ORIGINAL ARTICLE

mycoses WILEY

A multicentre external quality assessment: A first step to standardise PCR protocols for the diagnosis of histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis

Dunja Wilmes ¹ 💿	Ferry Hagen ^{2,3,4} 💿	Cristina Verissimo ⁵	Alexandre Alanio ^{6,7} 💿
Volker Rickerts ^{1,8}	Maria José Buitrago ⁹	,10 🝺	

¹FG16, Unit for Mycotic and Parasitic Agents and Mycobacteria, Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany

²Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute (WI-KNAW), Utrecht, The Netherlands

Revised: 25 April 2023

³Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁴Department of Medical Microbiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁵Department of Infectious Diseases, Reference Laboratory for Parasites and Fungal infections, Instituto Nacional de Saúde Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Lisbon, Portugal ⁶Mycology Department, Translational Mycology Research Group, National Reference Center for Invasive Mycoses and Antifungals, Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France

⁷Laboratoire de Parasitologie-Mycologie, AP-HP, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris, France

⁸Konsiliarlabor für Kryptokokkose und Seltene Systemmykosen, Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany

⁹Reference Mycology Laboratory, National Centre for Microbiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

¹⁰CIBERINFEC, ISCIII-CIBER de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

Correspondence

Maria José Buitrago, Reference Mycology Laboratory, National Centre for Microbiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Crta. Majadahonda-Pozuelo Km2. Madrid 28220, Spain. Email: buitrago@isciii.es

Dunja Wilmes, FG16, Unit for Mycotic and Parasitic Agents and Mycobacteria, Robert Koch Institute, Seestraße, 10, Berlin 13353, Germany. Email: wilmesd@rki.de

Funding information

This work was supported by research project PI21CIII/00007 from Spanish Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III

Abstract

Background: In-house real-time PCR (qPCR) is increasingly used to diagnose the socalled endemic mycoses as commercial assays are not widely available.

Objectives: To compare the performance of different molecular diagnostic assays for detecting *Histoplasma capsulatum* and *Coccidioides* spp. in five European reference laboratories.

Methods: Two blinded external quality assessment (EQA) panels were sent to each laboratory that performed the analysis with their in-house assays. Both panels included a range of concentrations of *H. capsulatum* (n = 7) and *Coccidioides* spp. (n = 6), negative control and DNA from other fungi. Four laboratories used specific qPCRs, and one laboratory a broad-range fungal conventional PCR (cPCR) and a specific cPCR for *H. capsulatum* with subsequent sequencing.

Results: qPCR assays were the most sensitive for the detection of *H. capsulatum* DNA. The lowest amount of *H. capsulatum* DNA detected was 1–4fg, 0.1pg and 10pg for qPCRs, specific cPCR and broad-range cPCR, respectively. False positive results occurred with high concentrations of *Blastomyces dermatitidis* DNA in two laboratories and with *Emergomyces* spp. in one laboratory. For the *Coccidioides* panel, the lowest amount of DNA detected was 1–16fg by qPCRs and 10pg with the broad-range cPCR. One laboratory reported a false positive result by qPCR with high load of *Uncinocarpus* DNA.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. *Mycoses* published by Wiley-VCH GmbH.

Conclusion: All five laboratories were able to correctly detect *H. capsulatum* and *Coccidioides* spp. DNA and qPCRs had a better performance than specific cPCR and broad-range cPCR. EQAs may help standardise in-house molecular tests for the so-called endemic mycoses improving patient management.

KEYWORDS

Coccidioides, Histoplasma, kinetic polymerase chain reaction, multicentre trial, PCR, quality control

1 | INTRODUCTION

Histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis are caused by the thermally dimorphic fungi Histoplasma capsulatum (H. capsulatum), Coccidioides immitis (C. immitis) and Coccidioides posadasii (C. posadasii), respectively. Histoplasma capsulatum has a cosmopolitan distribution with some areas of high endemicity in Africa and North and South America, although the burden in Europe is very low. Coccidioides is present in arid and semiarid regions in the Americas. Coccidioides immitis is found mainly in central and southern California, but also in Washington State,¹ whereas infections by *C. posadasii* have been reported in parts of Latin America² and the United States from central and southern Arizona to western Texas and southern New Mexico.³ Immigration and travel coming from these areas have caused an increasing number of histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis in Europe⁴⁻⁶ (Staffolani, 2018 #1010). Diagnosis in European countries is challenging due to the lack of experience and available diagnostic methods. Although the gold standard methods are culture and microscopy.⁷ their practical use is frequently restricted due to their limitations. Culture isolation of these biosafety level-3 (BSL-3) agents is time-consuming due to slow growth, delaying appropriate treatment and representing a potential health risk for laboratory workers.⁸ On the other hand, histopathological observation of fungal structures in tissues requires skilled personnel, as several protozoa and fungi, especially other dimorphic fungi, may be challenging to differentiate from Histoplasma and Coccidioides.⁸⁻¹² In addition, the sensitivity of these conventional methods is moderate, ranging from 0 to around 75% depending on clinical manifestations and origin of the samples.⁸ Besides these golden standards, specific antigen detection tests may be a good additional tool for the diagnosis of histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis; however, cross-reactions are described with other fungi, sensitivity is decreased in immunocompetent patients¹³⁻²⁰ and antigen detection tests are still not largely available.^{21,22} As with other invasive fungal infections, the utility of antibody detection is limited, as the antibodies are produced only 4-8 weeks after exposure, may completely be absent in patients with impaired humoral immunity, and cross-reactions are possible with other fungal and granulomatous diseases^{8,23-25} (Table S1).

Molecular diagnostic testing on clinical samples has shown its usefulness in diagnosing fungal infections in animals^{26,27} and humans^{12,28-35} and detecting environmental niches.³⁶⁻³⁹ Besides existing broad-range fungal PCRs that rely upon amplicon identification

by sequencing, multiple specific in-house assays were developed during the past years to detect *H. capsulatum* and *Coccidioides* spp. fast (Figure 1). They were applied on various sample types, such as formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded and fresh biopsies,^{4,32,40,41} blood,^{28,42-45} serum,^{29,33,43,45-47} bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BAL),^{28,45,46,48} cerebrospinal fluid,^{28,45,47,49} bone marrow,^{28,43,48,49} pleural fluid^{28,48} and urine.⁵⁰ They target multicopy ribosomal DNAs^{28,29,33,63,9,43-45,47,49,51-59} (rDNAs) or species-specific genes,^{27,41,42,46,48,60-73} mostly single copy, except for the region identified in the NCBI database as a 'copia-like retrotransposon'^{1,37,38,74,75} with approximately 60 copies per *Coccidioides* genome.⁷⁴

The specific PCRs targeting multicopy rDNAs, such as the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region or the 5.8S, 28S and 18S rDNA, have the potential advantage of increased sensitivity, being able to detect less than one genome equivalent.

