes with 5 coding capacity for complete envelope proteins. J Virol 77:10414-22. 6 18. Demirov, D. G., and E. O. Freed. 2004. Retrovirus budding. Virus Res 106:87-102. 7 19. Deml, L., A. Bojak, S. Steck, M. Graf, J. Wild, R. Schirmbeck, H. Wolf, and R. 8 Wagner. 2001. Multiple effects of codon usage optimization on expression and 9 immunogenicity of DNA candidate vaccines encoding the human immunodeficiency 10 virus type 1 Gag protein. J Virol 75:10991-1001. Dewannieux, M., S. Blaise, and T. Heidmann. 2005. Identification of a functional 11 20. 12 envelope protein from the HERV-K family of human endogenous retroviruses. J Virol 13 **79:**15573-7. 14 Dewannieux, M., F. Harper, A. Richaud, C. Letzelter, D. Ribet, G. Pierron, and T. 21. 15 Heidmann. 2006. Identification of an infectious progenitor for the multiple-copy 16 HERV-K human endogenous retroelements. Genome Res 16:1548-56. 17 22. Edwards, T. G., S. Wyss, J. D. Reeves, S. Zolla-Pazner, J. A. Hoxie, R. W. Doms, 18 and F. Baribaud. 2002. Truncation of the cytoplasmic domain induces exposure of 19 conserved regions in the ectodomain of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope 20 protein. J Virol 76:2683-91. 21 Fenouillet, E., J. C. Gluckman, and I. M. Jones. 1994. Functions of HIV envelope 23. 22 glycans. Trends Biochem Sci 19:65-70.
- 23 24. Freed, E. O., and M. A. Martin. 1995. Virion incorporation of envelope glycoproteins
 24 with long but not short cytoplasmic tails is blocked by specific, single amino acid
- substitutions in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 matrix. J Virol **69**:1984-9.

1	25.	Gifford, R., P. Kabat, J. Martin, C. Lynch, and M. Tristem. 2005. Evolution and
2		distribution of class II-related endogenous retroviruses. J Virol 79:6478-86.
3	26.	Goodman, L. J., S. R. Kain, and G. L. Firestone. 1993. Trafficking of wild-type and
4		an endoproteolytic-site mutant of the mouse mammary tumor virus glycoprotein. J Biol
5		Chem 268: 2329-36.
6	27.	Green, N., T. M. Shinnick, O. Witte, A. Ponticelli, J. G. Sutcliffe, and R. A. Lerner.
7		1981. Sequence-specific antibodies show that maturation of Moloney leukemia virus
8		envelope polyprotein involves removal of a COOH-terminal peptide. Proc Natl Acad
9		Sci U S A 78: 6023-7.
10	28.	Hakim, S. T., M. Alsayari, D. C. McLean, S. Saleem, K. C. Addanki, M. Aggarwal,
11		K. Mahalingam, and O. Bagasra. 2008. A large number of the human microRNAs
12		target lentiviruses, retroviruses, and endogenous retroviruses. Biochem Biophys Res
13		Commun 369: 357-62.
14	29.	Heslin, D. J., P. Murcia, F. Arnaud, K. Van Doorslaer, M. Palmarini, and J. Lenz.
15		2009. A single amino acid substitution in a segment of the CA protein within Gag that
16		has similarity to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 blocks infectivity of a human
17		endogenous retrovirus K provirus in the human genome. J Virol 83:1105-14.
18	30.	Hughes, J. F., and J. M. Coffin. 2005. Human endogenous retroviral elements as
19		indicators of ectopic recombination events in the primate genome. Genetics 171:1183-
20		94.
21	31.	Hughes, J. F., and J. M. Coffin. 2004. Human endogenous retrovirus K solo-LTR
22		formation and insertional polymorphisms: implications for human and viral evolution.
23		Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 1668-72.
24	32.	Hunter, E., and R. Swanstrom. 1990. Retrovirus envelope glycoproteins. Curr Top
25		Microbiol Immunol 157: 187-253.

