

Originally published as:

Elisabeth Hauser, Erhard Tietze, Reiner Helmuth, Ernst Junker, Kathrin Blank, Rita Prager, Wolfgang Rabsch, Bernd Appel, Angelika Fruth, and Burkhard Malorny. Pork Contaminated with *Salmonella enterica* Serovar 4,[5],12:i:-, an Emerging Health Risk for Humans.

(2010) Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 76 (14), pp. 4601-4610.

DOI: 10.1128/AEM.02991-09

This is an author manuscript.

The definitive version is available at: http://aem.asm.org

Pork Contaminated with Salmonella enterica Serovar 4,[5],12:i:-, an Emerging Health Risk for Humans

Elisabeth Hauser, ^{1,2} Erhard Tietze, ³ Reiner Helmuth, ¹ Ernst Junker, ¹ Kathrin Blank, ³ Rita Prager, ³ Wolfgang Rabsch, ³ Bernd Appel, ⁴ Angelika Fruth, ³ and Burkhard Malorny^{1,*}

Abstract

Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar 4,[5], 12:i:- is a monophasic variant of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium (antigenic formula 4,[5],12:i:1,2). Worldwide, especially in several European countries and the United States, it has been reported among the 10 most frequently isolated serovars in pigs and humans. In the study reported here, 148 strains of the monophasic serovar isolated from pigs, pork, and humans in 2006 and 2007 in Germany were characterized by various phenotypic and genotypic methods. This characterization was done in order to investigate their clonality, the prevalence of identical subtypes in pigs, pork, and humans, and the genetic relatedness to other S. enterica serovar Typhimurium subtypes in respect to the pathogenic and resistance gene repertoire. Two major clonal lineages of the monophasic serovar were detected which can be differentiated by their phage types and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) profiles. Seventy percent of the strains tested belonged to definite phage type DT193, and those strains were mainly assigned to PFGE cluster B. Nineteen percent of the strains were typed to phage type DT120 and of these 86% belonged to PFGE cluster A. Sixty-five percent of the isolates of both lineages carried core multiresistance to ampicillin, streptomycin, tetracycline, and sulfamethoxazole encoded by the genes bla_{TEM1-like}, strAstrB, tet(B), and sul2. No correlation to the source of isolation was observed in either lineage. Microarray analysis of 61 S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- and 20 S. enterica serovar Typhimurium isolates tested determining the presence or absence of 102 representative pathogenicity genes in Salmonella revealed no differences except minor variations in single strains within and between the serovars, e.g., by presence of the virulence plasmid in four strains. Overall the study indicates that in Germany S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains isolated from pig. pork, and human are highly related. showing their transmission along the food chain. Since the pathogenicity gene repertoire is highly similar to that of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium, it is essential that interventions are introduced at the farm level in order to limit human infection.

Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium is a ubiquitous serovar that usually induces gastroenteritis in a broad range of unrelated host species. Following the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme, the seroformula for *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium is 4,[5],12:i:1,2 (14). Salmonella serotyping is based on antigenic variability of lipopolysaccharides (O antigen) and flagellar proteins (H1 and H2 antigens).

In the mid-1990s a monophasic *S. enterica* serovar with the seroformula 4,[5],12:i:- started to emerge in Europe (10). Initial characterization of isolates from pig samples in Spain in 1997 demonstrated that this serovar in comparison with *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium (4,[5],12:i:1,2) lacked the *fljB* gene encoding the structural subunit of the phase two flagellar (H2) antigen (11). The predominant phage type was U302. Another DNA microarray-based typing study indicated that the monophasic serovar had a gene repertoire highly similar to that of *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium, indicating a close genetic relatedness between the serovars (13). Similarly, multi-locus sequence typing showed that *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- and *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium represent a highly clonal group (23).

Within the last years *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i: has increasingly been implicated in human disease worldwide (1, 10, 24, 25). Recently, larger outbreaks caused by this serovar have been reported from Luxembourg and the United States (5, 19). A European Union (EU) baseline survey on

the prevalence of Salmonella in slaughter-age pigs in 2006 to 2007 revealed that the monophasic serovar was isolated from pigs in 9 of 25 participating member states (12). At the EU level, S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- was the fourth most prevalent serovar in slaughter-age pigs. In Germany it was the second most prevalent serovar after S. enterica serovar Typhimurium (12). Between 1999 and 2008 the proportion of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- isolates among all S. enterica isolates received by the German National Reference Laboratory for Salmonella increased from 0.1% to 8.3% (305 isolates in 2008), with the most remarkable increase between 2006 and 2007. Most of these strains (48% on average between 2006 and 2008) were isolated from pigs, followed by cattle (13%), poultry (5%), and other isolates sporadically found in the environment, wildlife, and reptiles. Remarkably, the annual proportion of the monophasic serovar among all S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- and S. enterica serovar Typhimurium isolates increased from 0.3% to 32.7% in the same decade. Interestingly, the number of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains isolated from humans and sent on voluntary basis to the National Reference Centre for Salmonella and other Enterics increased from 0.1% in 1999 to 14.0% (456 isolates) in 2008. Likewise, the proportion of the monophasic serovar among all S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- and S. enterica serovar Typhimurium isolates increased from 0.3% to 42.8% in the same time because of declining numbers of *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolates.

In the present study a collection of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains isolated from pigs, pork, and humans in Germany during the years 2006 and 2007 was examined using phenotypic and molecular methods. The aim of the analyses was to gain a better understanding of the clonality of the serovar and of the ability of its subtypes to be transmitted to humans via pigs and pork. Additionally, the genetic relatedness as well as the pathogenicity and antimicrobial resistance gene repertoire of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- was compared with selected *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains representing corresponding phage types in order to estimate the potential health risk for humans.

Materials and Methods

Selection of strains.

Fifty-two S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains were isolated from porcine lymph nodes during an EU monitoring study in 2006 and 2007 on the prevalence of Salmonella in slaughter-age pigs (3). Lymph nodes were selected because they are a marker of asymptomatic intestinal carriage, and crosscontamination is strongly reduced compared to samples from carcass swabs. In addition, 30 monophasic strains isolated from pork in the same time frame were selected and sent to the National Reference Laboratory for Salmonella (NRL-BFR), Berlin, Germany, on a routine basis. Moreover, 66 clinical strains from epidemiologically unrelated human gastroenteritis cases, also isolated in 2006 and 2007 and provided by the National Reference Centre for Salmonella and other Enterics (NRZ-RKI), Wernigerode, Germany, were included in the study (Table (Table1).1). Strains were selected to represent various geographical origins in Germany as well as to cover different seasons. A subset of 61 S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains representing a maximum of different combinations of phage types, resistance, multilocus variable-number tandem repeat (VNTR) assay (MLVA) results, and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) profiles were further selected for microarray analysis (Table (Table22). For genotypic comparison of the monophasic S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-, an additional 20 S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strains (10 from pig and 10 from human) were selected to provide coverage for the corresponding phage types (6 DT193, 11 DT120, 1 RDNC [for reaction did not conform to definite or provisional types], 1 DT104, and 1 DT029 phage type strains) or tetraresistance pattern of ampicillin, sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, and tetracycline (AMP-SMX-STR-TET, respectively) as found among the S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains. S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strains from pig were also obtained from the EU monitoring study in 2006 and 2007. S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strains from human were isolated from gastroenteritis cases and sent to NRZ-RKI.