Only one specific real-time PCR (qPCR) kit, targeting the 'copialike retrotransposon' of *Coccidioides* spp., is commercially available and has been FDA-approved for BAL and bronchial wash (class II)⁷⁴ (GeneSTAT.MDx Coccidioides test on the GeneSTAT System; DxNA LLC).

Though molecular diagnosis of histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis in Europe relies mainly on in-house assays (in-house in vitro diagnostic medical devices in the new EU regulation⁷⁶), which need to be critically assessed, and if accreditation (DIN E. ISO/IEC 17043 or 15189 standards) is aimed, participation in external quality assessments (EQA) is mandatory. However, commercially available EQAs, evaluating techniques targeting *H. capsulatum* and *Coccidioides* spp., are currently lacking.

The objective of this work was to analyse the performance of different molecular diagnostic tests based on PCR to detect *H. capsulatum* and *Coccidioides* spp. DNA in a multicentre study that involved five reference laboratories in Europe.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participating centres

Five European laboratories, all located outside high-endemic countries (France, Germany, The Netherlands, Portugal and Spain), participated in this multicentre study. They have been designated with the following numerical code; Centre 1: FG16 Mycology,



FIGURE 1 Schematic review of published PCR methods for specifically detecting *Histoplasma capsulatum* DNA (above) and *Coccidioides* spp. DNA (below). In orange, single copy targets and blue, multicopy targets. *CFP4*: culture filtrate protein 4 gene; FISH: Fluorescence in situ hybridisation; *GAPDH*: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene; *Hcp100*: 100-kDa-like protein gene; LAMP: loop-mediated isothermal amplification; *NAALADase*: N-acetylated α-linked acidic dipeptidase gene; PCR-EIA: PCR-enzyme immunoassay; *PPK*: predicted protein kinase gene; *PRA2*: proline-rich antigen gene; RT-qPCR: reverse transcriptase real-time PCR; SCAR: sequence characterised amplified region. †multiplex PCRs.

Robert Koch Institute (RKI), Berlin, Germany; Centre 2: Reference Mycology Laboratory, National Centre for Microbiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain; Centre 3: Department of parasitology and Mycology, Hôpital Saint-Louis, APHP, Paris, France; Centre 4: Department of Medical Mycology, Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands; Centre 5: National Reference Laboratory for Parasites and Fungal Infections, Instituto Nacional de Saúde Doutor Ricardo Jorge, Lisbon, Portugal.

2.2 | Panel preparation

The used strains belonged to the collection of the RKI and are presented in Tables 1 and 2. DNA was extracted from *C. posadasii*, *H. capsulatum* (yeast cells), *Paracoccidioides lutzii* and *Blastomyces dermatitidis* isolates in the BSL-3 facility, and DNA extraction from *Candida albicans*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Trichophyton violaceum*, *Uncinocarpus reesii*, *Emergomyces europaeus* and *Emergomyces africanus* in the BSL-2 laboratory. For fungal DNA extraction, we used the MasterPureTM Yeast DNA purification kit (LGC Lucigen), including RNAse, with an additional bead beating step with 0.25 mm siliconcarbide sharps (BioSpec Products Inc.) in a FastPrep-24TM 5G machine (MP Biomedicals, LLC). Extracted DNA was eluted in 100 µL TE buffer. DNA quantities were measured fluorometrically (QubitTM 2.0, Fluorometer, Invitrogen by life technologies with the QubitTM dsDNA BR Assay Kit, Invitrogen by Life Technologies).

All DNA concentrations were standardised to 0.2 ng per microliter. The *H. capsulatum* and *C. posadasii* genomic DNAs were then serially 10-fold diluted with Triton 0.1%. Other fungal DNAs were diluted to 20 pg per microliter. Sterility was tested by cultivation.

These DNAs were used to put together the two blinded panels, including 14 samples for *H. capsulatum* and 10 samples for *Coccidioides* spp. (Tables 1 and 2). The tubes were immediately frozen after preparation and sent on dry ice. The receiving centres were requested to store the tubes at -20°C until further testing.

2.3 | Panel content

The first panel was sent in November 2021 to evaluate the *H. capsulatum* detecting PCR protocols. The *Coccidioides* spp. panel was sent in January 2022. Different concentrations of target fungal DNA and controls were included in each panel. The sensitivity and specificity were assessed by different concentrations of target fungal DNA and controls in each panel. Control fungal DNA consisted of frequent fungal pathogens and fungi closely related to *H. capsulatum* and *Coccidioides* spp. (Tables 1 and 2).

2.4 | PCR protocols

All centres used their current routine diagnostic techniques and equipment (Tables 3 and 4) and included their own positive and notemplate controls. Centres 1 and 2 performed inhibition controls either in the same tubes (Centre 2) or in a separate reaction by qPCR¹² (Centre 1). All participating centres did their PCRs in duplicates, except for Centres 4 and 5, which performed their PCRs in a single replicate. A total of eight protocols were compared for the detection of *H. capsulatum* DNA and five for the detection of *Coccidioides* spp. DNA. Diagnosis, Therapy and Prophylau

TABLE 1 Composition of the Histoplasma capsulatum panel.

Sample number	Histoplasma panel
1	Triton 0.1
2	H. capsulatum (RKI09-0599) 10 pg/5 μL
3	C. albicans (RKI11-0116) 100 $pg/5\mu L$
4	H. capsulatum (RKI09-0599) 1 pg/5 μL
5	Es. europaeus (RKI17-1077) 100pg/5μL
6	H. capsulatum (RKI09-0599) 100pg/5μL
7	H. capsulatum (RKI09-0599) 0.01 $pg/5\mu L$
8	Es. africanus (RKI17-1221) 100pg/5μL
9	H. capsulatum (RKI09-0599) 0.001 $pg/5\mu L$
10	H. capsulatum (RKI09-0599) 0.1 pg/5 μL
11	H. capsulatum (RKI09-0599) 1000 pg/5 μL
12	A. fumigatus (RKI13-0959) 100 pg/5 μL
13	B. dermatitidis (RKI16-1033) 100 pg/5 μL
14	P. lutzii (RKI16-1032) 100 pg/5 μL

Abbreviations: A., *Aspergillus*; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; B., *Blastomyces*; C., *Candida*; Es., *Emergomyces*; H., *Histoplasma*; P., *Paracoccidioides*; RKI, Robert Koch Institute.

2.4.1 | Histoplasma capsulatum DNA detecting protocols

Centre 1 and 2 performed different qPCRs targeting regions of the ITS1^{12,49} and ITS2⁴³ of the *H. capsulatum* rDNA. Centre 3 used a RT-qPCR assays, targeting the mitochondrial ribosomal small subunit (mtSSU)²⁸ and Centre 4 three different qPCR assays targeting ITS2. ITS1³⁶ and the multicopy cytochrome C oxidase 2 (COX2) mitochondrial gene. Centre 5 performed a broad-range fungal conventional PCR (cPCR) targeting a part of ITS1 (primers ITS1 and ITS2⁷⁷), and a specific cPCR⁷⁸ targeting a part of the ITS1 region with primers derived from Buitrago et al.²⁹ Both assays were followed by sequencing. Briefly, amplicons were purified using the ExoSAP-IT enzyme system (USB Corporation), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequencing of both strands was performed with the BigDye terminator v 1.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) in the thermal cycler, using the same primers as were used in the PCR amplification. The methods are summarised in Table 3.