- 31 -

1	33.	Jha, A. R., S. K. Pillai, V. A. York, E. R. Sharp, E. C. Storm, D. J. Wachter, J. N.
2		Martin, S. G. Deeks, M. G. Rosenberg, D. F. Nixon, and K. E. Garrison. 2009. 371
3		Cross sectional dating of novel haplotypes of HERV-K 113 and HERV-K 115 indicate
4		these proviruses originated in Africa before Homo sapiens. Mol Biol Evol.
5		doi:10.1093/molbev/msp180
6	34.	Johnston, P. B., J. W. Dubay, and E. Hunter. 1993. Truncations of the simian
7		immunodeficiency virus transmembrane protein confer expanded virus host range by
8		removing a block to virus entry into cells. J Virol 67:3077-86.
9	35.	Katzourakis, A., A. Rambaut, and O. G. Pybus. 2005. The evolutionary dynamics of
10		endogenous retroviruses. Trends Microbiol 13:463-8.
11	36.	Kayman, S. C., R. Kopelman, S. Projan, D. M. Kinney, and A. Pinter. 1991.
12		Mutational analysis of N-linked glycosylation sites of Friend murine leukemia virus
13		envelope protein. J Virol 65:5323-32.
14	37.	Kim, F. J., N. Manel, Y. Boublik, J. L. Battini, and M. Sitbon. 2003. Human T-cell
15		leukemia virus type 1 envelope-mediated syncytium formation can be activated in
16		resistant Mammalian cell lines by a carboxy-terminal truncation of the envelope
17		cytoplasmic domain. J Virol 77:963-9.
18	38.	Kowalski, M., J. Potz, L. Basiripour, T. Dorfman, W. C. Goh, E. Terwilliger, A.
19		Dayton, C. Rosen, W. Haseltine, and J. Sodroski. 1987. Functional regions of the
20		envelope glycoprotein of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Science 237:1351-5.
21	39.	Lee, Y. N., and P. D. Bieniasz. 2007. Reconstitution of an infectious human
22		endogenous retrovirus. PLoS Pathog 3:e10.
23	40.	Lee, Y. N., M. H. Malim, and P. D. Bieniasz. 2008. Hypermutation of an ancient
24		human retrovirus by APOBEC3G. J Virol 82:8762-70.
25	41.	Macfarlane, C., and P. Simmonds. 2004. Allelic variation of HERV-K(HML-2)
26		endogenous retroviral elements in human populations. J Mol Evol 59:642-56.

1	42.	Mager, D. L., and M. Patrik. 2003. Retroviral Repeat Sequences. In D. N. Cooper
2		(ed.), Nature encyclopedia of the human genome. Nature Pub. Group, London ; New
3		York.
4	43.	Manrique, J. M., C. C. Celma, E. Hunter, J. L. Affranchino, and S. A. Gonzalez.
5		2003. Positive and negative modulation of virus infectivity and envelope glycoprotein
6		incorporation into virions by amino acid substitutions at the N terminus of the simian
7		immunodeficiency virus matrix protein. J Virol 77:10881-8.
8	44.	Mayer, J., T. Stuhr, K. Reus, E. Maldener, M. Kitova, F. Asmus, and E. Meese.
9		2005. Haplotype analysis of the human endogenous retrovirus locus HERV-K(HML-
10		2.HOM) and its evolutionary implications. J Mol Evol 61:706-15.
11	45.	Megati, S., D. Garcia-Hand, S. Cappello, V. Roopchand, A. Masood, R. Xu, A.
12		Luckay, S. Y. Chong, M. Rosati, S. Sackitey, D. B. Weiner, B. K. Felber, G. N.
13		Pavlakis, Z. R. Israel, L. R. Smith, J. H. Eldridge, M. K. Sidhu, and M. A. Egan.
14		2008. Modifying the HIV-1 env gp160 gene to improve pDNA vaccine-elicited cell-
15		mediated immune responses. Vaccine 26:5083-94.
16	46.	Mulligan, M. J., G. V. Yamshchikov, G. D. Ritter, Jr., F. Gao, M. J. Jin, C. D. Nail,
17		C. P. Spies, B. H. Hahn, and R. W. Compans. 1992. Cytoplasmic domain truncation
18		enhances fusion activity by the exterior glycoprotein complex of human
19		immunodeficiency virus type 2 in selected cell types. J Virol 66:3971-5.
20	47.	Pinter, A., W. J. Honnen, and J. S. Li. 1984. Studies with inhibitors of
21		oligosaccharide processing indicate a functional role for complex sugars in the transport
22		and proteolysis of Friend mink cell focus-inducing murine leukemia virus envelope
23		proteins. Virology 136: 196-210.
24	48.	Puffer, B. A., S. Pohlmann, A. L. Edinger, D. Carlin, M. D. Sanchez, J. Reitter, D.
25		D. Watry, H. S. Fox, R. C. Desrosiers, and R. W. Doms. 2002. CD4 independence of

