

Hospital-acquired infections caused by enterococci: a systematic review and meta-analysis, WHO European Region, 1 January 2010 to 4 February 2020

Simon Brinkwirth¹, Olaniyi Ayobami¹, Tim Eckmanns¹, Robby Markwart^{1,2}

1. Unit 37: Nosocomial Infections, Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance and Consumption, Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany

2. Jena University Hospital, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Jena, Germany

* These authors contributed equally to this article and share last authorship

Correspondence: Robby Markwart (robby.markwart@med.uni-jena.de)

Citation style for this article:

Brinkwirth Simon, Ayobami Olaniyi, Eckmanns Tim, Markwart Robby. Hospital-acquired infections caused by enterococci: a systematic review and meta-analysis, WHO European Region, 1 January 2010 to 4 February 2020. *Euro Surveill.* 2021;26(45):pii=2001628. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.45.2001628>

Article submitted on 01 Sep 2020 / accepted on 17 May 2021 / published on 11 Nov 2021

Background: Hospital-acquired infections (HAI) caused by *Enterococcus* spp., especially vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. (VRE), are of rising concern. **Aim:** We summarised data on incidence, mortality and proportion of HAI caused by enterococci in the World Health Organization European Region. **Methods:** We searched Medline and Embase for articles published between 1 January 2010 and 4 February 2020. Random-effects meta-analyses were performed to obtain pooled estimates. **Results:** We included 75 studies. *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE accounted for 10.9% (95% confidence interval (CI): 8.7–13.4; range: 6.1–17.5) and 1.1% (95% CI: 0.21–2.7; range: 0.39–2.0) of all pathogens isolated from patients with HAI. Hospital wide, the pooled incidence of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. ranged between 0.7 and 24.8 cases per 1,000 patients (pooled estimate: 6.9; 95% CI: 0.76–19.0). In intensive care units (ICU), pooled incidence of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE was 9.6 (95% CI: 6.3–13.5; range: 0.39–36.0) and 2.6 (95% CI: 0.53–5.8; range: 0–9.7). Hospital wide, the pooled vancomycin resistance proportion among *Enterococcus* spp. HAI isolates was 7.3% (95% CI: 1.5–16.3; range: 2.6–11.5). In ICU, this proportion was 11.5% (95% CI: 4.7–20.1; range: 0–40.0). Among patients with hospital-acquired bloodstream infections with *Enterococcus* spp., pooled all-cause mortality was 21.9% (95% CI: 15.7–28.9; range: 14.3–32.3); whereas all-cause mortality attributable to VRE was 33.5% (95% CI: 13.0–57.3; range: 14.3–41.3). **Conclusions:** Infections caused by *Enterococcus* spp. are frequently identified among hospital patients and associated with high mortality.

Introduction

Enterococcus spp. is a genus of Gram-positive, facultative anaerobic, catalase-negative bacteria that commonly inhabit the intestinal tracts of healthy humans and animals [1]. In addition to their role as commensals, enterococci are known for being associated with hospital-acquired infections. They can cause a wide range of infections, including infections of the urinary tract, bloodstream, and endocardium [2]. Enterococci, particularly *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*, are among the most frequently isolated pathogens from patients with hospital-acquired infections (HAI) [1,3,4]. Hospital-acquired infections with enterococci are associated with considerable mortality [5-7], morbidity [8,9] and economic burden [10]. The clinical relevance of *Enterococcus* spp. is emphasised by their intrinsically low susceptibility to a wide range of antimicrobial drugs, including aminoglycosides, cephalosporins and sulphonamides and in the case of *E. faecium*, low-dose penicillin and ampicillin [11,12]. In view of the dwindling number of treatment options, vancomycin is commonly used to treat enterococcal infections, especially *E. faecium*. After the introduction of vancomycin in 1958 [13], a profound increase in prescriptions was recorded in the early 1980s [14]. Consequently, the first vancomycin resistance in clinical *Enterococcus* spp. isolates was observed in 1988 in London, United Kingdom [15]. Since then, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. (VRE) has spread and been detected in healthcare facilities across the world [16]. A rise of vancomycin resistance has been observed in clinical *Enterococcus* spp. isolates (especially in *E. faecium*) in many European countries in the last decade

Box

Study selection criteria

Studies were included if they met all of the following criteria:

- The study provided data for at least one of the predefined primary outcomes for *Enterococcus* spp. and/or *E. faecium*. Studies were only included if they provided microbiological results where either the pathogen was identified or the culture was negative for more than 90% of all HAI episodes.
- The study was conducted in the WHO European Region.
- Data collection was completed before 2008 and the study was published after 2009.
- The hospital-acquired infections were defined according to appropriate definitions (e.g. US CDC/NHSN [99,100]).
- A largely unselected patient cohort was studied, i.e. not only high-risk patients such as low birthweight neonates or elderly patients, etc. or those with a specific underlying disease.
- The study was published in English, French, German or Spanish.
- Only studies that reported data for total HAI and HA-BSI were included.

Studies were excluded if:

- Data was provided for HAI outside of hospitals, such as nursing homes.
- Studies with any of the following study designs were excluded: literature reviews, intervention studies, case-control studies, outbreak studies and case series.