2.4.2 | Coccidioides spp. DNA detecting protocols

Centres 1 and 2 used for detecting *Coccidioides* spp. DNA qPCRs targeting parts of the ITS2 region of the rDNA genes.^{33,45} Centre 3 performed a RT-qPCR amplifying total nucleic acids by performing a reverse transcription before the amplification of the mtSSU. Centre 4 used a duplex qPCR targeting the single copy proline-rich antigen 2 (*PRA2*) gene, able to differentiate *C. immitis* and *C. posadasii*.⁶⁸ Centre 5 performed a broad-range fungal cPCR with subsequent identification by sequencing.⁷⁸ The methods are summarised in Table 4.

TABLE 2	Composition	of the	Coccidioides	spp.	panel.
---------	-------------	--------	--------------	------	--------

Sample number	Coccidioides panel
1	C. posadasii (RKI06-0090) 10 pg/5 µL
2	Triton 0.1
3	C. posadasii (RKI06-0090) 0.01 pg/5 μL
4	C. posadasii (RKI06-0090) 1pg/5μL
5	T. violaceum (RKI16-0839) 100pg/5μL
6	C. posadasii (RKI06-0090) 0.001 pg/5 µL
7	Candida albicans (RKI11-0116) 100pg/5μL
8	U. reesii (RKI19-0061) 100pg/5μL
9	C. posadasii (RKI06-0090) 0.1 pg/5 µL
10	C. posadasii (RKI06-0090) 100 pg/5 µL

Abbreviations: C., *Coccidioides*; RKI, Robert Koch Institute; T., *Trichophyton*; U., *Uncinocarpus*.

2.5 | Interpretation of the results

For the sensitivities, we compared the percentage of true positive PCR signals detected in each panel, the lowest detected concentration and absolute amount of DNA, and we transformed the latter into genome equivalents (GE). For the calculation of the absolute amount of DNA, and the GEs, the volume of sample per reaction was considered (Tables 3 and 4). GE in each well was calculated by the following formula:

Number of copies = $\frac{c^{\text{sample}} \times \text{target} \times 6.022 \times 10^{23}}{\text{length} \times 650 \times 10^{9}}$

'c^{sample'} stands for sample concentration and 'target' for target volume per well. Concerning the 'length', the length of the whole genome sequence of RKI 09-0599 (42 884 016bp) was used for *H. capsulatum* and for *Coccidioides* spp., the mean size of the 13 currently (date 19.05.2022) in NCBI available *C. posadasii* WGSs (27 456 599 bp; range: 25.45–28.62 Mb).

We compared the percentage of false positive results detected in each panel for the specificities.

For the graphical presentation, we used the GraphPad Prism 9.1.0 software.

3 | RESULTS

The raw results of the participating laboratories can be found in the Supporting information (Tables S2 and S3). No PCR inhibition was detected by Centres 1 and 2, which performed internal amplification controls.

3.1 | Histoplasma capsulatum panel

Results are summarised in Figure 2 and Tables S4 and S5. The median sensitivity of all protocols was 100% (range: 42.5%–100%, 3 to 7 positive results out of 7 tested *H. capsulatum* DNAs). The median sensitivity of the specific assays was 100% (range: 71.4%–100%, 5 to 7 positive results out of 7) and that of the broad-range fungal cPCR 42.8% (3 positive *H. capsulatum* sequencing results out of 7). The median sensitivity of the specific qPCRs was 100% (range: 85.7%–100%, 6 to 7 positive results out of 7), and the sensitivity of the specific cPCR 71.4% (5 positive *H. capsulatum* sequencing results out of 7). The lowest detected concentration of the latter was $2 pg/1 \mu$ L. For centres 1, 3 and 4, using all qPCRs (Table 1), the lowest detected concentration of *H. capsulatum* DNA was $0.2 fg/1 \mu$ L. For Centre 2, using a qPCR targeting ITS1, the lowest detected concentration was $2 fg/1 \mu$ L (Figure 2). Centre 5 amplified *H. capsulatum* DNA concentrations until $20 fg/1 \mu$ L with the specific cPCR.

The resulting lowest detected absolute quantities of DNA were 1fg (0.02 GE) for Centre 1; 1.6fg (0.03 GE) for Centres 3 and 4 (all three tests); 4fg (0.08 GE) for Centre 2; 100fg (2.13 GE) for Centre 5 in the specific cPCR and 10pg (213 GE) in the broad-range fungal cPCR (Figure 3 and Table S5).

Results regarding specificity are summarised in Table S4. The median specificity of all assays was 100%, (range: 57.1%-100%, means 4 to 7 non-H. capsulatum DNAs were tested negative for H. capsulatum). The specificity of the broad-range fungal and specific cPCR was 100% and the qPCR's median specificity 100% (range: 57.1%-100%, 4 to 7 non-H. capsulatum DNAs were tested negative for H. capsulatum). Samples containing high concentrations (20pg/1µL) of B. dermatitidis (Cq=25.74/25.85), Es. europaeus (Cq=35.59/35.6) and Es. africanus (Cq=35.53/35.81) DNA tested positive in Centre 3 with the RT-qPCR targeting mtSSU. The sample with B. dermatitidis DNA also tested positive in Centre 4 (Cq = 32.61) with the qPCR targeting ITS2 (assay 1). In Centre 5, A. fumigatus DNA (20pg/1µL) tested positive in the specific Histoplasma cPCR, targeting ITS1, but subsequent sequencing demonstrated that it was Aspergillus DNA. The broad-range fungal cPCR in Centre 5, the qPCRs targeting ITS1 in Centres 1, 2 and 3 (test 2) and the qPCR targeting COX2 in Centre 3 (assay 3) had a specificity of 100%.