- 33 -

1		simian immunodeficiency virus Envs is associated with macrophage tropism,
2		neutralization sensitivity, and attenuated pathogenicity. J Virol 76:2595-605.
3	49.	Quinones-Kochs, M. I., L. Buonocore, and J. K. Rose. 2002. Role of N-linked
4		glycans in a human immunodeficiency virus envelope glycoprotein: effects on protein
5		function and the neutralizing antibody response. J Virol 76:4199-211.
6	50.	Reus, K., J. Mayer, M. Sauter, H. Zischler, N. Muller-Lantzsch, and E. Meese.
7		2001. HERV-K(OLD): ancestor sequences of the human endogenous retrovirus family
8		HERV-K(HML-2). J Virol 75:8917-26.
9	51.	Ritter, G. D., Jr., M. J. Mulligan, S. L. Lydy, and R. W. Compans. 1993. Cell fusion
10		activity of the simian immunodeficiency virus envelope protein is modulated by the
11		intracytoplasmic domain. Virology 197:255-64.
12	52.	Tarlinton, R. E., J. Meers, and P. R. Young. 2006. Retroviral invasion of the koala
13		genome. Nature 442: 79-81.
14	53.	Tonjes, R. R., C. Limbach, R. Lower, and R. Kurth. 1997. Expression of human
15		endogenous retrovirus type K envelope glycoprotein in insect and mammalian cells. J
16		Virol 71: 2747-56.
17	54.	Turner, G., M. Barbulescu, M. Su, M. I. Jensen-Seaman, K. K. Kidd, and J. Lenz.
18		2001. Insertional polymorphisms of full-length endogenous retroviruses in humans. Curr
19		Biol 11: 1531-5.
20	55.	Vigerust, D. J., and V. L. Shepherd. 2007. Virus glycosylation: role in virulence and
21		immune interactions. Trends Microbiol 15:211-8.
22	56.	Wyss, S., A. S. Dimitrov, F. Baribaud, T. G. Edwards, R. Blumenthal, and J. A.
23		Hoxie. 2005. Regulation of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope
24		glycoprotein fusion by a membrane-interactive domain in the gp41 cytoplasmic tail. J
25		Virol 79: 12231-41.
20		