Serotyping.

All strains were previously serotyped according to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme (14) by slide agglutination with O and H antigen-specific sera (Sifin Diagnostics, Berlin, Germany).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

Antimicrobial susceptibility of strains was tested against 17 antimicrobials or antimicrobial combinations by determining the MIC using the CLSI broth microdilution method (6) in combination with the semiautomatic Sensititre system (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, OH). Breakpoints were applied as previously described (22). Antimicrobials tested were amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC), AMP, chloramphenicol (CHL), ciprofloxacin (CIP), colistin (COL), florfenicol (FLO), gentamicin (GEN), kanamycin (KAN), neomycin (NEO), nalidixic acid (NAL), spectinomycin (SPE), STR, SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT), TET, trimethoprim (TMP), and ceftiofur (XNL).

Phage typing.

Phage typing was performed according to the phage typing scheme developed by Callow (4) and extended by Anderson et al. (2). Phage patterns not included in the scheme are designated RDNC.

DNA purification.

Strains were grown aerobically in Luria-Bertani broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) with shaking at 38°C for 16 to 18 h. DNA isolation was carried out using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol with the addition of 25 µl of proteinase K instead of 20 µl and extended lysis for 3.5 h. The quality and quantity of DNA were measured spectrophotometrically, and a minimum of 4 µg of high-quality DNA was used for labeling.

PCR.

All phenotypically monophasic *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains were tested by multiplex PCR with specific oligonucleotides for the presence of the antigenic genes *rfbJ* (O:4 antigen), *fliC* (H:i antigen), and *fljB* (H:1,2 antigen) according to Lim et al. (17). Another PCR using oligonucleotides Fsa2 and rFsa2 resulting in a product of 1,478 bp was also used in order to detect almost the entire DNA sequence of the *fljB* gene (7).

Plasmid analysis.

Plasmid DNA was extracted by an alkaline denaturation method described previously (15a), with minor modifications. Following extraction, plasmids were electrophoretically separated in horizontal 0.9% agarose gels at 100 V for 3.5 h in Tris-borate-EDTA buffer. For the determination of plasmid sizes the *Escherichia coli* reference plasmids R27 (112 MDa), R1 (62 MDa), RP4 (36 MDa), and ColE1 (4.2 MDa) and a supercoiled DNA ladder (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Karlsruhe, Germany) were included. The plasmids were stained with an aqueous solution of ethidium bromide (10 μg/ml; Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany) and imaged under UV illumination.

Multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis.

MLVA using an ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer and VNTR allele number assignment was performed as described by Lindstedt et al. (18).

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.

PFGE was carried out after digestion of genomic DNA with the restriction enzyme XbaI according to the PulseNet protocol (21). Gel images were analyzed in BioNumerics, version 5.1 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium), and compared by cluster analysis using the Dice coefficient and unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) with a position tolerance of 1.5% and optimization of 1.0%.

DNA microarray analysis.

The DNA microarray used in this study was as previously described (15). A set of 275 gene-specific 57- to 60-mer oligonucleotide probes derived from *Salmonella* sequences deposited in the GenBank at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) were designed using the program Array Designer, version 4.1 (Premier Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA). The probes were assigned to seven different marker groups depending on the functionality of the corresponding gene sequence (number of probes): pathogenicity (80), resistance (49), serotyping (33), fimbriae (22), DNA mobility (57), metabolism (21), and prophages (13). In addition, three 57- to 61-mer oligonucleotides derived from the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genes RCA (M86720), RCP1 (NM_12175), and PRKASE (X58149) were designed as negative-control probes. Virulence determinants for each strain analyzed were categorized according to their locations on the *Salmonella* genome: Salmonella pathogenicity islands (SPIs), prophages, plasmid, islets, and fimbrial clusters. Microarray signals, which were assigned as "uncertain" by microarray analysis, were reanalyzed by PCR using primers as previously described (15). Following PCR testing, an individual decision was made for the presence or absence of this target. Analysis of the DNA microarray data was performed as previously described (15). A comparison between strains was done in BioNumerics, version 5.1, after importing data, gene present or absent, as the character type.

Statistical methods.

To assess the discriminatory power of phage type, PFGE, and MLVA, Simpson's index of diversity (ID) and the 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using the Comparing Partitions website (http://darwin.phyloviz.net/ComparingPartitions/index.php?link=Tool).

Results

Phenotypic characteristics of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-.

Seventy percent (104 strains) of the 148 selected monophasic *Salmonella* strains expressed the O:5 antigen (*S. enterica* serovar 4,5,12:i:-) while for 30% the O:5 antigen was serologically undetectable (*S. enterica* serovar 4,12:i:-). The O:5 antigen-negative strains were found at 35% prevalence in isolates from primary production (lymph nodes) and pork and with a 24% prevalence in isolates of human origin. Phage typing revealed that 70% (104) of the strains were assigned as DT193, and 19% (28) were assigned as DT120. A smaller proportion was tested as RDNC (4%) or was not typeable ([NT] 5%). Only 2% of the strains belonged to other phage types (Table (Table3).3). The Simpson's index of diversity for phage typing was 47.8 (95% CI, 39.2 to 56.5). No statistically significant difference (*P* < 0.05) was found between phage types and the source of strains.

Twenty-seven different antimicrobial resistance profiles were identified, with 90% (133) of the strains resistant to more than one antimicrobial and 81% (120) resistant to four or more antimicrobials. The predominant resistance pattern observed was the combination of ampicillin, sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, and tetracycline (AMP-SMX-STR-TET). This pattern of resistance was observed in 65% (96) of the strains and approximately equally distributed among isolates from primary production, meat, and human origin (Table (Table4).4). Sixteen percent of the strains were resistant to other antimicrobials in addition to the tetraresistance group.

Typing of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).

Among the 148 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12::- strains, 44 different Xbal profiles (ID, 85.4 [95% CI, 80.1 to 90.8]) were identified (Fig. (Fig.1).1). The 20 *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains selected for genotypic comparison revealed 13 different Xbal profiles (Fig. (Fig.1).1). Three profiles (X01, X05, and X11) were found in both serovars. The profiles were used to generate a UPGMA tree using the Dice similarity coefficient. The tree distinguished the strains into five PFGE clusters (A, B, C, D, and E) (Fig. (Fig.1).1). Sixty-eight percent of the monophasic strains (100 out of 148) belonged to cluster B, dominated by phage type DT193 strains (96 out of 100). The most prevalent PFGE profile was X31, which corresponds to STYMXB.0131 (designation according to PulseNet Europe). It was observed in

36% (54 strains) of all monophasic strains analyzed. No statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) was found in cluster B for the source of strains.

Typing of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- by MLVA.

Table Table55 summarizes the MLVA typing for the 148 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains. Thirty-eight different MLVA profiles (ID, 91.8 [95% CI, 98.6 to 94.1]) were identified, with most variation noted in loci STTR5 (8 different alleles) and STTR6 (10 different alleles). For locus STTR9, the allele number 2 was observed in all but two strains, and locus STTR10pl located on the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid was absent in all but three strains. The most prominent combination of alleles was 2-6-4-0-2 (order of loci, STTR9-STTR5-STTR6-STTR10pl-STTR3) which was found in 20% of the *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains tested. Statistically significant differences (*P* < 0.05) were calculated for MLVA profile 2-6-4-0-2 between isolates from pork and human as well as for profile 2-7-5-0-2 between isolates from pork and both primary production and human.