3.2 | Coccidioides panel

Results are summarised in Table S6. The median sensitivity of all PCRs was 83.3% (range: 33.3%–100%, 2 to 6 positive results out of 6 tested *C. posadasii* DNAs). The sensitivity of specific qPCR assays ranged from 75% to 100% and that of the broad-range cPCR was 33.3%. For Centres 1 (one replicate out of two) and 4, targeting ITS2 and *PRA2*, respectively, the lowest detected concentration of *C. posadasii* DNA was $0.2 \text{ fg}/1\mu\text{L}$. For Centres 2 (one replicate out of two) and 3, targeting ITS1 and mtSSU, respectively, $2 \text{ fg}/1\mu\text{L}$ was the lowest detected concentration (Figure 4). For Centre 5, the lowest detected concentration using the broad-range fungal cPCR was $2 \text{ pg}/1\mu\text{L}$. Only Centres 4 and 5 could identify the *Coccidioides* species as *C. posadasii*. The resulting minimal detected DNA amounts were 1 fg for Centre 1 (0.03 GE); 1.6 fg for Centre 4 (0.05 GE); 4 fg for

Centre	Type of PCR (target)	Amplicon length (bp)	Volume of mastermix and sample (μL)	Number of cycles	Number of tested replicates	Polymerase	PCR cycler
1	Histoplasma qPCR (ITS1) ^{12,49}	63	20+5	45	2	TaqMan MM2 (Invitrogen)	7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystem)
2	Histoplasma qPCR (ITS1) ⁴³	182	18+2	50	7	Sensimix Probe no ROX (Bioline)	Light Cycler 480 II thermocycler (Roche)
ო	Histoplasma RTqPCR (mtSSU) ²⁸	74	17+8	50	7	Superscript III one step RT- PCR (Life Technologies Cooperation)	Light Cycler 480 thermocycler (Roche)
4	Assay 1: Histoplasma qPCR (ITS2) ^a Assay 2: Histoplasma qPCR (ITS1) ^{36a} Assav 3: Histoplasma qPCR (COX2)	112 154 163	12+8	45	T.	SensiFast no-ROX qPCR mastermix (Bioline)	Light Cycler 480 II thermocycler (Roche)
5	Assay 1: Histoplasma-specific cPCR (ITS1) ^b	182	20+5	45	1	Illustra PuReTaq Ready-to-Go (Cytiva)	MyCycler (Biorad)
	Assay 2: panfungal cPCR (ITS) ⁷⁷⁷⁸	289	20+5	45	1	Illustra PuReTaq Ready-to-Go (Cytiva)	MyCycler (Biorad)
Abbreviatio transcriptas ^a 10 μL Sensil ^b Histoplasm a 25 μL volu	ns: COX2, cytochrome C oxidase 2; cPCR, conver e real-time PCR. Fast no-ROX qPCR mastermix (Bioline), 2 μL prim ia-specific cPCR was done using the Primer HC IT me reaction of PCR beads (Illustra PuReTaq Read-	rtional PCR; ITS, inte ers/probe mix (1.76μ 'S1-1 (10μmol) 5'-CC. -to-Go; GE Healthca	rnal transcribed space L 1× TE pH8, 0.1 µL o ACCCTTGTCTACCG-: re), containing 15 pmo	er; mtSSU, mitc f forward and 3' and Primer I I of each prime	ochondrial ribosoma reversed primer [10 HC ITS1-2 (10μmol) er and 20-50 ng of g	lsmall subunit RNA; qPCR, real-tin Dpmol/µL] and 0.04µL TaqMan-pr 5-GGAACCAAGAGATCCGT-3', A enomic DNA.	ne PCR; RT-qPCR, reverse obe [100 pmol/µL]). mplifications were performed in

TABLE 3 Methods used in the different participating laboratories to detect Histoplasma DNA.

	Methods used in the different participating laboratories to detect <i>Coccidioides</i> species DNA.
	TABLE 4

Centre	Type of PCR (target)	Amplicon length (bp)	Volume of mastermix and sample (µL)	Number of cycles	Number of tested replicates	Polymerase	PCR cycler
1	Coccidioides qPCR (ITS2) ^{33,52}	98	20+5	45	2	TaqMan MM2 (Invitrogen)	Biorad CFX Maestro cycler
2	Coccidioides qPCR (ITS1) ⁴⁵	266	18+2	50	7	SensiMix Probe no ROX(Bioline)	Light Cycler 480 II thermocycler (Roche)
б	Coccidioides RTqPCR (mtSSU) ^a	51	17+8	50	7	Superscript III One step RT- PCR (Life Technologies Cooperation)	Light Cycler 480 thermocycler (Roche)
4	Coccidioides duplex qPCR (PRA2) ⁶⁸	Coccidioides posadasii: 67 Coccidioides immitis: 130	12+8	45	£	SensiFast no-ROX qPCR mastermix (Bioline)	Light Cycler 480 II thermocycler (Roche)
5	panfungal cPCR (ITS) ^{77,78}	289	20+5	45	1	Illustra PuReTaq Ready-to-Go (Cytiva)	MyCycler (Biorad)

Abbreviations: cPCR, conventional PCR; ITS, internal transcribed spacer; mtSSU, mitochondrial ribosomal small subunit RNA; PRA2, proline-rich antigen 2; qPCR, real-time PCR; RT-qPCR, reverse transcriptase real-time PCR.

AACGTCATTATCTATATAG-3), 0.1mM of probe (Ci_21_101P: 5-FAM- ACTCACCTGTACACTTCA-BHQ1-3'), and 1µM of polymerase, in a total of 25µL with 8µL of extracted DNA. The amplification ^aThe conditions for the Coccidioides spp. RT-qPCT were: 1× Invitrogen RT-qPCR buffer mix, 0.3 mM of each primer (Ci_27_129F: 5'-CCTTTCTTTAGGGTAGTTAAAATATTT-3' and Ci_24_52R: 5'-AATCG consisted of one step of RT-PCR at 50°C for 15 min, followed by qPCR with one activation step at 95°C for 2 min and 50 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15s and annealing at 53°C for 30s.

780

781



FIGURE 2 Results of the different assays for detecting *Histoplasma capsulatum* DNA. The Cq results of the real-time PCRs are represented in relation to the DNA concentration of the samples (left) or the applied quantity of DNA per reaction. The range of positive results of Centre 5, which performed a panfungal conventional PCR (cPCR) (test 2) and a *Histoplasma*-specific cPCR (test 1), are indicated with boxes. Centres 1, 2 and 3 examined the samples in two replicates, and Centres 4 and 5 tested their samples in one replicate. PCRs targeting multicopy ribosomal DNAs are indicated with circles, the PCR targeting a monocopy genus-specific DNA (COX-2) with a diamond.



FIGURE 3 Lowest detected number of genome equivalents (GE) of *Histoplasma capsulatum* and *Coccidioides posadasii* in the two corresponding panels. The results found in only one of two replicates are indicated with an arrow. The lowest number detected of GE in the panfungal conventional PCR (cPCR) was 10⁴-fold higher than in the real-time PCR (qPCR).

Centre 2 (0.1 GE); 16 fg for Centre 3 (0.5 GE) and 10 pg in the broadrange fungal cPCR (332 GE) (Figure 3 and Tables S5 and S6).

The median specificity of the herein-used PCRs was 100% (range: 87.5%–100%). The specificity of the broad-range fungal cPCR and the median specificity of the qPCRs were both 100% (range: 87.5%–100%). In Centre 5, high concentrations ($20 \text{ pg}/1 \mu \text{L}$) of *U. reesii* tested positive (Cq=41.96) in one replicate out of 2. The specificity for the rest of the qPCRs was 100%.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present multicentre study, we evaluated 13 different inhouse PCR protocols for detecting *H. capsulatum* and *Coccidioides* spp. Techniques based on PCR are essential to achieve a rapid diagnosis of imported^{12,34,35,40,79,80} and autochthonous²⁶ endemic mycosis cases.