26



Figure 1





wtEnv-V5



coEnv-V5





		10	0 20	30	0 40) 51	0 60) 7() 8() 9(0 100	110) 120
¥112	1	MUDERMODERA									 DMDACAAAAN		
K101	1	G	W*		• 15EBQMCBF								
K102	1	LG	W*										
K104 K107	1	G	G*										
K108	1												
K109 K115	1										v	N	
¥178333	1								******				
AP000776 AC025420	1	 .н			·····								
consensus	1											• • • • • • • • • •	
oricoEnv	1	•••••	•••••					•••••		•••••	•••••		•••••
		130	0 140	0 15	0 160) 17	0 180) 190	200	210	220	230	240
K113	121	NPIEIYVNDS	VWVPGPTDDC	CPAKPEEEGM	MINISIGYRY	PPICLGRAPG	CLMPAVQNWL	VEVPTVSPIS	RFTYHMVSGM	SLRPRVNYLQ	DFSYQRSLKF	RPKGKPCPKE	IPKESKNTEV
K101	24	v	ER			T	·····	I			P		
K102 K104	121	v	R										
K107	24	v	IR							•••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		
K108 K109	121				H.			C					
K115	121	v	IR										
AP000776	121	····v						I			F		
AC025420	120	v	HIR		H.				N				
consensus oricoEnv	121	v	IR										
		0.54										0.50	
			0 260	270	0 280) 291 	0 300) 31() 32() 33(
K113	241	LVWEECVANS	AVILQNNEFG	TLIDWAPRGQ	FYHNCSGQTQ	SCPSAQVSPA	VDSDLTESLD	KHKHKKLQSF	YPWEWGEKGI	STARPKIISP	VSGPEHPELW	RLTVASHHIR	IWSGNQTLET
K101 K102	144			.1					R.	PV			
K104	241			.I	R					P			
K107 K108	144 241		····*	.I						PV			
K109	240			.IT.Q						P			
K115 ¥178333	241 241			.1					R.	PV			
AP000776	241			.1						PV			
AC025420 consensus	240 241		v	.I						P			
oricoEnv	241			.1						P			
		27/			0 40							CE 470	100
		37(0 380) 391 	0 400) 410) 42() 43() 44() 450) 460 	CS 470) 480
K113	361	37(RDRKPFYTID	0 380 LNSSLTVPLQ	390 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS	9 410 QTITCENCRL	0 420 LTCIDSTFNW) 430 QHRILLVRAR	9 440 EGVWIPVSMD) 450 RPWEASPSVH) 460 ILTEVLKGVL	CS 470) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102	361 264 264	37(RDRKPFYTID V. C	0 380 LNSSLTVPLQ L	0 39 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 410 QTITCENCRL) 420 LTCIDSTFNW 	0 430) 44(EGVWIPVSMD L) 450 RPWEASPSVH) 460 ILTEVLKGVL	CS 470 NRSKRFIFTL) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104	361 264 264 361 264	37(RDRKPFYTID V. C	0 380	0 391 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 411 QTITCENCRL	0 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S	0 430 QHRILLVRAR) 441 EGVWIPVSMD L) 45(RPWEASPSVH 	0 460 ILTEVLKGVL	CS 470) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108	361 264 264 361 264 361	37(RDRKPFYTID v. Cv.	0 38(LNSSLTVPLQ	0 391	0 400 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••) 41: QTITCENCRL	0 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S	0 430) 44(EGVWIPVSMD L.) 450 RPWEASPSVH *I.	0 460 ILTEVLKGVL	CS 470) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109	361 264 264 361 264 361 360	37(RDRKPFYTID V. CV. V.	0 380 LNSSLTVPLQ L L	0 390 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 41	0 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S	0 43() 44(EGVWIPVSMD L) 450 RPWEASPSVH *I.	0 460 ILTEVLKGVL	CS 470) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333	361 264 361 361 360 361 361 361	377 RDRKPFYTID 	0 380 	0 390 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400) 411	0 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S	0 43() 44(EGVWIPVSMD L) 450 	D 460	CS 470) 480
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361	377 RDRRFFYTID V. .CV. V.	0 380) 390 	0 400) 411	0 42(LTCIDSTFNW .S) 43() 44() 45(0 460 ILTEVLKGVL 	CS 470) 480 IAVINGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus	361 264 361 361 360 361 361 361 361 360 361	37(1 RDRKPFYTID 	0 38(INSSLTVPLQ L. L. L. V.	0 399 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400 VGNIVIREDS) 411 	0 420) 43(QHRILLVAR) 44(EGWIPYSMD L.) 450 FPWEASPSVH I. I. I. I. I.	D 460	CS 470) 480
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv	361 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(1 RDRKPFYTID 	0 380 INSSLTVPLQ L. L. L. V.	0 399 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400 VGNIVIREDS) 411 	0 420	0 430) 44(EGWIPYSMD L.) 450 FWREASPSVH I. I. I. I.	D 460	CS 470) 480
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv	361 264 361 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(1 RDRRFFYID 	0 38(INSSLTVPLQ L L L 	0 399 SCVKPPYMLV	0 400 VGNIVIREDS) 411 	0 420) 43() 44() 450 RPWEASPSVH I. I. I. I. I.	D 460	CS 470) 480
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K115 Y17833 AP00776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113	361 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFFYIID 	0 38(0 399 	0 400 VGNIVIREDS) 411 	0 420) 43() 44() 450 RPWEASPSVH *I. I. I. I. I. I. I. I.	D 460	CS 470) 480
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP00776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFYTID 	0 38(0 399 	0 400) 411 QTITCENCRL 	0 420 .s) 43() 44() 450 RPWEASPSVH *I. I.	D 460	CS 470) 480
<pre>K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104</pre>	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 	0 400) 411 	0 420 ITCIDSTFNW .S) 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44() EGVWI PVSMD L) 455 RPWEASPSVH * I. I. I. I. I. I. I. I. I. I. I.	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL TT. D 580 	S90) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 384 481	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 	0 400) 411 QTITCENCRL 	0 420 ITCIDSTFNW .S 0 54(0 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44() EGVWIPVSMD L.) 455 RPWEASSVH 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL T T D 580 	SPECIAL CONTRACTOR CON) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K101 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFFTID V. .C. V. V. V. V. V. V. V.	0 38(0 399 	0 400) 411 QTITCENCRL QTITCENCRL 	0 420 ITCIDSTFNW .S	0 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44(1) EGVWIPVSMD I.) 45; RPWEASPSVH 	D 460 ILTEVIKGVL T T HWDMVRCHLQ HWDMVRCHLQ HR.R. R. R.	CS 470 NRSKRFIFTL) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K105 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K101 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K115 Y170300	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 384 481 481 481 481	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 SCVKPPYMLV 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 411 QTITCENCRL 	0 420 ITCIDSTFNW S 0 54(QTVIWMGRL	0 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44() EGVWIPVSMD L.) 45. RPWEASSSVH 	D 460 ILTEVIKGVL T T HWDMVRCHLQ HWDMVRCHLQ HR R R R R R R	CS 470 NRSKRFIFTL) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K105 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K107 K108 K115 Y178333 AP000776	361 264 361 360 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481 481	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 411 QTITCENCRL 	0 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S	0 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44(1) EGVWIPVSMD L) 45(RPWEASPSVH 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL T T D 580 HWDMVRCHLQ H R R R R R R	CS 470 NRSKRFFTL) 480 IAVIMGLAV