HAI: hospital-acquired infections; HA-BSI: hospital-acquired bloodstream infections; NHSN: National Healthcare Safety Network US CDC: United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; WHO: World Health Organization.

in particular [17,18]. A population-based study showed that there were ca 16,000 nosocomial VRE infections with 1,065 attributable deaths in the European Union/European Economic Area in 2015, nearly twice as many as reported in 2007 [19]. Aggregated data show that up to 55% of all HAI could be prevented by implementing multilevel infection prevention and control measures [20], potentially supporting a substantial reduction of the prevalence and mortality of enterococcal HAI. However, to our knowledge, no systematic review on the burden of HAI with *Enterococcus* spp., including vancomycin-resistant strains, in Europe has been published yet.

Systematic data on the epidemiology of enterococcal HAI are needed to fully estimate and understand the epidemiology of *Enterococcus* spp. infections. We therefore conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine the prevalence, incidence and mortality as well as vancomycin resistance proportions of hospital-acquired *Enterococcus* spp. infections in the World Health Organization (WHO) European Region.

Methods

We conducted this systematic review according to a protocol published a priori in the Prospective Register for Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO, 2020 CRD42020166863) and followed the reporting guidelines from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement [21].

Study outcomes


The primary outcomes of this review are the prevalence, incidence and incidence density of hospital-acquired *Enterococcus* spp. / *E. faecium* and VRE / vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* (VREF) infections among hospitalised patients and at the population level. Incidence density is defined as new cases per 1,000 patient hospitalisation days. The mortality of patients with HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. / *E. faecium* and VRE / VREF was additionally studied as a primary outcome. Secondary outcomes are (i) the proportion of vancomycin resistance among all *Enterococcus* spp. / *E. faecium* HAI isolates and; (ii) the proportion of HAI with *Enterococcus* spp. / *E. faecium* and VRE / VREF among all identified microorganisms from patients with HAI. In our review, cases of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. / *E. faecium* include both vancomycin-resistant and sensitive strains.

Search strategy, study selection criteria and data extraction

We searched Medline and Embase for epidemiological and surveillance studies reporting data on HAI. The search was carried out for studies published between 1 January 2010 and 4 February 2020 without any language restrictions. This timeframe was chosen because we aimed to summarise recent data on the epidemiology of hospital-acquired *Enterococcus* spp., especially given the rise of vancomycin resistance in Europe in the last decade. The detailed search strategy, including search strings, is provided in Supplementary Material. Title, abstract and full-text screening were

FIGURE 1

PRISMA flowchart of included studies on hospital-acquired infections caused by enterococci, WHO European Region, 1 January 2010–4 February 2020 (n = 75)



HA-pneumonia: hospital-acquired pneumonia; HAI: hospital-acquired infections; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; WHO: World Health Organization.

independently performed by three authors (SB, OA, RM) using Covidence, a screening and data extraction tool recommended by the Cochrane Community [22]. All disagreements were discussed for consensus or resolved by a third reviewer.

The study selection criteria are presented in Box 1.

The data of all eligible studies were independently extracted by three authors (SB, OA and RM). All disagreements were resolved through discussion. The data extraction included the primary and secondary outcomes and the following study characteristics: authors, year of publication, study period, country, study design, setting (e.g. hospital, intensive care unit (ICU), etc.), age groups, patient inclusion criteria and the HAI infection type (i.e. total HAI and HA-BSI). We contacted study authors via email where details regarding outcomes and reporting were needed.

Risk of bias assessment and statistical analysis

The risk of bias for individual studies was assessed by two authors (SB and RM) using the risk of bias tool developed by Hoy et al. [23]. For data analysis and

presentation, studies were grouped into hospital-wide, ICU-based, neonatal ICU-based studies, and other hospital units/wards (e. g. internal medicine, surgical units, etc.) as well as by HAI types (i. e. total HAI and HA-BSI). All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.6.1 and the R package meta version 4.9.7 (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria) [24]. Pooled estimates were calculated using random-effects models with a Tukey Double Arcsine transformation [25] of the raw proportions. The DerSimonian-Laird estimator was used to define τ^2 (between-study variance). The I^2 statistics quantified the statistical heterogeneity of the selected studies.

Results

In total, we identified 6,069 unique records. After title and abstract screening, 362 studies were assessed in full-text review and 75 [6,7,26-98] met all inclusion criteria (Figure 1).