Until today, only one inter-laboratory study compared seven different *H. capsulatum* PCR protocols in four laboratories from Latin America and one in Spain⁸¹ showing that protocols based on qPCR were the most sensitive and reproducible. False positive results or cross-reactions were not seen; however, only the DNA of



FIGURE 4 Results of the different assays for detecting *Coccidioides* spp. DNA. The Cq results of the real-time PCRs (qPCR) are represented in relation to the DNA concentration of the samples (left) or the applied quantity of DNA per reaction. The range of positive results of Centre 5, which performed a panfungal conventional PCR, is indicated with a box. Centres 1, 2 and 3 examined the samples in two replicates, and Centres 4 and 5 tested their samples in one replicate. PCRs targeting multicopy ribosomal DNAs are indicated with circles, and the PCR targeting a monocopy species-specific DNA (PRA) with a diamond.

P. brasiliensis was used to study the specificity of the amplification protocols. In this study, we included the detection of *Coccidioides* spp., lower target DNA concentrations and more potentially cross-reacting fungal DNAs.⁸²

As expected, all laboratories were able to detect C. posadasii and H. capsulatum DNA. However, sensitivity and specificity depended on the method. The broad-range fungal cPCR was around 2.5×10^3 -10⁴ times less sensitive than the specific gPCRs in detecting H. capsulatum DNA and 6.2×10^2 -10⁴ times less sensitive than the specific qPCRs in detecting Coccidioides DNA. H. capsulatum specific qPCRs were superior compared to cPCR which was 25-100 times less sensitive. The lowest amount of H. capsulatum DNA was detected by Centres 1, 3 and 4 and by Centres 1 (one replicate out of two) and 4 for Coccidioides spp. Centre 2 used less sample volume (2 µL) and multiplexed their qPCRs. This may be a reason that it could not detect DNA in the samples with the lowest DNA concentrations $(0.2 \text{ fg}/1 \mu \text{L})$ of both panels. No decrease in sensitivity was observed for the assay using single copy target PRA2 in comparison to multicopy targets amplifying protocols. However, it cannot be excluded that further dilutions would have shown differences. The herein used qPCR assays were

the most sensitive and rapid approaches, indicating that they may be the most promising technique towards an improvement of the diagnosis.

The overall specificity was 91.1% and 97.5% for the H. capsulatum and Coccidioides spp. panels, respectively. Cross-reactions occurred mainly in the presence of high concentrations of DNA from closely related fungi. In Centre 3, B. dermatitidis, Es. africanus and Es. europaeus DNA were positive with the RT-gPCR targeting mtSSU in the H. capsulatum panel, and B. dermatitidis DNA alone with a qPCR assay 1 (targeting ITS2) in Centre 4. Both Centres used 8µL of sample for their qPCRs, which could explain partly the loss of specificity. Additionally, for Centre 3, the primers target conserved regions on mtSSU. This loss of specificity could turn as an advantage as this molecular assay is able to detect simultaneously Histoplasma, Blastomyces and Emergomyces. Centre 2 detected U. reesii with their ITS1 targeting qPCR in the Coccidioides spp. panel in one duplicate and was reported by the laboratory as negative. Centre 5 obtained a positive result in the sample containing high concentrations of A. fumigatus DNA, but sequencing confirmed the presence of A. fumigatus. As the fungal burden in clinical samples is usually much lower than the herein used ones, future comparisons should include DNA

concentrations which may be found in clinical samples. However, these protocols should be reviewed by Centres 3 and 4.

Although the broad-range fungal assay was less sensitive in this study, it has well-known limitations (turnaround time, contamination risks); broad-range cPCRs are helpful when there is no clear suspicion of a specific fungal infection.^{12,82}

A limitation of this research was that panels contained neither *C. immitis* DNA nor DNAs from other *H. capsulatum* phylogenetic clades, and it cannot be ruled out, that sensitivities of the PCRs for the detection of these other species aren't decreased. Especially in the case of the duplex qPCR from Centre 4, which uses two species-specific primer pairs for the detection of *C. immitis* and *posadasii*. More complete panels should be tested and include more laboratories, also from highly endemic regions. Even if several laboratories from these areas^{21,22} lacked equipment for performing PCR assays, the global severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic has allowed the implementation of conventional and qPCR technologies worldwide, including laboratories with less resources, which implies that more laboratories could participate in future inter-laboratory studies.

Additionally, the inclusion of specimen matrices should be performed to compare the efficiency of different nucleic acid extraction methods and assay performances.

A consensus about issues such as best target, extraction method, sample preparation, etc., should be reached to include the PCR for the diagnosis of the so-called endemic mycoses in forthcoming diagnostic guidelines. In this context, a European Confederation of Medical Mycology working group has been founded to perform multicentre studies aiming to improve diagnosis and providing better information on the epidemiology of these infections (https://www. ecmm.info/working-groups/working-group-on-the-diagnosis-andthe-epidemiology-of-endemic-mycoses), which are included in the WHO fungal priority pathogens list.⁸³

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dunja Wilmes: Investigation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing; visualization; project administration; formal analysis; data curation; methodology; conceptualization; supervision; validation. Ferry Hagen: Investigation; writing – review and editing. Cristina Verissimo: Investigation; writing – review and editing. Alexandre Alanio: Investigation; writing – review and editing. Volker Rickerts: Conceptualization; writing – review and editing. Maria José Buitrago: Conceptualization; investigation; methodology; project administration; writing – review and editing; supervision; validation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by research project PI21CIII/00007 from Spanish Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Dunja Wilmes, Volker Rickerts and Cristina Verissimo declare no conflict of interest. Ferry Hagen has received grants from

Health~Holland and the European Society for Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases (ESCMID). He is Vice-President of the International Society for Human and Animal Mycology (ISHAM), Treasurer of the Netherlands Society for Human and Animal Mycology (NVMy) and Chair of the Division of Microbial Genomics of the Royal Netherlands Society for Microbiology (KNVM-DMG). He has been involved in the validation of molecular detection kits from Bruker MDx and Pathofinder/Pathonostics. Alexandre Alanio has received a travel grant from Gilead and Pfizer, is supported by grants from the French National Research Agency (ANR 20CE35000701) and has received consulting fees from Gilead Sciences. He has received payments from Gilead Sciences and PR edition. Maria José Buitrago is a founding partner and holds shares of Micologia Molecular S.L. She has received grant support from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III and has been paid for talks on behalf of United Medical LTDA and Research Foundation from Hospital 12 de Octubre.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that supports the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

ORCID

Dunja Wilmes b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5466-1248 Ferry Hagen b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5622-1916 Alexandre Alanio b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9726-3082 Maria José Buitrago b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1878-6067