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K105 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K107 K108 X109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420	361 264 361 360 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481 481	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 411 QTITCENCRL 	D 422 LTCIDSTFNW .S) 43() QHRILLVRAR) 44(1) EGVWIPVSMD L.) 45(D 460 ILTEVLKGVL T T D 580 D 580 D HWDMVRCHLQ H R R R R R R	CS 470 NRSKRFFTL) 480 IAVIMGLAV
<pre>K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K107 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv</pre>	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481	37(RDRRFFYID V. .C. V. 	0 38(0 39 SCVKPPYMLV 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 411 QTITCENCRL 	D 42(LTCIDSTFNW .S) 43(QHRILLVRAR) 44(1) EGVWIPVSMD L.) 450 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL T HWDMVRCHLQ R R R R R R R.	Spot) 480
K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv	361 264 264 360 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481 481	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 SCVKPPYMLV 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 411 QTITCENCRL 	0 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S) 43(,) 44(1) 450 	D 460	Spot) 480
<pre>K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K107 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv</pre>	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(1 RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 SCVKPPYMLV 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS) 411. QTITCENCRL 	D 42(LTCIDSTFNW .S	0 43(QHRILLVRAR) 44() EGWIPVSMD L.) 450 	D 460 	S90) 480
<pre>K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv</pre>	361 264 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 399 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS 	0 411 QTITCENCRL 	0 42(LTCLDSTFNW .S	0 43(QHRILLVRAR) 44() 450 	0 460 	S90) 480
<pre>K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K113 K101 K102</pre>	361 264 361 360 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 4	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 39 SCVKPPYMLV 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS A	0 411. QTITCENCRL 	D 42(0 43(QHRILLVRAR) 44() 450 	0 460 	S90) 480
<pre>K1113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K104</pre>	361 264 361 360 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 4	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 399 SCVKPPYMLV 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS A 0 520 	0) 411 QTITCENCRL 	0 420 ITCIDSTFNW .S	0 433 QHRILLVRAR 0 550 MSLEHRFQLQ 0 670 LIRRDSDHRER Q) 441 EGWIIPVSMD) 450 	0 460 	S90) 480
<pre>K1113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K108 K108 K108 K108 K108 K108 K108</pre>	361 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 399 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS A 0 520 1WNSQSSIDQ 0 644) 411 QTITCENCRL 	D 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S 	0 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44(1) EGVWI PVSMD) 455 RPWEASPSVH 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL 	S90) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
<pre>K1113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 K101 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 K108 K109 K115 K108 K109 K108 K109 K115 K108 K109 K115 K108 K109 K115 K108 K109 K108 K109 K115 K108 K109 K108 K109 K115 K108 K109 K108</pre>	361 264 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFFYID V. .CV. .CV. 	0 38(0 399 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS A 0 520 1 1 0 520 0 520 1 1 0 520 1 1 0 640) 411 QTITCENCRL 	D 422 LTCIDSTFNW .S D 54(0 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44() EGVMIPVSMD) 455 RPWEASPSVH 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL T	- Deleti) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
<pre>K1113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K115 Y178333 K101 K102 K113 K103 K103 K103 K103 K103 K103 K103</pre>	361 264 361 360 360 360 361 360 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 4	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 399 	0 400 VGNIVIKPDS A 0 520 1 1 0 520 0 520 1 1 0 640 0 640 	0 411 QTITCENCRL 	D 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S 	0 433 QHRILLVRAR) 44() EGVWIPVSMD 	0 45. 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL 	- Deleti # Insert) 480 IAVIMGLIAV
<pre>K1113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 Consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776</pre>	361 264 361 360 360 360 360 361 360 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 399 	0 400 	0 411 QTITCENCRL 	D 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S 	0 433 QHRILLVRAR 	0) 44(1) EGVMIPVSMD) 45. 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL 	- Deleti GREDNIILDI) 480
<pre>K1113 K101 K102 K104 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K107 K108 K109 K115 Y178333 AP000776 AC025420 consensus oricoEnv K113 K101 K102 K113 K102 K113 K102 K113 K102 K113 K102 K113 K102 K113 K103 K103 K103 K103 K103 K103 K103</pre>	361 264 361 360 360 360 360 361 360 361 384 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 481 4	37(RDRRFFYID 	0 38(0 399 	0 400 	0 411 QTITCENCRL 	D 420 LTCIDSTFNW .S 	0 433 QHRILLVRAR 	0 441 EGVNIPVSMD) 45. 	D 460 ILTEVLKGVL 	- Deleti GREDNLILDI Stop	0 480