Study characteristics

The characteristics and individual study estimates are summarised in Supplementary Material, Table S1-S7. Among the 75 included studies, 28 [7,26-52]

TABLE

Summary of all primary outcomes on hospital-acquired infections caused by enterococci stratified by study setting, WHO European Region, 1 January 2010–4 February 2020

Study setting	Infection type	Pathogen	Number of studies	Pooled estimate (95% CI)	Inter-study heterogeneity (I ² statistics)	Range of individual study estimates
Point prevalence (cases per 1,000 patients)						
Hospital patients	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	5 [29,33,39,44,48]	4.6 (2.96–.7)	48%	2.0–12.5
		VRE		NA		
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	3 [29,44,48]	0.63 (0.00–2.1)	27%	0–2.5
		VRE		NA		
ICU patients	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	1 [81]	48.78 (22.91–83.1)	NA	
		VRE		NA		
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	3 [63,69,81]	5.5 (1.6–11.1)	15%	3.1–14.6
		VRE	1 [81]	9.8 (0.15–29.2)	NA	
Incidence (new cases per 1,000 patients)						
Hospital patients	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	5 [28,32,41,45,51]	6.9 (0.76–19.0)	100%	0.71–24.8
		VRE	2 [45,51]	1.8 (1.6–2.1)	0%	2.0–2.9
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	6 [7,30,37,41,46,54]	0.62 (0.34–0.99)	97%	0.18–1.1
		VRE	1 [7]	0.37 (0.31–0.43)	NA	
ICU patients	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	14 [6,55,57,61,64,65,70,71,77,83,85–87,102]	9.6 (6.3–13.5)	96%	0.39–36.0
		VRE	9 [6,53,63,69,72,75,78,83,84]	2.6 (0.53–5.8)	89%	0–9.7
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	12 [62,71,73,75–77,79,83,84,86,87,102]	6.1 (1.9–12.3)	97%	0–24.7
		VRE	8 [62,73,75,76,80,84,87,102]	0.06 (0.00–2.10)	79%	0–9.9
Neonatal ICU	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	5 [89–93]	2.0 (0.05–5.7)	71%	0–15.9
		VRE	4 [89–92]	0 (0.00–0.32)	0%	0
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	6 [46,88–90,92,94]	2.3 (0.95–4.1)	61%	0–5.1
		VRE	4 [89–92]	0 (0.00–0.32)	0%	0
Incidence density (cases per 1,000 patient days)						
Hospital patients	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	3 [28,41,43]	0.34 (0.08–0.78)	93%	0.14–0.92
		VRE	1 [43]	0.02	NA	
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	5 [31,38,40,41,49,54]	0.08 (0.05–0.12)	99%	0.03–0.14
		VRE	3 [31,40,49]	0.02 (0.00–0.06)	99%	0–0.12
ICU patients	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	9 [6,55,64,70,71,77,85,87,102]	0.92 (0.41–1.60)	93%	0.05–2.57
		VRE	6 [6,55,71,77,85,102]	0.16 (0.03–0.37)	75%	0–0.62
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	8 [71,73,75,77,79,84,87,102]	0.61 (0.08–1.6)	96%	0–3.0
		VRE	5 [73,75,84,87,102]	0.01 (0.00–0.12)	70%	0–0.76
Neonatal ICU	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	4 [89–92]	0.15 (0–0.54)	84%	0–1.5
		VRE	4 [89–92]	0 (0.00–0.01)	0%	0
	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	3 [89,90,94]	0.11 (0.01–0.29)	84%	0.02–0.24
		VRE	3 [89–91]	0 (0.000.01)	0%	0
All-cause mortality among patients with enterococcal HAI						
Hospital patients	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	5 [37,42,47,50,103]	21.9 (15.7–28.9)	85%	14.3–32.3
		VRE	2 [42,49]	33.5 (13.0–57.3)	45%	14.3–41.3
ICU patients	All HAI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. ^a	1 [6]	31.0%	NA	
		VRE	2 [6,80]	33.0 (11.9–57.7)	0%	27.3–42.9
Neonatal ICU	HA-BSI	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	1 [94]	0%	NA	

CI: confidence interval; HAI: hospital-acquired infections; HA-BSI: hospital-acquired bloodstream infections; ICU: intensive care units; NA: not applicable; VRE: vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp.; WHO: World Health Organization.

^a Including vancomycin-sensitive and resistant strains.

were conducted hospital wide, 34 [6,53-85] in ICU, nine [44,86-93] in neonatal ICU and five studies [94-98] were performed in other settings, such as internal medicine and surgical units. The studies were distributed across the WHO European Region (Supplementary Material, Figure S1. Geographical distribution of the included studies across the WHO European Region); studies from Turkey (n=20), Italy (n=10) and Poland (n=9) were overrepresented. In total, nine studies were point prevalence studies, while the remaining 66 studies were incidence studies.

The results specifically for *E. faecium* and VREF are not presented in the main text of this study but are instead described in the Supplementary Material.

Risk of bias assessment

The risk of bias for the representativeness of the studied hospital population was assessed as high in the majority of studies (69/75) (Supplementary Material, Table S8. Risk of bias assessment of included studies). Since these studies were single centre studies and/or included data from patients treated in academic medical centres, the representativeness of the included patients for the general hospital population in a given region or country was therefore unclear or low in these studies. Six studies [33,36,37,40,46,61] included nationally representative hospital populations. The risk of bias for the applied case definitions (i.e. hospital-acquired infections) was judged as low for most studies, since the majority of the studies (55/75) used HAI definitions based on the United States (US) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria and the National Healthcare Safety Network criteria [99,100]. These validated definitions are widely used in the surveillance of HAI. More than half (46/75) of the studies did not report the used pathogen identification and/or antimicrobial susceptibility testing method and/or interpretation guideline (e.g. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute). For this reason, the risk of bias with regards to the validity and reliability of the methodology used in these studies to identify enterococci and vancomycin-resistant strains was considered high (item 7, Supplementary Material, Table S8). In epidemiological surveys, HAI are typically defined as infections that occur 48 h after admission. That means that only patients with a hospital stay longer than 48 h in these studies are at risk of developing HAI and hence represent the appropriate denominator population for the parameters of interest (i.e. prevalence, incidence and mortality). Consequently, only studies including patients with a hospital stay longer than 48 h are judged as low risk of bias for item 10 (33/75 studies).