Characterization of clonal lineages in S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-.

The most prominent combination of phenotypic characteristics was that 74 of the 103 O:5 antigen-positive strains belonged to phage type DT193. Among these strains 77% (57 strains) harbored the most prevalent tetraresistance pattern AMP-SMX-STR-TET, and 84% of these (48 strains) also corresponded to the most frequently found PFGE cluster B. These characteristics were united in 32% of the 148 strains selected. Further discrimination of the 48 strains by MLVA revealed 15 different MLVA profiles, with 50% of the strains assigned to the combined MLVA profile 2-X-4-0-2 (X represents five various STTR5 alleles). These isolates were obtained from primary production, pork, and human samples.

For the second-most-prevalent phage type DT120 (28 strains), 57% (16 strains) of the DT120 strains also demonstrated AMP-SMX-STR-TET tetraresistance. Interestingly, eight tetraresistant strains and another eight multiresistant phage type DT120 strains were positive by PCR for the second-phase flagellum gene *fljB*_1,2 although phenotypically the H1,2 antigen was repeatedly not detected. In contrast to the phage type DT193 strains, nearly all phage type DT120 strains belonged to PFGE cluster A, with further discrimination into 10 different MLVA profiles and a maximum of three strains belonging to one pattern. Similarly to phage type DT193, isolates originated again from pigs, pork, and humans.

Determination of pathogenicity gene repertoire in S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-.

For 57 of the 61 strains tested (Table (Table2),2), an identical virulence gene profile was observed with all probes positive for *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands SPI1 to SPI5 and probes negative for SPI7. Additionally, genes for Gifsy-1 (*gipA* and *gogB*) and Gifsy-2 (*gtgA*, *sodC1*, and *ssel*) prophage were present while *sspH1* (encoding a *Salmonella*-secreted protein) located in Gifsy-3 and *sodCIII* (encoding putative Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase) located in Fels-1 were absent. Also other genes (*hldD DT104*, *irsA*, and *sopE1*) harbored by prophages were absent (data not shown).

Four strains shared a different pathogenicity gene profile. Three genes associated with the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid pSLT (*spvC*, *spvR*, and *rck*) gave positive signals but the fimbrial gene *pefA* usually harbored by the plasmid was absent. One of the three isolates (isolate 08-03972; phage type DT120) harbored *hldD* typically on a prophage in *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium phage type DT104 as well as *sopE1* (prophage-encoded effector protein). The same strain lacked *gipA* (encoding the Peyer's patch-specific virulence factor GipA), which was also absent in another of the three isolates (isolate 07-02781; phage type DT193). The fourth, nontypeable phage type isolate (06-04115) lacked the *pipA* and *pipD* genes present on SPI5.

Microarray analysis of serotype marker genes in S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-.

The three genes *fljA*, *fljB*_1,x (where x represents various antigenic markers), and *hin* consecutively ordered on the *Salmonella* chromosome encode the structural gene (*fljB*) and are important for phase variation (*fljA* and *hin*) of the second-phase flagellum antigen. Five combinations for these markers were found within the test set of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- isolates. Of 61 strains, 42 were negative when tested with the three probes for *fljA*, *fljB*_1,x, and *hin*. Three isolates harbored only *fljA* while three were positive only for *hin*. Of the remaining 13 serologically monophasic strains, four possessed genes for *fljA* and *fljB*_1,x but were negative for *hin* while nine isolates were positive for all three genes. All 13 strains were positive for a specific *fljB*_1,2 DNA fragment. Additional PCRs to amplify the complete *fljB* revealed seven positive results, of which six gave the expected DNA fragment size of 1,478 bp, while for one strain (07-00711) a fragment larger than 2 kb was amplified. The majority of strains showing variation within this region belonged to phage type DT120 or other rare phage types (e.g., DT7 or DT59).

Determination of the antimicrobial resistance determinant repertoire.

Twenty-two different genotypic resistance combinations were found within the 61 test strains of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12::– analyzed (Fig. (Fig.2).2). Nine additional genotypic combinations were identified in the 20 *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains that were selected for genetic relatedness studies (see below). Generally, the antimicrobial phenotype corresponded with the antimicrobial genotype with two exceptions. Strains 07-03017 (phage type NT) and 07-01536 (phage type DT193) were negative for *floR* although phenotypically conferring resistance to florfenicol and chloramphenicol. The main phenotypic tetraresistance pattern AMP-SMX-STR-TET was encoded by genes *bla*_{TEM1-like} (encoding β-lactamase), *sul*2 (encoding dihydropteroate synthase), *strA-strB* (encoding aminoglycoside phosphotransferase), and *tet*(B) (encoding an efflux pump), respectively.

One *S. enterica* serovar 4,5,12:i:– phage type DT120 strain (08-03972) isolated from human harbored the combination of int1, $qacE\Delta$, sul, tet(G) and floR typical for Salmonella genomic island 1 (SGI-1). The strain was genotypically identified as S. enterica serovar Typhimurium (probes for hin, fljA, and $fljB_1$, gaussian).

Genetic relatedness of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- to S. enterica serovar Typhimurium.

The genetic relatedness of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- and *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains was determined by MLVA, PFGE, and DNA microarray. For the comparative studies 20 *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains isolated from humans and pigs were selected. Of the 20 isolates selected, 30% were assigned to phage type DT193, 55% to DT120, 5% to RDNC, and 10% to other phage types. The isolates belonged to eight different phenotypic resistance profiles including the tetraresistance AMP-SMX-STR-TET profile in 50% of the strains. In MLVA 14 different allele combinations were found in the 20 *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains, with the most prominent combination 2-5-20-0-2 in 25% of the strains belonging to phage type DT120. This combination was found only in one (phage type DT120) of the 148 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains tested. In both serovars VNTR loci STTR3 and STTR9 were mainly assigned to allele number 2. Only one of the phage type DT120 strains of both serovars was positive for the VNTR locus STTR10pl typically located on the pSLT plasmid. Seventy percent (14/20) of the *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains were grouped to PFGE cluster A. The majority of these strains (79%) belonged to phage type DT120. The remaining 30% of the *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolates were assigned to cluster D and belonged mainly to DT193.

Twenty-seven of the 61 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains analyzed harbored at least one plasmid ranging between 110 kb and <2 kb (Table (Table2).2). Of these, only three strains were also positive for the *spvC*, *spvR*, and *rck* genes typically located on the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid pSLT. In comparison, only two *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains harbored no plasmid while most strains revealed one (nine strains), two (five strains), or four plasmids (four strains). Although plasmids of 91-kb size (virulence plasmid size of *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain LT2, 94 kb) that were positive for *spvC*, *spvR*, and *rck* were found in four phage type DT193 strains, the plasmid-associated

pef gene and MLVA VNTR locus STTR10pl were not identified, indicating a possible variant of the virulence plasmid.

The pathogenicity gene repertoire analyzed by DNA microarray in 14 out of the 20 *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains was identical to the pattern of virulence determinants found in the *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains. Additionally four other strains shared the virulence genes *spvC*, *spvR*, and *rck* harbored on the plasmid Furthermore, one of the four strains was negative for the *gipA* gene. One strain (06-04998) was positive for *spvC* but negative for *rck* and *gipA*. Another strain (07-02186; phage type DT193) was positive for *sopE1* (phage-encoded effector protein) and lacked *gipA* and *gogB*. All *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains shared the same set of fimbrial genes.

