Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) transmission remains significant in Europe. Rates of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) have declined, but not in all countries. New HIV diagnoses have increased among native and foreign-born men who have sex with men. Median CD4⁺ T-cell count at diagnosis has increased, but not in all groups, and late diagnosis remains common. HIV infection and AIDS can be eliminated in Europe with resolute prevention measures, early diagnosis and access to effective treatment.

Global goals to end acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) by 2030 have been set by the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) [1]. Despite growing evidence of effective measures to prevent human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infections and eliminate AIDS, such as early treatment and new prevention interventions, HIV transmission continues at considerable levels in Europe [2-5]. To better understand transmission patterns and identify key populations where prevention efforts need strengthening, we analysed HIV and AIDS surveillance data for the years 2005 to 2014. These data are reported annually by the 31 countries of the European Union and European Economic Area (EU/EEA) to a joint database for HIV/AIDS coordinated by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe.

**HIV and AIDS diagnoses in the EU/EEA in 2014**

In 2014, 29,992 people were newly diagnosed with HIV in the EU/EEA, a rate of 5.9 diagnoses per 100,000 population. The majority of cases (76.8%) were men (9.2 per 100,000 population vs 2.6 in women). This was largely driven by HIV transmission attributed to sex between men, which accounted for 12,677 (42%) of all HIV diagnoses. A total of 9,833 cases (33%) were attributed to heterosexual contact, 1,244 (4%) to injecting drug use, and for 5,908 (20%) of new diagnoses, the transmission mode was not reported or unknown.

Migrant status was defined as native (born in the reporting country) or foreign-born (born outside the reporting country). We adjusted for reporting delay, defined as the time between HIV diagnosis and the report of this event, using reverse Cox proportional hazards models. Linear regression models were used to test for trends, whereby the significance level was set at 0.05. Analysis of migrant status showed that more than one third (37%) of cases were foreign-born. The transmission patterns and migrant status of cases varied considerably between EU/EEA countries (Figure 1).

In 2014, 4,020 persons in 30 EU/EEA countries were diagnosed with AIDS, resulting in a rate of 0.8 per 100,000 population. AIDS rates varied markedly from 0.1 in Slovakia to 8.5 in Latvia. The most common route of HIV acquisition among persons diagnosed with AIDS was heterosexual contact (1,771 cases, 44%), while 1,130 cases (28.1%) were in men who have sex with men (MSM) and 588 (14.6%) in people who inject drugs (PWID). Just over one quarter (27.8%) of those diagnosed with AIDS in 2014 were foreign-born.

**Trends in new HIV and AIDS diagnoses**

Among the 27 countries reporting on new HIV diagnoses and transmission consistently between 2005 and 2014, the number and proportion of cases with known information on transmission and migrant status that were attributed to MSM increased significantly in native men from 5,319 (20% of cases) to 6,265 (29%) and in foreign-born men from 1,438 (6%) to 2,783 (10%) (p value for trend < 0.001 and 0.005, respectively) (Figure 2).
The proportion of cases attributed to heterosexual contact was stable among native cases, with 3,322 (17%) in 2005 and 3,368 (16%) in 2014, but decreased among foreign-born cases from 7,991 cases (31%) to 5,548 (21%) over the same period (p < 0.001). Native cases attributed to injecting drug use decreased over the period from 1,103 (4.2%) to 766 (2.9%) and cases in foreign-born PWID remained stable, with the exception of a slight increase in both groups in 2011 and 2012 due to localised outbreaks in Athens and Bucharest (not tested for trend).

The overall rate of AIDS cases reported in the EU/EEA has halved from 2.0 per 100,000 (9,203 cases) reported in 2005, but has increased by 50% or more since 2005 in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania and Slovenia. The number of AIDS cases has declined in all transmission groups, but most notably in native PWID (p < 0.001) and MSM (p < 0.001) and in native and foreign-born persons infected heterosexually (p < 0.001 for both groups) (Figure 3).

**Late diagnosis and AIDS**

Twenty-two EU/EEA countries reported information on CD4+ T-cell count/mm³ at HIV diagnosis for 18,467 (62%) of all cases diagnosed in 2014. Of these, 8,606 individuals (47%) had a CD4+ T-cell count at diagnosis of less than 350 cells/mm³, including 5,069 (27%) with evidence of advanced disease (CD4+ T-cells<200/mm³) (Figure 4).

When stratifying by transmission group and migrant status, the highest proportion of people presenting at a later stage of HIV infection (CD4+ T-cells<350 cells/mm³) was observed in foreign-born and native PWID (63% and 60%, respectively) and the lowest
proportion in foreign-born and native MSM (33% and 39%, respectively).

The median CD4\(^+\) T-cell count/mm\(^3\) by transmission group over time (Figure 5) increased in both native and foreign-born MSM (p = 0.075 and p = 0.001, respectively) and in foreign-born heterosexuals (p < 0.001), but not in native heterosexuals (p = 0.323). For cases who acquired HIV through drug injection, there was variation over the period, with a temporary peak in native PWID in 2011.

Discussion and conclusions
Our analysis indicates that HIV diagnoses continue to increase among native and foreign-born MSM, who represent the largest proportion of new HIV diagnoses in the EU/EEA but are diagnosed earlier than other groups. Although new diagnoses have declined in heterosexuals, influenced by a large decline in cases among foreign-born individuals, this remains the second most common transmission group in the EU/EEA. Transmission related to injecting drug use has declined, with the exception of the outbreak reported in two countries in 2011 and 2012, however late diagnosis remains a significant problem in PWID.

At national level, the diversity of HIV epidemic patterns is apparent. Differences in the population groups most at risk would require diversified and targeted approaches to best address particular national and sub-national variations. For countries with large, and in many cases growing, HIV epidemics in MSM, there is a pressing need to significantly scale up more effective multi-component prevention programmes based on the cornerstones of accessible and effective testing and treatment policies. They should further consider introducing new approaches to prevention, such as pre-exposure prophylaxis [6,7].

Despite the decline over the last decade, migrants still constitute a considerable proportion (37%) of new HIV diagnoses in the EU/EEA in 2014, reaching more than half in some countries. There is growing evidence that a substantial proportion of migrants, even those originating from HIV-endemic areas, acquire HIV after arrival in the EU/EEA, indicating the need for targeted interventions directed at this vulnerable population [8-11].

In all transmission categories, people continue to be diagnosed with HIV at an advanced stage of illness, particularly PWID and heterosexually acquired cases. These data suggest ongoing problems with access to, and uptake of, HIV testing in many countries [12].

Limitations to this analysis include incomplete data for some variables, particularly CD4\(^+\) T-cell count at diagnosis, transmission mode and country of birth. We addressed this by limiting the analysis to countries with more than 50% completeness of data for transmission mode and country of birth, and by performing sensitivity analysis for CD4\(^+\) T-cell trends over time by excluding countries that did not report more than 40%
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