Prevalence and incidence of hospital-acquired infections caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp.

Five point prevalence studies [27,31,37,42,46] reported hospital-wide prevalence between 2.0 and 12.5 cases

of *Enterococcus* spp. (including vancomycin-sensitive and -resistant strains) HAI per 1,000 hospital patients (pooled estimate: 4.6; 95% confidence interval (CI): 2.9–6.7) (Table). Similarly, based on five incidence studies, the pooled hospital-wide incidence of *Enterococcus* spp. HAI was 6.9 (95% CI: 0.76–19.0; range: 0.71–24.8) cases per 1,000 hospital patients (Figure 2A; Table). Two hospital-wide incidence studies reported 2.9 and 2.0 cases per 1,000 patients for HAI caused by VRE. For HA-BSI caused by *Enterococcus* spp., the hospital incidence ranged between 0.18 and 1.1 cases per 1,000 patients (pooled estimate: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.34–0.99, six studies) (Table).

Fourteen studies reported [6,53,55,59,62,63,68,69,72,75,81,82,84,85] data on the incidence of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. in ICU. The individual study estimates ranged between 0.39 and 36.0 cases per 1,000 ICU patients (pooled estimate: 9.6; 95% CI: 6.3–13.5) (Figure 2B; Table). For HAI caused by VRE, the pooled estimate was 2.6 (95% CI: 0.5–5.8) cases per 1,000 ICU patients, with individual studies ranging from 0 to 9.7 cases per 1,000 patients. For HA-BSI, 12 studies reported ICU incidences between 0 and 24.7 *Enterococcus* spp. cases per 1,000 ICU patients (pooled estimate: 6.1; 95% CI: 1.9–12.3) (Table). Notably, two of eight studies identified cases of HA-BSI with VRE (range: 2.3–9.9). Data on the incidence and incidence density of HAI and HA-BSI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. in neonatal ICU are summarised in Table.

One study reported data on the population-based incidence or prevalence of enterococcal HAI. In this population-based study from Denmark [45], the incidence of monomicrobial enterococcal HA-BSI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE was 7.1 per 100,000 person-years and 0.1 per 100,000 person-years.

Incidence density of hospital-acquired infections caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp.


As shown by three studies [26,39,41], the hospital incidence density of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. varied between 0.14 and 0.92 cases per 1,000 hospital patient days (pooled estimate: 0.34; 95% CI: 0.08–0.78) (Table). The ICU incidence density of hospital-acquired *Enterococcus* spp. ranged between 0.05 and 2.6 cases per 1,000 ICU patient days (pooled estimate: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.41–1.6, nine studies) (Table). For HAI caused by VRE, the pooled ICU incidence density was 0.16 (95% CI: 0.03–0.37), with an individual study range of 0 to 0.62 cases per 1,000 ICU patient days.

For HA-BSI caused by *Enterococcus* spp., five studies reported [29,36,38,39,52] incidence densities between 0.03 and 0.14 cases per 1,000 hospital patient days (pooled estimate: 0.08; 95% CI: 0.05–0.12) (Table). In ICU, the pooled incidence density for *Enterococcus* spp. HA-BSI was 0.61 (95% CI: 0.08–1.6) cases per 1,000 patient ICU days (eight studies, range: 0–3.0) (Table).


FIGURE 2

Incidence of hospital-acquired infections caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp., WHO European Region, 1 January 2010–4 February 2020

A. Hospital-wide incidence of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE



B. Incidence of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE in ICU




CI: confidence interval; HAI: hospital-acquired infections; ICU: intensive care units; WHO: World Health Organization.

The incidence of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. as cases per 1,000 patients. Cases of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. include vancomycin-resistant and -sensitive strains. Pooled estimates were calculated using random-effects models with a Tukey Double Arcsine transformation of the raw proportions. The DerSimonian-Laird estimator was used to define τ^2 (between-study variance).

FIGURE 3

All-cause mortality of patients with hospital-acquired bloodstream infections caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp., WHO European Region, 1 January 2010–4 February 2020



CI: confidence interval; HA-BSI: hospital-acquired bloodstream infections; HAI: hospital-acquired infections; ICU: intensive care units; WHO: World Health Organization.

Pooled all-cause mortality (%) of patients with HA-BSI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. Cases of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. include vancomycin-resistant and -sensitive strains. Pooled estimates were calculated using random-effects models with a Tukey Double Arcsine transformation of the raw proportions. The DerSimonian-Laird estimator was used to define τ^2 (between-study variance).