The resistance gene repertoire often differed between both serovars despite the identical phenotypic profiles including tetraresistance (AMP-SMX-STR-TET). In *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium phage type DT193 strains (five out of six), tetracycline resistance was encoded by *tet*(A) instead of *tet*(B) as detected in *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i- phage type DT193 strains. Sulfamethoxazole resistance was sometimes additionally encoded by the gene *sul1* in addition to *sul2*. Ampicillin resistance was uniquely encoded by *bla*_{TEM1-like}, and streptomycin was mainly encoded by *strA-strB* but sometimes in combination with *aadA1*.

Discussion

S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12::- is a worldwide emerging monophasic serovar (24). In Europe, especially, pork and its products contaminated with this serovar were identified as sources for human Salmonella infections (8, 10, 19). Several studies indicated that the serovar is a monophasic variant of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium lacking a genomic region that harbors the structural and regulating genes fljA, fljB, and hin encoding the second-phase flagellum (1, 8, 11, 13, 23).

The studies reported here support the observation that *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- is an emerging hazard for humans and that this hazard is directly linked to the consumption of contaminated pork. A substantial number of strains isolated from pig, pork, and human were extensively characterized to understand the clonality, resistance patterns, and pathogenicity gene repertoire, and their genetic relatedness to the classical biphasic *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium was studied. This is the first study which comprehensively compares *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- isolates obtained from the food chain and from clinical cases of gastroenteritis in human.

A main lineage of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- was identified in the isolates which primarily belonged to phage type DT193 and exhibited at least the tetraresistance pattern AMP-SMX-STR-TET encoded by *bla*_{TEM1-like}, *sul2*, *strA-strB*, and *tet*(B), respectively. The second independently evolved lineage was phage type DT120. It was striking that 57% of the phenotypically monophasic phage type DT120 strains were positive by PCR for *fljB*_1,2, *fljA*, and *hin*. Furthermore, the DT193 and DT120 strains investigated revealed a number of other different genetic properties, e.g., different clustering by PFGE and MLVA. This indicates that in Germany monophasic phage type DT120 strains have formed an additional clonal lineage different from that of phage type DT193 strains. However, further studies are required to elucidate if the monophasic phage type DT120 lineage occurs also in other European countries or worldwide. Independent of their phage type, approximately 30% of the strains investigated did not express the O:5 antigen although the antigen-encoding gene *oafA* was present in their genomes. Preliminary experiments showed that a small 7-bp deletion or an interruption by an insertion (IS) element within the *oafA* open reading frame was responsible for the abolition of the O:5 antigen expression in these strains (E. Hauser et al., unpublished data). The lack of the O:5 antigen did not correlate with the source of the isolates.

An outbreak of human gastroenteritis associated with consumption of pork products in Luxembourg was found to be caused by *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- phage type DT193 with the tetraresistance pattern and the PFGE profile STYMXB.0131 (18). This was also the most prominent PFGE profile for the phage type DT193 strains in this study. It may be that an expansion of this clonal lineage has begun within Europe. However, no reliable data confirming this hypothesis have been published from other European countries; this is likely to be because of the lack of subtyping data for this monophasic serovar. Identical traits were found in isolates from pigs, pork, and humans. Consequently, the serovar

is able to transmit via the food chain to humans. Isolates from feeding stuff were not received at the NRL-BFR before 2007. The role feeding stuff may play in dissemination remains to be elucidated.

Previous studies from Spain revealed that monophasic phage type U302 strains were isolated mainly from pigs (8). In these studies U302 strains exhibited resistance to chloramphenicol (CHL) in addition to the tetraresistance within the phage type DT193 strains. An Italian study of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:– strains from humans indicated that the tetraresistance of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:– was associated with phage type U302 and nontypeable strains (9). Therefore, the DT193 strains from Germany probably originated from a different clonal lineage than the Spanish U302 strains. The difference in phage types reported in Spain and Italy from those reported in Germany indicates a change within the last years in the subtype of *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:– spreading throughout Europe because currently phage type DT193 is much more frequently observed than the phage type U302 initially observed in Spain. A recent study comparing Spanish and U.S. isolates identified also at least two clonal lines in *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:– (23). They differ in a deletion surrounding *fljAB*. However, the German isolates investigated here represent yet another clonal lineage compared to the U.S. isolates because those were mainly pan-susceptible and represented by other PFGE profiles, as described in this study.

In 42 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains tested by microarray, a chromosomal deletion including *hin*, *fljB*, and *fljA* was responsible for the monophasic phenotype. This deletion was previously described in association with the Spanish monophasic phage type U302 strain, where 16 genes were implicated (13). Furthermore, several variants of partial deletions within this region were detected, including partial or complete deletion of *fljB* or a possible deletion of *fljA* and *fljB*, although *hin* was still found to be present (23, 26). In the U.S. isolates a major region containing 76 genes was deleted, but the *hin* gene at the 3' end of the deletion was present, which was not the case in the Spanish isolates (23). These and new variants were detected in this study, e.g., isolates with only *fljA*, only *hin*, or an insert in *fljB*. These data clearly indicate that within this region of the *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium genome, multiple independent deletions can occur, leading to phenotypically monophasic *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:-.

The relatedness of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- to S. enterica serovar Typhimurium has previously been discussed (11, 26). Zamperini et al. (26) observed identical PFGE patterns in S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- and S. enterica serovar Typhimurium and also some of the typical pathogenicity genes of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium. Another study suggested S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- as a possible monophasic variant of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium phage type U302 based on comparison of PFGE and resistance profiles (8). Similar conclusions were outlined during investigations on isolates from Thailand (1). DNA microarray-based analyses comparing almost all protein coding regions of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strain LT2 (genome sequenced) with those of S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- U302 found only a few differences (13). Both serovars can also share the same multilocus sequence type (23). In this study a comparison of 102 virulence determinants using a comprehensive set of strains clearly showed the close, almost identical, pathogenicity gene repertoire, independently of whether the strains belonged to the monophasic or biphasic S. enterica serovar Typhimurium. All markers indicating fimbrial clusters occurring in S. enterica serovar Typhimurium were also positive in S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-. It has been previously shown that fimbrial clusters are conserved within a serovar (15, 20). Nevertheless, there were some interesting genetic differences between phage type DT193 isolates of both serovars. Tetracycline resistance was encoded mainly by tet(B) in DT193 S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains, whereas it was encoded by tet(A) in DT193 S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strains. Additionally, the strains clustered in different PFGE clades. Such differences indicate that the S. enterica serovar Typhimurium phage type DT193 lineage is not a direct ancestor of the monophasic phage type DT193. In contrast, S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- phage type DT120 strains showed more genetic congruence with the S. enterica serovar Typhimurium phage type DT120 strains, suggesting that this biphasic subtype is the recent common ancestor of the monophasic variant.