REFERENCES

- Litvintseva AP, Marsden-Haug N, Hurst S, et al. Valley fever: finding new places for an old disease: *Coccidioides immitis* found in Washington State soil associated with recent human infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60(1):e1-e3. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu681
- Laniado-Laborín R, Arathoon EG, Canteros C, Muñiz-Salazar R, Rendon A. Coccidioidomycosis in Latin America. *Med Mycol.* 2019;57(Supplement_1):S46-S55. doi:10.1093/mmy/myy037
- Brown J, Benedict K, Park BJ, Thompson GR 3rd. Coccidioidomycosis: epidemiology. Clin Epidemiol. 2013;5:185-197. doi:10.2147/CLEP. \$34434
- Molina-Morant D, Sánchez-Montalvá A, Salvador F, Sao-Avilés A, Molina I. Imported endemic mycoses in Spain: evolution of hospitalized cases, clinical characteristics and correlation with migratory movements, 1997-2014. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2018;12(2):e0006245. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0006245
- Salzer HJF, Stoney RJ, Angelo KM, et al. Epidemiological aspects of travel-related systemic endemic mycoses: a GeoSentinel analysis, 1997–2017. J Travel Med. 2018;25(1):1-6. doi:10.1093/jtm/ tay055
- Staffolani S, Buonfrate D, Angheben A, et al. Acute histoplasmosis in immunocompetent travelers: a systematic review of literature. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18(1):673. doi:10.1186/s12879-018-3476-z
- Donnelly JP, Chen SC, Kauffman CA, et al. Revision and update of the consensus definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the mycoses study group education and research consortium. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2019;71:1367-1376. doi:10.1093/cid/ciz1008
- Azar MM, Hage CA. Laboratory diagnostics for histoplasmosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55(6):1612-1620. doi:10.1128/jcm.02430-16

-WILEY- mycoses

784

- Saubolle MA. Laboratory aspects in the diagnosis of coccidioidomycosis. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1111:301-314. doi:10.1196/ annals.1406.049
- Guarner J, Brandt ME. Histopathologic diagnosis of fungal infections in the 21st century. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2011;24(2):247-280. doi:10.1128/cmr.00053-10
- 11. Zhang HC, Zhang QR, Ai JW, et al. The role of bone marrow metagenomics next-generation sequencing to differential diagnosis among visceral leishmaniasis, histoplasmosis, and talaromycosis marneffei. *Int J Lab Hematol.* 2020;42(2):e52-e54. doi:10.1111/ijlh.13103
- Wilmes D, McCormick-Smith I, Lempp C, et al. Detection of Histoplasma DNA from tissue blocks by a specific and a broadrange real-time PCR: tools to elucidate the epidemiology of histoplasmosis. J Fungi (Basel). 2020;6(4):319. doi:10.3390/jof6040319
- Abdallah W, Myint T, LaRue R, et al. Diagnosis of histoplasmosis using the MVista *Histoplasma* galactomannan antigen qualitative lateral flow-based immunoassay: a multicenter study. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2021;8(9):ofab454. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofab454
- Assi M, Lakkis IE, Wheat LJ. Cross-reactivity in the Histoplasma antigen enzyme immunoassay caused by sporotrichosis. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2011;18(10):1781-1782. doi:10.1128/cvi.05017-11
- Durkin M, Connolly P, Kuberski T, et al. Diagnosis of coccidioidomycosis with use of the *Coccidioides* antigen enzyme immunoassay. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008;47(8):e69-e73. doi:10.1086/592073
- Gabe LM, Malo J, Knox KS. Diagnosis and management of Coccidioidomycosis. *Clin Chest Med.* 2017;38(3):417-433. doi:10.1016/j.ccm.2017.04.005
- Hage CA, Ribes JA, Wengenack NL, et al. A multicenter evaluation of tests for diagnosis of histoplasmosis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011;53(5):448-454. doi:10.1093/cid/cir435
- Krishnan G, Power M, Bariola JR, Dare R. Comparison of indirect fungal diagnostic tests in patients with proven histoplasmosis. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2022;9(11):ofac609. doi:10.1093/ofid/ ofac609
- Maphanga TG, Naicker SD, Gómez BL, et al. Cross-reactivity of a *Histoplasma capsulatum* antigen enzyme immunoassay in urine specimens from persons with emergomycosis in South Africa. *Med Mycol.* 2021;59(7):672-682. doi:10.1093/mmy/myaa100
- Wheat LJ, Kohler RB, Tewari RP. Diagnosis of disseminated histoplasmosis by detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* antigen in serum and urine specimens. N Engl J Med. 1986;314(2):83-88. doi:10.1056/ nejm198601093140205
- Lakoh S, Kamudumuli PS, Penney ROS, et al. Diagnostic capacity for invasive fungal infections in advanced HIV disease in Africa: a continent-wide survey. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2022;23:598-608. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00656-9
- Caceres DH, Echeverri Tirado LC, Bonifaz A, et al. Current situation of endemic mycosis in the Americas and the Caribbean: proceedings of the first international meeting on endemic mycoses of the Americas (IMEMA). Mycoses. 2022;65(12):1179-1187. doi:10.1111/ myc.13510
- Bahr NC, Panackal AA, Durkin MM, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis is a cause for cross-reactivity in cerebrospinal fluid assays for anti-Histoplasma, anti-Coccidioides and anti-Blastomyces antibodies. Mycoses. 2019;62(3):268-273. doi:10.1111/myc.12882
- Blair JE, Coakley B, Santelli AC, Hentz JG, Wengenack NL. Serologic testing for symptomatic coccidioidomycosis in immunocompetent and immunosuppressed hosts. *Mycopathologia*. 2006;162(5):317-324. doi:10.1007/s11046-006-0062-5
- Wheat J, French ML, Kamel S, Tewari RP. Evaluation of crossreactions in *Histoplasma capsulatum* serologic tests. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1986;23(3):493-499.
- Wilmes D, Mayer U, Wohlsein P, et al. Animal histoplasmosis in Europe: review of the literature and molecular typing of the etiological agents. J Fungi (Basel). 2022;8(8):833. doi:10.3390/jof8080833