Mortality

The all-cause mortality recorded among patients with HA-BSI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. ranged between 14.3% and 32.3% (pooled estimate: 21.9%; 95% CI: 15.7–28.9, five studies) (Figure 3; Table). Based on two studies [40,47], the pooled all-cause mortality of patients with HA-BSI caused by VRE was 33.5% (95% CI: 13.0–57.3; range: 19.1–41.3). Importantly, Brady et al. 2017 [7] provided data on the attributable mortality of HA-BSI with enterococci. For *Enterococcus* spp. (including vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant strains) and VRE, this study reported an attributable mortality of 17.7% and 19.1%, respectively. This study also showed that the mortality of patients with HA-BSIs caused by vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. (19.1%) was similar to the mortality of HA-BSI patients with vancomycin-sensitive *Enterococcus* spp. (17.0%).

Proportion of *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. among all pathogens isolated from patient with HAI

As reported by 11 hospital-wide studies, the proportion of *Enterococcus* spp. among all microorganisms isolated from HAI patients ranged between 6.1% and 17.5% (pooled estimate: 10.9%; 95% CI: 8.7–13.4) (Figure 4A; Supplementary Material, Table S6). Based on three studies [41,49,101], VRE isolates accounted for 0.39% to 2.0% (pooled estimate: 1.1%; 95% CI: 0.21–2.7) of all HAI pathogens.


Compared with hospital-wide estimates, substantially lower *Enterococcus* spp. proportions were observed in HAI isolates from patients treated in ICU. Only 3.8% (95% CI: 2.9–4.8) of all isolated HAI microorganisms were identified as *Enterococcus* spp. (range: 0.73–7.6, 17 studies,) (Figure 4B; Supplementary Material, Table S6) in ICU. As reported by nine ICU studies [6,8,53,63,65,72,75,82,84], the proportion of VRE ranged between 0% and 1.8% (pooled estimate: 0.55%; 95% CI: 0.20–1.0).

In HA-BSI at the hospital level, the proportion of *Enterococcus* spp. among HA-BSI isolates ranged between 0% and 19.6% (pooled estimate: 9.2%; 95% CI: 6.9–11.7, 17 studies), while the proportion of VRE varied between 0% and 1.9% (Supplementary Material, Table S6). Compared with the hospital-wide estimates, similar proportions of *Enterococcus* spp. in HA-BSI isolates were observed in ICU (pooled estimate: 9.2%; 95% CI: 6.7–11.8; range: 0–28.6, 21 studies) (Supplementary Material, Table S6). In ICU, the proportion of VRE among all isolates from HA-BSI patients varied between 0% and 10.1% (pooled estimate: 1.3%; 95% CI: 0.16–3.2, 11 studies). In four of 11 studies, no VRE were found in isolates from ICU patients with HA-BSI.


FIGURE 4

Proportion of *Enterococcus* spp. and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. among all microorganisms isolated from patients with hospital-acquired infections, WHO European Region, 1 January 2010–4 February 2020

A. Proportion of *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE among all patients



B. Proportion of *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE among ICU patients




CI: confidence interval; HAI: hospital-acquired infections; ICU: intensive care units; WHO: World Health Organization.

Cases of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. include vancomycin-resistant and -sensitive strains. Pooled estimates were calculated using random-effects models with a Tukey Double Arcsine transformation of the raw proportions. The DerSimonian-Laird estimator was used to define τ^2 (between-study variance).


FIGURE 5

Proportion of vancomycin resistance among *Enterococcus* spp. isolates, hospital-wide and in intensive care units, WHO European Region, 1 January 2010–4 February 2020

A. Patients with HAI



B. Patients with HA-BSI



CI: confidence interval; HAI: hospital-acquired infections; HA-BSI: hospital-acquired bloodstream infections; ICU: intensive care unit; WHO: World Health Organization.

Pooled estimates were calculated using random-effects models with a Tukey Double Arcsine transformation of the raw proportions. The DerSimonian-Laird estimator was used to define τ^2 (between-study variance).

Proportion of vancomycin resistance among *Enterococcus* spp. isolates from patients with hospital-acquired infections

Thirteen studies [6,41,43,49,53,63,65,69,72,75,80,82,84] provided data on vancomycin resistance proportion among all *Enterococcus* spp. isolates from patients with HAI in hospitals and ICUs. The VRE proportions ranged between 0% and 40% (pooled hospital-wide estimate: 7.3%; 95% CI: 1.5–16.3; pooled ICU estimate: 11.5%; 95% CI: 4.7–20.1) (Figure 5A; Supplementary Material, Table S7).

In HA-BSI, a pooled vancomycin-resistant proportion of 3.0% (95% CI: 0–9.2) was observed hospital wide (Figure 5B; Supplementary Material, Table S7). Notably, while seven studies reported relatively low VRE proportions in HA-BSI (0–8.9%), two studies from Ireland [7] and Poland [33] reported high proportions of 33%. With the exception of three studies that found no vancomycin resistance, higher VRE proportions (range: 9.5–66.7, six studies) were found in HA-BSI *Enterococcus* spp. isolates from patients treated in ICU (Figure 5B; Supplementary Material, Table S7).