In conclusion, the typing of isolates received at both German national reference laboratories (NRL-BFR and NRZ-RKI) based on a routine diagnostic indicates that *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- is a continually emerging pathogen in Germany. Molecular analyses showed that the same genotypes can be isolated from pigs, pork, and humans. Two main lineages are currently spreading in pigs and humans which are characterized by phage type DT193 and DT120, respectively. Both exhibited tetraresistance to AMP, SMX, STR, and TET. Due to the close genetic relatedness to *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium, in particular with respect to the pathogenicity gene repertoire, the ongoing

control measure programs to eradicate *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium in food-producing animals will have to include the monophasic variant, too.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, FBI-Zoo (01 KI 07123).

We thank Beatriz Guerra-Roman and Andreas Schroeter for continuous support. We also thank Cornelia Bunge-Croissant for technical assistance and Roberto La Ragione (Veterinary Laboratories Agency, Weybridge, United Kingdom) for his critical revision of the manuscript.

References

- 1. **Amavisit, P., W. Boonyawiwat, and A. Bangtrakulnont.** 2005. Characterization of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and monophasic *Salmonella* serovar 1,4,[5],12:i:_ isolates in Thailand. J. Clin. Microbiol. **43:**2736–2740.
- 2. Anderson, E. S., L. R. Ward, M. J. de Saxe, and J. D. H. de Sa. 1977. Bacteriophage-typing designations of *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Hyg. (Lond.) **78**:297–300.
- 3. **Anonymous.** 2006. Commission decision of 29 September 2006 concerning a financial contribution by the Community towards a baseline survey on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in slaughter pigs to be carried out in the Member States [notified under document number C(2006) 4306 (2006/668/EC)]. Official J. Eur. Union **2006:**L275/51–L275/61.
- 4. Callow, B. R. 1959. A new phage-typing scheme for *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Hyg. (Lond.) **57**:346–359.
- 5. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.** 2007. Investigation of outbreak of human infections caused by *Salmonella* serotype I 4,[5],12:i:_. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. http://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/4512eyeminus.html.
- 6. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2006. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard M7-A7, 7th ed., vol. 23. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 7. **Dauga, C., A. Zabrovskaia, and P. A. Grimont.** 1998. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of some flagellin genes of *Salmonella enterica*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **36**:2835–2843.
- 8. de la Torre, E., D. Zapata, M. Tello, W. Mejia, N. Frias, F. J. Garcia Pena, E. M. Mateu, and E. Torre. 2003. Several *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serotype 4,5,12:i:_ phage types isolated from swine samples originate from serotype Typhimurium DT U302. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**2395—2400.
- 9. Dionisi, A. M., C. Graziani, C. Lucarelli, E. Filetici, L. Villa, S. Owczarek, A. Caprioli, and I. Luzzi. 2009. Molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant strains of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium and monophasic variant (*S.* 4,[5],12:i:_) isolated from human infections in Italy. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. **6:**711–717.
- 10. Echeita, M. A., A. Aladuena, S. Cruchaga, and M. A. Usera. 1999. Emergence and spread of an atypical *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serotype 4,5,12:i:_ strain in Spain. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:3425.
- 11. **Echeita, M. A., S. Herrera, and M. A. Usera.** 2001. Atypical, *fljB*-negative *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* strain of serovar 4,5,12:i:_ appears to be a monophasic variant of serovar Typhimurium. J. Clin. Microbiol. **39:**2981–2983.
- 12. **European Food Safety Authority.** 2008. Report of the Task Force on Zoonoses Data Collection on the analysis of the baseline survey on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in slaughter pigs, in the EU, 2006–2007. EFSA J. **135:** 1–111.
- 13. Garaizar, J., S. Porwollik, A. Echeita, A. Rementeria, S. Herrera, R. M. Wong, J. Frye, M. A. Usera, and M. McClelland. 2002. DNA microarraybased typing of an atypical monophasic *Salmonella enterica* serovar. J. Clin. Microbiol. **40**:2074–2078.
- Grimont, P. A., and F. X. Weill. 2007. Antigenic formulae of the Salmonella serovars, 9th ed. WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.
- 15. **Huehn, S., C. Bunge, E. Junker, R. Helmuth, and B. Malorny.** 2009. Poultry associated *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar 4,12:d:_ reveals high clonality and a distinct pathogenicity gene repertoire. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **75:**1011–1020.
- 15a. **Kado, C. I., and S. T. Liu.** 1981. Rapid procedure for detection and isolation of large and small plasmids. J. Bacterial. **145**:1365–1373.
- 16. Larsson, J. T., M. Torpdahl, R. F. Petersen, G. Sørensen, B. A. Lindsted, and E. M. Nielsen. 2009. Development of a new nomenclature for *Salmonella* Typhimurium multilocus variable number of tandem repeats analysis (MLVA). Euro Surveill. **14**:pii19174.
- 17. Lim, Y. H., K. Hirose, H. Izumiya, E. Arakawa, H. Takahashi, J. Terajima, K. Itoh, K. Tamura, S. I. Kim, and H. Watanabe. 2003. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay for selective detection of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. Jpn. J. Infect. Dis. **56**:151–155.
- 18. **Lindstedt, B. A., T. Vardund, L. Aas, and G. Kapperud.** 2004. Multiple-locus variable-number tandem-repeats analysis of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium using PCR multiplexing and multicolor capillary electrophoresis. J. Microbiol. Methods **59**:163–172.

- 19. Mossong, J., P. Marques, C. Ragimbeau, P. Huberty-Krau, S. Losch, G. Meyer, G. Moris, C. Strottner, W. Rabsch, and F. Schneider. 2007. Outbreaks of monophasic *Salmonella enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:_ in Luxembourg, 2006. Euro Surveill. **12**:E11–E12.
- 20. Porwollik, S., E. F. Boyd, C. Choy, P. Cheng, L. Florea, E. Proctor, and M. McClelland. 2004. Characterization of *Salmonella enterica* subspecies I genovars by use of microarrays. J. Bacteriol. **186:**5883–5898.
- 21. Ribot, E. M., M. A. Fair, R. Gautom, D. N. Cameron, S. B. Hunter, B. Swaminathan, and T. J. Barrett. 2006. Standardization of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* for PulseNet. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 3:59–67.
- 22. **Schroeter**, **A.**, **B. Hoog**, **and R. Helmuth.** 2004. Resistance of *Salmonella* isolates in Germany. J. Vet. Med. B **51**:389–392.
- 23. Soyer, Y., S. A. Moreno, M. A. Davis, J. Maurer, P. L. McDonough, D. J. Schoonmaker-Bopp, N. B. Dumas, T. Root, L. D. Warnick, Y. T. Grohn, and M. Wiedmann. 2009. *Salmonella enterica* serotype 4,5,12:i:_, an emerging *Salmonella* serotype that represents multiple distinct clones. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:3546–3556.
- 24. **Switt, A. I., Y. Soyer, L. D. Warnick, and M. Wiedmann.** 2009. Emergence, distribution, and molecular and phenotypic characteristics of *Salmonella enterica* serotype 4,5,12:i:_. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. **6:**407–415.
- 25. **Tavechio, A. T., A. C. Ghilardi, and S. A. Fernand es.** 2004. "Multiplex PCR" identification of the atypical and monophasic *Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica* serotype 1,4,[5],12:i:_ in Sao Paulo State, Brazil: frequency and antibiotic resistance patterns. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo **46:**115–117.
- 26. Zamperini, K., V. Soni, D. Waltman, S. Sanchez, E. C. Theriault, J. Bray, and J. J. Maurer. 2007. Molecular characterization reveals *Salmonella enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:_ from poultry is a variant Typhimurium serovar. Avian Dis. **51**:958–964.