- Fernandez JA, Hidalgo MN, Hodzic E, Diab SS, Uzal FA. Pathology of coccidioidomycosis in llamas and alpacas. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2018;30(4):560-564. doi:10.1177/1040638718777282
- Alanio A, Gits-Muselli M, Lanternier F, et al. Evaluation of a new *Histoplasma* spp. quantitative RT-PCR assay. J Mol Diagn. 2021;23(6):698-709. doi:10.1016/j.jmoldx.2021.02.007
- Buitrago MJ, Berenguer J, Mellado E, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Detection of imported histoplasmosis in serum of HIV-infected patients using a real-time PCR-based assay. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2006;25(10):665-668. doi:10.1007/ s10096-006-0207-y
- José Buitrago M, Gómez-López A, Monzón A, Rodríguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Evaluación de una técnica de PCR cuantitativa para el diagnóstico clínico de la histoplasmosis importada. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2007;25(1):16-22. doi:10.1157/13096748
- Pakasa N, Biber A, Nsiangana S, et al. African histoplasmosis in HIVnegative patients, Kimpese, Democratic Republic of the Congo. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24(11):2068-2070. doi:10.3201/eid2411.180236
- Tsiodras S, Drogari-Apiranthitou M, Pilichos K, et al. An unusual cutaneous tumor: African histoplasmosis following mudbaths: case report and review. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;86(2):261-263. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0557
- Wilmes D, Schui D, Held J, et al. Disseminated coccidioidomycosis: monitoring of serologic markers for treatment response. *Med Mycol Case Rep.* 2020;29:25-28. doi:10.1016/j.mmcr.2020.05.007
- Lindner AK, Rickerts V, Kurth F, Wilmes D, Richter J. Chronic oral ulceration and lip swelling after a long term stay in Guatemala: a diagnostic challenge. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2018;23:103-104. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2018.04.009
- Schulze AB, Heptner B, Kessler T, et al. Progressive histoplasmosis with hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and epithelioid cell granulomatosis: a case report and review of the literature. *Eur J Haematol*. 2017;99(1):91-100. doi:10.1111/ejh.12886
- Amorim-Conselheiro J, Orico LD, Galvão-Dias MA, et al. Molecular detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* indoors: a public health approach. *Rev Iberoam Micol.* 2019;36(2):79-82. doi:10.1016/j. riam.2019.01.002
- Bowers JR, Parise KL, Kelley EJ, et al. Direct detection of Coccidioides from Arizona soils using CocciENV, a highly sensitive and specific real-time PCR assay. *Med Mycol.* 2019;57(2):246-255. doi:10.1093/mmy/myy007
- Chow NA, Griffin DW, Barker BM, Loparev VN, Litvintseva AP. Molecular detection of airborne *Coccidioides* in Tucson, Arizona. *Med Mycol.* 2016;54(6):584-592. doi:10.1093/mmy/myw022
- de Macêdo RC, Rosado AS, da Mota FF, et al. Molecular identification of *Coccidioides* spp. in soil samples from Brazil. *BMC Microbiol*. 2011;11:108. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-11-108
- Buitrago MJ, Bernal-Martínez L, Castelli MV, Rodríguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Histoplasmosis and paracoccidioidomycosis in a non-endemic area: a review of cases and diagnosis. J Travel Med. 2011;18(1):26-33. doi:10.1111/j.1708-8305.2010.00477.x
- Ramírez-Romero R, Silva-Pérez RA, Lara-Arias J, et al. Coccidioidomycosis in biopsies with presumptive diagnosis of malignancy in dogs: report of three cases and comparative discussion of published reports. *Mycopathologia*. 2016;181(1–2):151-157. doi:10.1007/s11046-015-9948-4
- 42. Bracca A, Tosello ME, Girardini JE, Amigot SL, Gomez C, Serra E. Molecular detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* var. capsulatum in human clinical samples. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2003;41(4):1753-1755. doi:10.1128/jcm.41.4.1753-1755.2003
- 43. Gago S, Esteban C, Valero C, Zaragoza O, Puig de la Bellacasa J, Buitrago MJ. A multiplex real-time PCR assay for identification of Pneumocystis jirovecii, Histoplasma capsulatum, and Cryptococcus neoformans/Cryptococcus gattii in samples from AIDS patients with opportunistic pneumonia. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(4):1168-1176. doi:10.1128/jcm.02895-13

🛼 mycoses

- 44. Scantlebury CE, Pinchbeck GL, Loughnane P, et al. Development and evaluation of a molecular diagnostic method for rapid detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* var. farciminosum, the causative agent of epizootic lymphangitis, in equine clinical samples. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54(12):2990-2999. doi:10.1128/jcm.00896-16
- Gago S, Buitrago MJ, Clemons KV, Cuenca-Estrella M, Mirels LF, Stevens DA. Development and validation of a quantitative realtime PCR assay for the early diagnosis of coccidioidomycosis. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;79(2):214-221. doi:10.1016/j. diagmicrobio.2014.01.029
- Muraosa Y, Toyotome T, Yahiro M, Watanabe A, Shikanai-Yasuda MA, Kamei K. Detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* from clinical specimens by cycling probe-based real-time PCR and nested realtime PCR. *Med Mycol.* 2015;54(4):433-438. doi:10.1093/mmy/ myv106
- Johnson SM, Simmons KA, Pappagianis D. Amplification of coccidioidal DNA in clinical specimens by PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(5):1982-1985. doi:10.1128/jcm.42.5.1982-1985.2004
- Babady NE, Buckwalter SP, Hall L, Le Febre KM, Binnicker MJ, Wengenack NL. Detection of *Blastomyces dermatitidis* and *Histoplasma capsulatum* from culture isolates and clinical specimens by use of real-time PCR. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011;49(9):3204-3208. doi:10.1128/jcm.00673-11
- Simon S, Veron V, Boukhari R, Blanchet D, Aznar C. Detection of Histoplasma capsulatum DNA in human samples by real-time polymerase chain reaction. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2010;66(3):268-273. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2009.10.010
- Scheel CM, Zhou Y, Theodoro RC, Abrams B, Balajee SA, Litvintseva AP. Development of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification method for detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* DNA in clinical samples. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2014;52(2):483-488. doi:10.1128/ jcm.02739-13
- Baptista-Rosas RC, Hinojosa A, Riquelme M. Ecological niche modeling of *Coccidioides* spp. in western North American deserts. *Ann N* Y *Acad Sci.* 2007;1111:35-46. doi:10.1196/annals.1406.003
- Binnicker MJ, Buckwalter SP, Eisberner JJ, et al. Detection of Coccidioides species in clinical specimens by real-time PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45(1):173-178. doi:10.1128/jcm.01776-06
- Furuie JL, Sun J, do Nascimento MM, et al. Molecular identification of *Histoplasma capsulatum* using rolling circle amplification. *Mycoses*. 2016;59(1):12-19. doi:10.1111/myc.12426
- Lindsley MD, Hurst SF, Iqbal NJ, Morrison CJ. Rapid identification of dimorphic and yeast-like fungal pathogens using specific DNA probes. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39(10):3505-3511. doi:10.1128/ jcm.39.10.3505-3511.2001
- Martagon-Villamil J, Shrestha N, Sholtis M, et al. Identification of *Histoplasma capsulatum* from culture extracts by real-time PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(3):1295-1298. doi:10.1128/ jcm.41.3.1295-1298.2003
- Mitchell M, Dizon D, Libke R, Peterson M, Slater D, Dhillon A. Development of a real-time PCR Assay for identification of *Coccidioides immitis* by use of the BD Max system. J Clin Microbiol. 2015;53(3):926-929. doi:10.1128/jcm.02731-14
- 57. Reid TM, Schafer MP. Direct detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* in soil suspensions by two-stage PCR. *Mol Cell Probes*. 1999;13(4):269-273. doi:10.1006/mcpr.1999.0247
- Ueda Y, Sano A, Tamura M, et al. Diagnosis of histoplasmosis by detection of the internal transcribed spacer region of fungal rRNA gene from a paraffin-embedded skin sample from a dog in Japan. Vet Microbiol. 2003;94(3):219-224. doi:10.1016/ s0378-1135(03)00104-4
- Zatti MDS, Arantes TD, Fernandes JAL, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification and nested PCR of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) for *Histoplasma capsulatum* detection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2019;13(8):e0007692. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007692