Figure 3



27-



- Asn₅₆₆ - Asn₅₆₆ - Asn₅₈₅

C 577R+-

T626 P+

ТМ

MSD

Y655C+

≺Asn₅₀₇

N506K+

27-

→ TM









Figure 6





Figure 7

Α

ATGAACCCCCAGCGAGATGCAGCGGAAGGCCCCTCCCAGGCGGCGGAGACACCGGAACAGAGCCCCCCTGACCCAC GCCCAGCTGAAGAAGCTGACCCAGCTGGCCACCAAGTACCTGGAAAACACCAAGGTGACCCAGACCCCCGAGAGC TACGTGAACGACAGCGTGTGGGGTGCCAGGCCCCACCGACGACTGCTGCCCCGCCAAGCCCCGAGGAAGAGGGCATG ATGATCAACATCAGCATCGGCTACAGATACCCCCCCATCTGCCTGGGCAGGGCCCCTGGCTGCCTGATGCCCGCC GTGCAGAACTGGCTGGTGGAGGTGCCCACCGTGAGCCCCATCAGCCGGTTCACCTACCACATGGTGTCCGGCATG AGCCTGCGGCCCAGGGTTAACTACCTGCAGGACTTCAGCTACCAGCGGAGCCTGAAGTTCCGGCCCAAGGGCAAG GCCGTGATCCTGCAGAACAACGAGTTCGGCACCCTGATCGACTGGGCCCCCAGGGGCCAGTTCTACCACAACTGC AGCGGCCAGACCCAGAGCTGCCCCAGCGCCCAGGTGTCCCCTGCCGTGGACAGCGACCTGACCGAGAGCCTGGAC AAGCACAAGCACAAAAAGCTGCAGAGCTTCTACCCCTGGGAGTGGGGCGAGAAGGGGATATCCACCGCCAGGCCC ATCTGGTCCGGCAACCAGACCCTGGAAACCCGGGACCGGAAGCCCTTCTACACCATCGACCTGAACAGCAGCCTG ACCGTGCCCCTGCAGAGCTGCGTGAAGCCCCCCTACATGCTGGTGGTGGGCAACATCGTGATCAAGCCCGACAGC CAGACCATCACCTGCGAGAACTGCCGGCTGCTGACCTGCATCGACAGCACCTTCAACTGGCAGCACCGGATCCTG CTCGTACGGGCCAGGGAAGGCGTGTGGATCCCCGTGTCCATGGACCGGCCCTGGGAGGCCAGCCCAGCGTGCAC GGCCTGATTGCCGTGACCGCCACAGCCGCCGTGGCCGGCGTGGCCCTGCACAGCTCTGTACAGAGCGTGAACTTC GTGAACGACTGGCAGAACAACAGCACCCGGCTGTGGAACAGCCAGAGCAGCATCGACCAGAAGCTGGCCAACCAG ATCAACGACCTGCGGCAGACCGTGATTTGGATGGGCGACCGGCTGATGAGCCTGGAACACCGGTTCCAGCTGCAG CGGTGCCACCTGCAGGGCCGGGAGGACAATCTGACCCTGGACATCAGCAAGCTGAAAGAGCAGATCTTCGAGGCC AGCAAGGCCCATTTAAATCTGGTGCCCGGCACCGAGGCCATCGCCGGGGTGGCCGACGGCCTGGCCAACCTGAAC ACCGTGACATGGGTGAAAAACCATCGGCAGCACCACCATCATCAACCTGATCCTGATCCTGGTGTGCCTGTTCTGC