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Source of isolates used in this study

Isolate source (n) ^a	No. of isola	ites by date				
	2006	2007				
Primary production (52)	9	43				
Pork (30)	9	21				
Human (66)	32	34				

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ Isolates (total of 148) were obtained from all sources between October 2006 and September 2007 in agreement with the EU monitoring study (12). n, number of isolates.

 Table 2. S. enterica strains selected for DNA microarray and phenotypic analysis

Strain no.	German federal state	Origin	Serotype	Phage type ^d	Resistance ^b	PFGE cluster	MLVA	Size of plasmid(s) (kb)	
07- 00040	Schleswig- Holstein	Pork	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-7-6- 0-2	None	
07- 04070	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pork	4,5,12:i:-	RDNC	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-4-4- 0-2	None	
07- 00711	Thuringia	Pork	4,5,12:i:- ^a	DT59	AMP, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	Α	2-8-5- 0-2	121, 6, 3	
07- 01548	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pork	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, CHL, FFN, NAL, SMX, STR, TET	С	2-5-4- 0-1	106	
07- 02081	Bavaria	Pork	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-19- 0-2	None	
07- 02603	Lower Saxony	Pork	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-7-4- 0-2	None	
07- 03608	Lower Saxony	Pork	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-20- 0-2	21	
07- 00009	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pork	4,12:i:-	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-5-7- 0-2	None	
07- 01272	Saxony-Anhalt	Pork	4,12:i:-	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	Α	2-5-8- 0-2	3, 2	
07- 01585	Schleswig- Holstein	Pork	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, CHL, FFN, NAL, SMX, STR, TET	С	2-5-4- 0-3	None	
07- 02902	Baden- Württemberg	Pork	4,12:i:-	RDNC	AMP, TET	В	2-5-5- 0-3	111	
07- 03017	Lower Saxony	Pork	4,12:i:- ^a	NT	AMP, CHL, FFN, SMX, STR, TET	D	2-7-5- 0-2	2	
07- 03371	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pork	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-4-4- 0-2	None	
	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pork	4,5,12:i:- ^a	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-7-5- 0-2	None	
06- 04991	Brandenburg	Pork	4,5,12:i:− ^a	DT120	AMP, KAN, NEO, SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP,	A	2-7-5- 0-2	131, 3	
06- 04115	Saxony	Pork	4,12:i:-	NT	SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	А	2-2-7- 0-2	43, <2	
06- 04419	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:- ^a	NT	TET	А	2-5-5- 0-3	2.2, 2	
06- 04446	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	RDNC	Susceptible	E	1-3-20- 2-3	94	
06- 05055	Brandenburg	Pig	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP,KAN, NEO, SMX, SPE, STR, TET	А	2-5-19- 0-2	100, 73, 5, <2	
06- 05089	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, CHL, FFN, SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	В	2-7-19- 0-2	54	
07- 00244	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:- ^a	RDNC	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	D	2-7-2- 0-2	None	

Strain no.	German federal state	Origin	Serotype	Phage type ^d	Resistance ^b	PFGE cluster	MLVA ^c	Size of plasmid(s) (kb)
07- 00404	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:-	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	A	2-5-5- 0-2	None
07- 00528	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:- ^a	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-7-5- 0-2	5, 3
07- 00679	Saxony-Anhalt	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	А	2-5-20- 0-2	None
07- 00768	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-4-20- 0-2	None
07- 00769	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	TET	В	2-5-4- 0-2	94
07- 01173	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-4- 0-1	None
07- 01526	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:- ^a	DT120	Susceptible	А	2-6-4- 0-2	None
07- 01536	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pig	4,12:i:-	DT193	CHL, FFN, NAL, TET	В	2-5-5- 0-2	None
07- 01577	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pig	4,12:i:- ^a	DT120	TET	А	2-6-5- 0-2	None
07- 01798	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT120	KAN, NEO	В	2-6-4- 0-2	3
07- 02006	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-4- 0-2	None
07- 02199	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-4-5- 0-2	2.2, 2
07- 02432	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-5- 0-2	None
07- 02684	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	В	2-6-20- 0-1	5, <2
07- 02736	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX,STR, TET	В	2-6-17- 0-2	None
07- 02781	Rheinland- Pfalz	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	Susceptible	D	18-6-3- 2-2	94
07- 02789	Thuringia	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-5-4- 0-2	None
07- 02809	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-5-4- 0-2	2.4, 2.2
07- 03136	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:− ^a	DT120	KAN, NEO, SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	D	2-4-6- 0-2	111, 94
07- 03327	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	В	2-6-7- 0-2	102
07- 03466	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-7-3- 0-2	None
08- 03968	Hamburg	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-4- 0-2	None
08- 03969	Hamburg	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT7	TET	А	2-5-5- 0-2	5
08- 03972	Hamburg	Human	4,5,12:i:- ^a	DT120	AMP, CHL, FFN, SMX, TET	D	2-7-3- 4-3	94, 5

Strain no.	German federal state	Origin	Serotype	Phage type ^d	Resistance ^b	PFGE cluster	MLVA	Size of plasmid(s) (kb)
08- 03974	Hamburg	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	Α	2-7-19- 0-2	None
08- 03979	Berlin	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-4-5- 0-2	None
08- 03985	Lower Saxony	Human	4,12:i:-	U302	AMP, CIP, NAL, SMX, STR, TET	С	2-5-4- 0-3	65
08- 03987	Baden- Württemberg	Human	4,5,12:i:- ^a	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-7-5- 0-2	None
08- 03988	North Rhine- Westphalia	Human	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-4-4- 0-2	54
08- 03990	Saxony	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	TET	В	2-6-4- 0-2	None
08- 03996	Lower Saxony	Human	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP SMX STR TET	В	2-4-6- 0-2	None
08- 03997	Lower Saxony	Human	4,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-20- 0-1	None
08- 03999	Saxony-Anhalt	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-5-4- 0-2	None
08- 04002	Schleswig- Holstein	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-4-4- 0-2	None
08- 04009	Mecklenburg Western Pomerania	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-6-5- 0-2	None
08- 04018	Saxony-Anhalt	Human	4,5,12:i:-	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-5-4- 0-2	None
08- 04019	Mecklenburg Western Pomerania	Human	4,5,12:i:-	NT	AMP, SMX, STR	В	2-6-4- 0-2	None
08- 04024	Thuringia	Human	4,12:i:-	DT193	Susceptible	А	2-6-7- 0-2	2, <2
08- 04030	Saxony-Anhalt	Human	4,5,12:i:- ^a	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	В	2-5-5- 0-2	None
08- 04031	Rhineland- Palatinate	Human	4,5,12:i:-	NT	AMP, TET	А	2-6-20- 0-2	6, 3
06- 04998	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pig	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT104	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	D	5-2-8- 0-2	3
07- 00577	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, CHL, GEN, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-5-4- 0-2	132,30
07- 00635	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, CHL, KAN, NEO, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-6-5- 0-2	3, 9, 30
07- 01010	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, KAN, NEO, SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	Α	2-6-19- 0-2	170, 114, 4
07- 01529	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR	А	2-5-4- 0-2	132, 6, 3
07- 02186	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:1,2	DT029	AMP, SMX, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	А	2-6-0- 0-2	70
07- 02788	Thuringia	Pig	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TMP	А	2-8-5- 0-2	120