Discussion

In view of limited treatment options, HAI with *Enterococcus* spp. are a serious health issue in the WHO European Region, particularly in light of increasing vancomycin resistance. This study is, to the best of our knowledge, the first systematic review to provide a comprehensive summary of data on the epidemiology of hospital-acquired infections caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE in Europe.

The identified studies reported a hospital-wide point prevalence of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. between 3.3 and 12.5 cases per 1,000 hospital patients, which is similar to Australia (8.0 cases per 1,000 patients) [102] and Latin America (4.0 cases per 1,000 patients) [103]. In contrast, lower prevalences of *Enterococcus* spp. HAI were observed in the US [104] and China [105], 1.9 and 1.3 cases per 1,000 hospital patients, respectively, which might be explained by generally lower hospital point prevalence of HAI in the US 3.2% [106] and in China 3.1% [107] compared with Europe 5.5% [4]. Another explanation might be broader screening practices and the implementation of contact precaution measures within the US healthcare system, particularly to control methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and VRE [108].

Our study emphasises the importance of *Enterococcus* spp. as a nosocomial pathogen, since it accounts for 6.1% to 17.5% of all pathogens isolated from patients with HAI. *Enterococcus* spp. usually remains among the top five most frequent nosocomial pathogens in Europe, despite the variation in species distribution across hospitals and regions [101,109–111]. In comparison, *Enterococcus* spp. is less frequently found in isolates from patients with HAI in the US [104] and China [105], 5% and 3.1% of all HAI

pathogens, respectively. Our data show that VRE was found in 1.1% (range: 0.4–2.0) of all pathogens isolated from HAI patients, which is lower than the mean proportion of MRSA (ca 5%) observed in Europe [112]. However, in Germany [101] and Greece [109] VRE and MRSA are equally often found in HAI patients and in studies from Italy [110] and Ukraine [49], VRE is even more frequently isolated than MRSA, underlining the local heterogeneous distribution of nosocomial antibiotic-resistant pathogens. Interestingly, we found that *Enterococcus* spp. is less frequently isolated from HAI patients in ICU compared with patients treated hospital wide (10.9% vs 3.8%). However, the reasons for this observation are unclear.

Our study shows that the pooled vancomycin resistance proportions among HAI *Enterococcus* spp. were 7.3% hospital wide and 11.5% in isolates from patients in ICU, although individual study estimates varied somewhat. These pooled estimates are similar to the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control data from the European Point Prevalence Survey [113,114]. In comparison to these European data, vancomycin resistance proportions are substantially lower in China [115,116] and Japan [117], where VRE proportions lower than 2% were observed. Interestingly, other countries in eastern Asia observed much higher VRE proportions, such as in South Korea (33.4%) [118] and Taiwan (40%) [119]. Compared with the European estimates, VRE proportions in the US are also generally higher (>20%) [120,121], which might be explained by the widespread use of vancomycin in US hospitals, which increased by more than 30% between 2006 and 2012 [122].

For patients with HA-BSI caused by *Enterococcus* spp., all-cause mortality estimates ranged between 14.3% and 32.3% (pooled estimate: 21.1%). These are higher [35,38,40] or similar [7,48] to the all-cause mortality rates observed for *S. aureus* and generally higher than those reported for *E. coli* [7,35,38,40], which are other frequently encountered nosocomial pathogens. Substantial attention is paid to infection prevention and control (IPC) measures to address VRE, but our results show that enterococcal HAI as a whole are associated with a high incidence and mortality in Europe and should therefore receive more attention in IPC strategies.

An important observation of our study is that there is a large variation between individual study estimates of incidences/prevalences of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. as well as for VRE proportions. This finding is similar to other systematic reviews around the world that also found large inter-study variations in the frequency of HAI [123–125]. Some of this heterogeneity might be explained by different methodological approaches, including different inclusion/exclusion criteria and microbiological sampling routines. In many published studies, data on the causative pathogen are not available for a substantial proportion of HAI

episodes (>40%) because of the lack of microbiological samples taken or incomplete data. This would ultimately lead to a substantial underestimation of the frequency of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. To avoid this source of bias, we only included studies where pathogen identification results were reported for almost all HAI episodes. In addition to methodological differences, the large variation between individual study estimates also reflects true differences in the occurrence of nosocomial pathogens, including *Enterococcus* spp., between countries, regions and individual hospitals. For example, in a large multicentre study from Ukraine [49], *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus* spp. were the predominant pathogens isolated from patients with HAI, while in a multicentre study from Greece, *Klebsiella* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. were the most frequently identified nosocomial pathogens [126]. Furthermore, there is great variation in IPC policies and resources across Europe [127], which also explains the observed variations of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE.