Figure S1

Primer name	sequence $(5 \rightarrow 3)$					
oricoEnv- mutagenesis						
coEnv_I125V	AAC CCC ATC GAG GTC TAC GTG AAC GAC					
coEnv_C140R	GTG CCA GGC CCC ATC GAC GAC CGC TGC CCC GCC AAG CCC					
coEnv_L262I	ACA ACG AGT TCG GCA CCA TTA TCG ACT GGG CCC CCA G					
coEnv_A323P	GGG ATA TCC ACC CCC AGG CCC AAG ATC GTC AGC CCC GTG AGC GGC					
coEnv_N506K	GTG AAC GAC TGG CAG AAA AAC AGC ACC CGG					
coEnv_C577R	GAC ATG GTG CGG CGC CAC CTG CAG GGC					
coEnv_T626P	GCC AAC CTG AAC CCC GTG ACA TGG GTG					
coEnv_Y655C	CTG CTG CTG GTG TGT CGG TGC ACC CAG					
coEnv- mutagenesis						
coEnv∆659-699	GTG TAT CGG TGC ACC TAG CAG CTG CGG AGA G					
oricoEnv_V125I	AC AAC CCC ATC GAG ATC TAC GTG AAC GAC					
oricoEnv_R140C	GGC CCC ACC GAC GAC TGC TGC CCC GCC AAG C					
oricoEnv_I262L	AAC GAG TTC GGC ACC CTT ATC GAC TGG GCC C					
oricoEnv_P323A	AG GGG ATA TCC ACC GCC AGG CCC AAG ATC					
oricoEnv_K506N	G AAC GAC TGG CAG AAC AAC AGC ACC CGG CTG					
oricoEnv_R577C	TGG GAC ATG GTG CGG TGC CAC CTG CAG GGC C					
oricoEnv_P626T	TG GCC AAC CTG AAC ACC GTG ACA TGG GTG					
oricoEnv_C655Y	CTGCCTGCTGGTGTATCGGTGCACCCAGCAGC					
oricoEnvCS ⁻ - mutagenesis						
oricoEnv_ΔCS1384	GTG CTG AAG GGC GTG CTG AAC GCA AGC GCG GCG TTC ATC TTT ACC CTG ATC GCC					
oricoEnv_MMTV1387	GTG CTG AAG GGC GTG CTG AAC AGA GCC AAG CGG TTC ATC TTT ACC CTG ATC					
oricoEnvGly ⁻ - mutagenesis						
ΔGly1_oricoEnv_SU304	GCG GCT GCC AAC TAC GCC TAC TGG GCC TAC G					
ΔGly2_oricoEnv_SU463	ATG ATG ATC AAC ATC GCC ATC GGC TAC AGA TA					
ΔGly3_oricoEnv_SU826	TTC TAC CAC AAC TGC GCC GGC CAG ACC CAG AG					
ΔGly4_oricoEnv_SU1120	ATC GAC CTG AAC AGC GCC CTG ACC GTG CCC CT					
ΔGly5_oricoEnv_TM1525	TGG CAG AAA AAC AGC GCC CGG CTG TGG AAC A					
ΔGly6_oricoEnv_TM1666	TGC GAC TGG AAC ACC GCC GAT TTC TGC ATC AC					
ΔGly7_oricoEnv_TM1702	CAG ATC TAC AAC GAG GCC GAG CAC CAC TGG GA					
ΔGly8_oricoEnv_TM1759	CGG GAG GAC AAT CTG GCC CTG GAC ATC AGC A					
oricoEnv∆CT- mutagenesis						
oricoEnv∆659-699_1975	GTG TGT CGG TGC ACC TAG CAG CTG CGG AGA G					
oricoEnv∆680-699_2038	ATG GTG GTG CTG TCC TAG CGG AAG GGC GGC A					
oricoEnv∆693-699_2077	AAG AGC AAG CGG GAC TAG ATC GTG ACC GTG A					