Strain no.	German federal state	Origin	Serotype	Phage type ^d	Resistance ^b	PFGE cluster	MLVA	Size of plasmid(s) (kb)
07- 03137	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT193	KAN, NEO, SMX, SPE, STR, SXT, TET, TMP,	А	2-6-4- 0-2	140, 4
07- 03250	North Rhine- Westphalia	Pig	4,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	А	2-5-20- 0-2	120
07- 03714	Lower Saxony	Pig	4,12:i:1,2	RDNC	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	D	2-6-5- 0-2	25, 3
09- 01035	Schleswig- Holstein	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	D	5-2-5- 0-2	91, 2
09- 01036	Mecklenburg Western Pomerania	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP SMX STR TET	A	2-5-20- 0-2	3, 4
09- 01037	Mecklenburg Western Pomerania	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-5-20- 0-2	6, 4, 3
09- 01039	Saxony-Anhalt	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	D	5-2-17- 0-2	91
09- 01041	Saxony-Anhalt	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-8-20- 0-2	4
09- 01043	Mecklenburg Western Pomerania	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	D	4-2-3- 0-2	91
09- 01044	Mecklenburg Western Pomerania	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	D	4-2-2- 0-2	91
09- 01045	Mecklenburg Western Pomerania	Human	4,12:i:1,2	DT193	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	А	2-7-20- 0-2	108
09- 01046	Saxony	Human	4,5,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR, TET	Α	2-5-20- 0-2	None
09- 01047	Saxony-Anhalt	Human	4,12:i:1,2	DT120	AMP, SMX, STR	А	2-5-20- 0-2	None

^aPCR positive for *fljB*_1,2.

^bAbbreviations are given in Materials and Methods.

^cOrder of VNTR loci: STTR9-STTR5-STTR6-STTR10-STTR3. According to Larsson et al. (16) STTR3 allele number 2 corresponds to number 211, number 1 corresponds to 111, and number 3 corresponds to 311.

^dNT, nontypeable.

Table 3. Phage type distribution in 148 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains

Phogo typo ^a	% Distribution of different phage type by isolate source (<i>n</i>) b										
Phage type ^a	Primary production (52)	Pork (30)	Human (66)	Total (148)							
DT193	75	57	71	70							
DT120	19	23	17	19							
RDNC	4	10	1	4							
Other (DT59, DT7, U302, NT)	2	10	11	7							

a RDNC, reaction did not conform; NT, nontypeable.

b n, number of isolates.

Table 4. Resistance profiles in 148 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains

Resistance ^a	% Distribution of different resistance profiles by isolate source $(n)^{\underline{b}}$										
	Primary production (52)	Pork (30)	Human (66)	Total (148)							
AMP, SMX, STR, TET	58	63	71	65							
TET	8	10	6	7							
AMP, SMX, STR	4	0	9	5							
AMP, SMX, STR, SXT, TET, TMP	6	0	0	2							
AMP, TET	2	3	1.5	2							
Susceptible	6	0	1.5	3							
Other	16 ^c	24 ^{<u>d</u>}	11 ^{<u>e</u>}	16 ^{<i>t</i>}							

a See Materials and Methods for abbreviations.

b n, number of isolates.

- c Containing eight different resistance profiles.
- d Containing six different resistance profiles.
- e Containing seven different resistance profiles.

f Containing 21 different resistance profiles

Table 5. Distribution of different MLVA profiles in S. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- strains

MLVA alleles ^a	% Distribution of different	MLVA prof	iles by isolate	source (<i>n</i>)
WILVA alleles	Primary production (52)	Pork (30)	Human (66)	Total (148)
2-6-4-0-2	17	10	26	20
2-4-4-0-2	13	10	14	13
2-5-4-0-2	13	7	12	11
2-7-5-0-2	6	23	3	8
2-6-5-0-2	12	3	6	7
2-6-20-0-2	4	10	2	4
2-4-5-0-2	4	0	4	3
2-5-5-0-2	4	0	3	3
2-6-7-0-2	2	0	4	3
2-7-19-0-2	2	3	3	3
Other	23 ^{<u>c</u>}	34 ^{<u>d</u>}	23 ^{<u>e</u>}	25 ^t

a Order of loci: STTR9-STTR5-STTR6-STTR10pl-STTR3. In all strains STTR3 allele number 2 corresponds to number 211 according to Larsson et al. (16).

b n, number of isolates.

c Containing 12 different MLVA profiles.

d Containing 10 different MLVA profiles.

e Containing 13 different MLVA profiles.

f Containing 28 different MLVA profiles.

Figure 1 UPGMA dendrogram of PFGE profiles identified in 148 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- and 20 *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains after digestion with Xbal. At the right of the PFGE profiles, a star indicates the presence of the profile in *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium, and a filled circle indicates its presence in *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:-. Profiles were designated X01 to X54. The numbers of isolates belonging to each source (total, pig, pork, and human) are also shown. Designated clusters A to E are indicated by curly brackets. A rectangle highlights the most prominent PFGE profile, X31, also found in an outbreak in Luxembourg (STYMXB.0131; designation according to PulseNet Europe).




Figure 2. Phenotypic and corresponding genotypic resistance profiles identified for the 61 *S. enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:— strains tested. At the top of the figure the NRL strain numbers, numbers of isolates with the same genetic resistance profile, and the corresponding phenotypic resistance patterns are indicated. On the left-hand side, the probes for genes related to antimicrobial resistance phenotypes (see Materials and Methods for abbreviations) or to other resistance elements, e.g., integron-associated integrases (*int1*, *int2*, and int_SG1) are listed in alphabetical order. The graph shows the hybridization result of each strain. A gray box indicates the presence of the target sequence in the strain; a white box indicates its absence.