Since vancomycin resistance is predominantly found in *E. faecium* and less in *E. faecalis* and/or other enterococci species [7,18,75,118], vancomycin resistance proportions in *Enterococcus* spp. HAI isolates are also largely influenced by the proportion of *E. faecium* among all *Enterococcus* spp. isolates. Moreover, vancomycin resistance proportions in *E. faecium* differ across countries [18] and even within countries [17], which also explains the observed variation in VRE proportion described in our study. Moreover, nosocomial outbreaks and local spread of *E. faecium* genotypes associated with vancomycin resistance especially VanA and VanB in Europe [128] and increased virulence such as the *esp* and *hyl* genes can result in a higher VRE incidence in certain regions and hospitals. Another explanation for the observed inter-study variations in HAI caused by VRE are the profound differences in the consumption of glycopeptides/vancomycin, fluoroquinolones and third generation cephalosporins in Europe [129,130], whose usage is associated with VRE infections and colonisations in hospitals [131-135].

This systematic review is a comprehensive summary of recent data on the epidemiology of *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE in the WHO European Region, including 75 studies with data on over 8.5 million hospitalised patients with 154,000 HAI episodes. The majority of studies were based on routine HAI surveillance systems, including data from unselected patient cohorts. However, because of language restrictions in the literature selection, potentially relevant studies might have been excluded, for example from eastern European countries. Also, the majority of the included studies were conducted in academic medical centres and/or tertiary care hospitals and the representativeness of hospitalised patients and external validity of the study results might therefore be limited. Despite unclear representativeness of most studies, the

overall quality of the studies and thus the quality of evidence was moderate to high. Another limitation is that many studies did not report vancomycin resistance profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. and data on the epidemiology of VRE are therefore limited. Importantly, since enterococci frequently colonise healthy people and are often detected in mixed infections, they may not be the causative microorganism in all HAI reported by the included studies. Especially in intra-abdominal, pelvic and soft tissue infections, the clinical relevance of *Enterococcus* spp. is debated [136]. Although the included studies were conducted in 21 different countries in the WHO European Region, the studies were not evenly distributed across Europe, which might lead to a geographical bias. For example, ICU-based studies were predominantly from studies in eastern and southern Europe and none was conducted in Scandinavia. Notably, studies from Turkey were overrepresented within the study set reporting VRE data. However, Turkish data did not systematically differ to data from other European countries. More nationally representative studies with complete microbiological and antimicrobial resistance profiles, including populations-based data, are needed in order to fully understand the epidemiology of HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE. In most analyses, a large statistical heterogeneity was observed ($I^2 > 80\%$) and the pooled estimates should be interpreted with caution. We therefore also provided the range of individual study estimates for all outcomes.

Conclusions

Our data show that HAI caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and VRE are frequently identified among hospital patients and associated with high mortality in the WHO European Region. Continuous monitoring and the improved implementation of infection prevention and control programs as well as antibiotic stewardship measures are essential to reduce the burden of HAI caused by enterococci.

*Note

This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with UNSCR 1244/99 and the ICJ Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

Acknowledgements

We thank our colleague Angelina Taylor at the Robert Koch Institute (RKI) for her contribution (proofreading and editing assistance) to this study.

Funding: This work was supported by internal funding of the RKI.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Authors' contributions

SB, TE and RM designed the study. SB, OA and performed literature screening, study selection and data extraction. SB and RM assessed the risk of bias. RM conducted the statistical analyses. SB and RM led the writing of the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