- Reyes-Montes MDR, Frías-De-León MG, Victoriano-Pastelín I, Acosta-Altamirano G, Duarte-Escalante E. Design and evaluation of an AFLP molecular marker for the detection of *Coccidioides* spp. in biological samples. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2019;23(5):322-330. doi:10.1016/j.bjid.2019.08.002
- Ohno H, Ogata Y, Suguro H, et al. An outbreak of histoplasmosis among healthy young Japanese women after traveling to southeast Asia. *Intern Med.* 2010;49(5):491-495. doi:10.2169/ internalmedicine.49.2759
- López LF, Muñoz CO, Cáceres DH, et al. Standardization and validation of real time PCR assays for the diagnosis of histoplasmosis using three molecular targets in an animal model. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(12):e0190311. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190311
- Koepsell SA, Hinrichs SH, Iwen PC. Applying a real-time PCR assay for *Histoplasma capsulatum* to clinically relevant formalinfixed paraffin-embedded human tissue. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2012;50(10):3395-3397. doi:10.1128/jcm.01705-12
- Highland MA, Chaturvedi S, Perez M, Steinberg H, Wallace R. Histologic and molecular identification of disseminated *Histoplasma capsulatum* in a captive brown bear (*Ursus arctos*). J Vet Diagn Invest. 2011;23(4):764-769. doi:10.1177/ 1040638711406976
- 65. Gómez LF, Gade L, Litvintseva AP, et al. Comparison between two molecular techniques: nested and real-time polymerase chain reaction targeting 100-kDa Hc protein for detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* in environmental samples. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2022;106(5):1329-1332. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.20-1396
- Gallo JE, Torres I, Gómez OM, et al. New Histoplasma diagnostic assays designed via whole genome comparisons. J Fungi (Basel). 2021;7(7):544. doi:10.3390/jof7070544
- Frías De León MG, Arenas López G, Taylor ML, Acosta Altamirano G, Reyes-Montes Mdel R. Development of specific sequencecharacterized amplified region markers for detecting *Histoplasma capsulatum* in clinical and environmental samples. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2012;50(3):673-679. doi:10.1128/jcm.05271-11
- Chaturvedi S, Victor TR, Marathe A, Sidamonidze K, Crucillo KL, Chaturvedi V. Real-time PCR assay for detection and differentiation of *Coccidioides immitis* and *Coccidioides posadasii* from culture and clinical specimens. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2021;15(9):e0009765. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009765
- Castañón-Olivares LR, Güereña-Elizalde D, González-Martínez MR, Licea-Navarro AF, González-González GM, Aroch-Calderón A. Molecular identification of coccidioides isolates from Mexican patients. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1111:326-335. doi:10.1196/ annals.1406.047
- Bialek R, Kern J, Herrmann T, et al. PCR assays for identification of Coccidioides posadasii based on the nucleotide sequence of the antigen 2/proline-rich antigen. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(2):778-783. doi:10.1128/jcm.42.2.778-783.2004
- 71. Bialek R, Feucht A, Aepinus C, et al. Evaluation of two nested PCR assays for detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* DNA in human tissue. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2002;40(5):1644-1647.
- 72. da Silva RM Jr, da Silva Neto JR, Santos CS, et al. Fluorescent in situ hybridization of pre-incubated blood culture material for the rapid diagnosis of histoplasmosis. *Med Mycol*. 2015;53(2):160-164. doi:10.1093/mmy/myu080
- 73. Tang YW, Li H, Durkin MM, et al. Urine polymerase chain reaction is not as sensitive as urine antigen for the diagnosis of disseminated histoplasmosis. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2006;54(4):283-287. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2005.10.008
- 74. Saubolle MA, Wojack BR, Wertheimer AM, Fuayagem AZ, Young S, Koeneman BA. Multicenter clinical validation of a cartridge-based real-time PCR system for detection of *Coccidioides* spp. in lower respiratory specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2018;56(2):1-8. doi:10.1128/ jcm.01277-17

-WILEY- Improved the set of the s

- Alvarado P, Teixeira MM, Andrews L, et al. Detection of *Coccidioides* posadasii from xerophytic environments in Venezuela reveals risk of naturally acquired coccidioidomycosis infections. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2018;7(1):46. doi:10.1038/s41426-018-0049-6
- Lubbers BR, Schilhabel A, Cobbaert CM, et al. The new EU regulation on in vitro diagnostic medical devices: implications and preparatory actions for diagnostic laboratories. *Hema*. 2021;5(5):e568. doi:10.1097/hs9.00000000000568
- White T, Burns T, Lee S, Taylor J. Analysis of phylogenetic relationships by amplification and direct sequencing of ribosomal RNA genes. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ, eds. *PCR Protocols*: A *Guide to Methods and Applications*. Academic Press; 1990:315-322.
- Sabino R, Simões H, Veríssimo C. Detection of deep fungal infections: a polyphasic approach. J Med Microbiol. 2019;68(1):81-86. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.000883
- Paccoud O, Nervo M, Alanio A, et al. Cerebral histoplasmosis caused by *Histoplasma capsulatum* var. duboisii in a patient with no known immunodeficiency. J Travel Med. 2021;28(1):1-3. doi:10.1093/jtm/taaa167
- Cardoso L, Silva C, Marques N, Veríssimo C. Tonsillar ulceration as manifestation of disseminated African histoplasmosis in an immunocompetent Portuguese host. *Med Mycol Case Rep.* 2017;17:14-16. doi:10.1016/j.mmcr.2017.04.003
- Buitrago MJ, Canteros CE, Frias De Leon G, et al. Comparison of PCR protocols for detecting *Histoplasma capsulatum* DNA through a multicenter study. *Rev Iberoam Micol*. 2013;30(4):256-260. doi:10.1016/j. riam.2013.03.004

- Buitrago MJ, Bernal-Martinez L, Castelli MV, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Performance of panfungal--and specific-PCRbased procedures for etiological diagnosis of invasive fungal diseases on tissue biopsy specimens with proven infection: a 7-year retrospective analysis from a reference laboratory. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(5):1737-1740. doi:10.1128/jcm.00328-14
- 83. World Health Organization. WHO fungal priority pathogens list to guide research, development and public health action. 2022 https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240060241

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Wilmes D, Hagen F, Verissimo C, Alanio A, Rickerts V, Buitrago MJ. A multicentre external quality assessment: A first step to standardise PCR protocols for the diagnosis of histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis. *Mycoses*. 2023;66:774-786. doi:10.1111/myc.13603