No. of isolates	o box maioc	ites its absent	<u> </u>																					
Proper P								V																Д
Proper P																							Z	Σ
Proper P																						₫	1,	Œ
Proper P																						Σ	끧	門
Preserve			l																Η.	۵	늡	H	F.	뉯
Preserve			l																프	≥	F.	쁜	တ်	S
Preserve			l										₽	₾	Д	₾	z	_	5	H	띰	×	2	띰
Preserve													≥	≥	L	≥	F	ΙE	ſS,	H	S	S,	S	S
Preserve												ᇤ	H	H	T,	H	ET,	Α,	X	Ż,	PE	H.	出	12
Preserve			l						9.5			F	E.	E	μ	E,	F,	ST	S	S	S,	S	S	S,
Preserve			l						ᇤ	ᇤ	1	l≚	×	ᄫ	Ż,	×	TR	×	Ą	꼰	ŝ	ä	ĕ	ŝ
Preserve			l					~	<u> </u> ∴	۲,	F.	N,	S,	S,	S	S,	S,	SI	Z	S	S,	×.	N,	S,
Preserve			l					H	15	LE	Z	몺	H	14	TR	TH	ŝ	Ĺ,	E.	H	ы	ŝ	E.	ы
Preserve			<u>a</u>				_	S,	S.	S,	Œ,	щ	S,	S,	S	S	S,	ž	щ	S,	Z.	S,	щ	Z.
Preserve			€		Ш	Ш	Щ	ŝ	ĺΞ	ŝ	A	로	ŝ	ŝ	PE	E.	로	Ę,	로	ŝ	A	Щ	로	A
Preserve			ĕ		μ.	<u> </u>	Z	S,	ις.	S,	z	lo.	S.	S.	S,	8,	O,	O,	O,	S.	Ϋ́.	Z,	O.	\ \ .
Preserve			l š	Ш	Ž	Ž	F	Ž	Ž	Ž	붗	Ž	Ž	Ž	M	ŝ	M	M	Ž	Ž	Ž	X	Z	Z
Preserve				F		4			∞	4		4		4	4		4	A	A	4	4	X	4	4
Probe name	No. 0	of Isolates				1			-						7					7	-			1
Probe name		ć.	24	8	32	31	88	19	8	8	36	72	2	34	7	15	17	35	48	27	35	36	39	91
Probe name		ž	5	l 🁸	න	<u>\$</u>	17	ģ	38	88	5	39	90	188	07.	41,	30	38	15	33	Š	31	õ	8
Probe name		눈	Ì	Ř	ò	Ŷ	Ò	Ò	Ϋ́	Ö	Ò	ò	ŏ	ò	ŏ	Ò	ò	ò	Ò	Ý	Ÿ	ŏ	Ö	Ŷ
SEN		ž	8	8	07	8	07	80	07	07	20	8	07	07	20	90	07	80	20	07	90	07	8	8
SEN aacC1 aacC2-3 aadA1a aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA5-4 aadA2-3-8 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA6 aacF aadA1 aadA6 aacA1 aadA1-1	Phenotype							(V) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- ((1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (1) (- (l-success.					
SEN aacC1 aacC2-3 aadA1a aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA2-3-8 aadA5-4 aadA2-3-8 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA5-4 aadA6 aacF aadA1 aadA6 aacA1 aadA1-1		aac(3) IV														7 - 3								
STR/SPE aadA2-3-8 aadA5-4 aa	GEN	aacC1																						
STR/SPE aadA2-3-8 aadA5-4 aadA2-3-8 aadA5-4 aadA6 acrF		aacC2-3																						П
STR/SPE		aadA1a																		1				
BadA5-4 BadB BadF BadB BadF BadB BadF BadB BadF BadB BadF BadB	STR/SPE	aadA2-3-8		0 0																				$\overline{}$
GEN aadB acrF	0.500.00.00	aadA5-4	-			\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	\vdash	Н			-				\vdash			
ACF	GEN		-		-		\vdash		-	\vdash			\vdash	Н	_	-		-			\vdash		\vdash	Н
AMP	OLIV			-			10															-		
AMP bla_oxa1a bla_pse1a bla_tem1a cal41 cml41 like cmy-2 like cmy-1 (bla fox-1) ctr.M2 dfrA1 like dfrA17-7 cfLO/CHL flora	KANI	anh 1 lah																						=
AMP Dia_oxa1a Dia_pse1a Dia_pse1a Dia_pse1a Dia_tem1a	NAIN.	apriA1-lab	-		-	\vdash		-	\vdash	\vdash	_		\vdash	\vdash			_			\vdash	\vdash	-	\vdash	
Bla_pse1a Bla_pse1a Bla_tem1a Bla_			_	_	_		\vdash	-	\vdash	\vdash	_		\vdash	\vdash	_	_	_	_	_	\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	Н
Dia_tem1a CHL CatA1 CmMA1 like Cmy-2 like Cmy-2 like Cmy-1 (bla fox-1) Ctx-M2 Ctx-M2 CfrA1 like CfrA12 CfrA14 CfrA17-7 CfrA15 CfrA16 CfrA17-7 CfrA16 CfrA17-7 CfrA17 CfrA18 CfrA18 CfrA19 CfrA1	AMP		-	_	-	\vdash	\vdash	_	—	\vdash	_		\vdash	\vdash	_	_	_	_		-			\vdash	\vdash
CHL catA1 cmlA1 like	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	bia_pse ia	-				\vdash				_													
CRL cmlA1 like cmy-2 like Cmy-1 (bla fox-1) ctx-M2 dfrA1 like dfrA12 dfrA14 dfrA17-7 FLO/CHL floR int_SG1 int1 int2 merA nanH qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) STR strA strB SUL sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C)			_		-						_											_	0 9	
CMIAT like CMy-1 (bla fox-1) Ctx-M2 dfrA11 ke dfrA12 dfrA14 dfrA17-7 FLO/CHL floR int, SG1 int1 int2 merA nanH qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) STR strA strB SUL sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(C	CHL	catA1	┝	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\vdash	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_		\vdash		_		-		_	_	_		\vdash
AMP	6/9/4/9/9/4	cmIA1 like	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	\vdash		_		_		_		_		\vdash
Ctx-M2		cmy-2 like	_	_	_				\vdash	_	_	_		\vdash		_				\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	ш
TMP	AMP	cmy-1 (bla fox-1)								\vdash			\vdash								\vdash			
TMP		ctx-M2																						
dfrA14 dfrA17-7		dfrA1 like																					Ш	
dfrA14 dfrA17-7	TMP	dfrA12																						
A	11011	dfrA14														72 3 3 4						2 1		
Int_SG1		dfrA17-7																						
int1 int2 merA nanH qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) STR strA strB sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E)	FLO/CHL	floR																						
int2 merA nanH qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) STR STR SUL sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E)		int_SG1																						
merA nanH qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E) let(C) let(E) le		int1																						
nanH qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) strA strB sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E) tet(int2																						
nanH qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) strA strB sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E) tet(merA																						П
qacEdelta qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) strA strB sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E) sul1 sul2 sul2 sul3 sul4 sul5 sul6 sul7																								П
qnrA qnrB2-B1 qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) strB sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E) sul1 sul2 sul3 su								3 8	Г		2 2								1 1					\Box
Interest																							П	П
qnrS sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) sat1 (int2) strA strB sul1 sul2 sul3 set(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E) sul1 sul2 sul3 sul4 sul5			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash		П		\vdash	\vdash				П			\vdash	\Box		Т	\vdash		Н	Н
sat (Tn7) sat1 (int2) STR strA strB sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E)			\vdash		\vdash		\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	\vdash	Н			-			\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	М
sat1 (int2) STR strA strB sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E)					\vdash	\vdash			\vdash	\vdash			\vdash	\vdash						\vdash	\vdash		Н	Н
STR strA strB sul1 sul2 sul2 sul3 sul4 sul2 sul3 sul4 sul2 sul3 sul4 su					\vdash																		\vdash	\vdash
STR strB SUL sul1 sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E) tet(E)				\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash																	
SUL sul1	STR	4.000000	⊢	-	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash				-	\vdash				_								
SUL sul2 sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E)			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash				_	e e				-11 - 5								
sul3 tet(A) tet(B) tet(C) tet(D) tet(E)	CIII	eriente de la constanta de la	\vdash		\vdash	\vdash	\vdash					1												
TET	SUL			_	-	\vdash	\vdash				_					_								
TET	-		_	_	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	_	\vdash	\vdash	_	_	\vdash	\vdash			_	\vdash	_	\vdash				
TET			_				\vdash					\vdash												
tet(D) tet(E)							\vdash													1				\vdash
tet(D) tet(E)	TET									\vdash											_		\sqcup	Ш
																							$oxed{oxed}$	
tet(G)																								
		tet(G)																						