References

1. Fisher K, Phillips C. The ecology, epidemiology and virulence of *Enterococcus*. *Microbiology* (Reading). 2009;155(Pt 6):1749-57. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.026385-0> PMID: 19383684
2. Arias CA, Murray BE. The rise of the *Enterococcus*: beyond vancomycin resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2012;10(4):266-78. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2761> PMID: 22421879
3. Weiner LM, Webb AK, Limbago B, Dudeck MA, Patel J, Kallen AJ, et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the national healthcare safety network at the centers for disease control and prevention, 2011-2014. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2016;37(11):1288-301. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2016.174> PMID: 27573805
4. Suetens C, Latour K, Kärki T, Ricchizzi E, Kinross P, Moro ML, et al. Prevalence of healthcare-associated infections, estimated incidence and composite antimicrobial resistance index in acute care hospitals and long-term care facilities: results from two European point prevalence surveys, 2016 to 2017. *Euro Surveill*. 2018;23(46):1800516. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.46.1800516> PMID: 30458912
5. Pinholt M, Ostergaard C, Arpi M, Bruun NE, Schønheyder HC, Gradel KO, et al. Incidence, clinical characteristics and 30-day mortality of enterococcal bacteraemia in Denmark 2006-2009: a population-based cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014;20(2):145-51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12236> PMID: 23647880
6. Boncagni F, Francolini R, Nataloni S, Skrami E, Gesuita R, Donati A, et al. Epidemiology and clinical outcome of Healthcare-Associated Infections: a 4-year experience of an Italian ICU. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2015;81(7):765-75. PMID: 25582669
7. Brady M, Oza A, Cunney R, Burns K. Attributable mortality of hospital-acquired bloodstream infections in Ireland. *J Hosp Infect*. 2017;96(1):35-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.02.006> PMID: 28359546
8. Caballero-Granado FJ, Becerril B, Cuberos L, Bernabeu M, Cisneros JM, Pachón J. Attributable mortality rate and duration of hospital stay associated with enterococcal bacteremia. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001;32(4):587-94. <https://doi.org/10.1086/318717> PMID: 11181122
9. Kramer TS, Remschmidt C, Werner S, Behnke M, Schwab F, Werner G, et al. The importance of adjusting for enterococcus species when assessing the burden of vancomycin resistance: a cohort study including over 1000 cases of enterococcal bloodstream infections. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2018;7(1):133. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-0419-9> PMID: 30459945
10. Puchter L, Chaberny IF, Schwab F, Vonberg RP, Bange FC, Ebadi E. Economic burden of nosocomial infections caused by vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2018;7(1):1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-017-0291-z> PMID: 29312658
11. O'Driscoll T, Crank CW. Vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infections: epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and optimal management. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2015;8:217-30. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S54125> PMID: 26244026
12. Hollenbeck BL, Rice LB. Intrinsic and acquired resistance mechanisms in enterococcus. *Virulence*. 2012;3(5):421-33. <https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.21282> PMID: 23076243
13. Raza T, Ullah SR, Mehmood K, Andleeb S. Vancomycin resistant Enterococci: A brief review. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2018;68(5):768-72. PMID: 29885179
14. Levine DP. Vancomycin: a history. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42(Suppl 1):S5-12. <https://doi.org/10.1086/491709> PMID: 16323120
15. Uttley AH, Collins CH, Naidoo J, George RC. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Lancet*. 1988;1(8575-6):57-8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(88\)91037-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(88)91037-9) PMID: 2891921
16. Boyce JM. Vancomycin-resistant enterococcus. Detection, epidemiology, and control measures. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 1997;11(2):367-84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5520\(05\)70361-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5520(05)70361-5) PMID: 9187952
17. Markwart R, Willrich N, Haller S, Noll I, Koppe U, Werner G, et al. The rise in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* in Germany: data from the German Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance (ARS). *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2019;8(1):147. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0594-3> PMID: 31485325
18. Ayobami O, Willrich N, Reuss A, Eckmanns T, Markwart R. The ongoing challenge of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus faecalis* in Europe: an epidemiological analysis of bloodstream infections. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2020;9(11):1180-93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1769500> PMID: 32498615
19. Cassini A, Högberg LD, Plachouras D, Quattrocchi A, Hoxha A, Simonsen GS, et al. Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2019;19(1):56-66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(18\)30605-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30605-4) PMID: 30409683
20. Schreiber PW, Sax H, Wolfensberger A, Clack L, Kuster SP, Swissnos. The preventable proportion of healthcare-associated infections 2005-2016: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2018;39(11):1277-95. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2018.183> PMID: 30234463
21. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097> PMID: 19621072
22. Cochrane Community. Covidence. London: Cochrane. [Accessed: 26 Oct 2021]. Available from: <https://community.cochrane.org/help/tools-and-software/covidence>
23. Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, Blyth F, March L, Bain C, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2012;65(9):934-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.11.014> PMID: 22742910
24. Schwarzer G. meta: an R package for meta-analysis. *R News*. 2007;7(3):40-5.
25. Barendregt JJ, Doi SA, Lee YY, Norman RE, Vos T. Meta-analysis of prevalence. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2013;67(11):974-8. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2013-203104> PMID: 23963506
26. Avci M, Ozgenc O, Coskuner SA, Olut AI. Hospital acquired infections (HA) in the elderly: comparison with the younger patients. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2012;54(1):247-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2011.03.014> PMID: 21529974
27. Barbato D, Castellani F, Angelozzi A, Isonne C, Baccolini V, Migliara G, et al. Prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections in a large teaching hospital. *Ann Ig*. 2019;31(5):423-35. <https://doi.org/10.7416/ai.2019.2304> PMID: 31304523
28. Blackburn RM, Henderson KL, Minaji M, Muller-Pebody B, Johnson AP, Sharland M. Exploring the epidemiology of hospital-acquired bloodstream infections in children in England (January 2009-March 2010) by linkage of national hospital admissions and microbiological databases. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2012;1(4):284-92. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piso84> PMID: 26619421
29. Blot K, Hammami N, Blot S, Vogelaers D, Lambert M-L. Increasing burden of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Enterococcus faecium* in hospital-acquired bloodstream infections (2000-2014): A national dynamic cohort study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2019;40(6):705-9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2019.59> PMID: 31012402
30. Cardoso T, Ribeiro O, Aragão I, Costa-Pereira A, Sarmento A. Differences in microbiological profile between community-acquired, healthcare-associated and hospital-acquired infections. *Acta Med Port*. 2013;26(4):377-84. PMID: 24016647
31. Ciofi Degli Atti ML, Cuttini M, Ravà L, Ceradini J, Paolini V, Ciliento G, et al. Trend of healthcare-associated infections in children: annual prevalence surveys in a research hospital in Italy, 2007-2010. *J Hosp Infect*. 2012;80(1):6-12. PMID: 22133896
32. De Angelis G, Fiori B, Menchinelli G, D'Inzeo T, Liotti FM, Morandotti GA, et al. Incidence and antimicrobial resistance trends in bloodstream infections caused by ESKAPE and *Escherichia coli* at a large teaching hospital in Rome, a 9-year analysis (2007-2015). *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2018;37(9):1627-36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-018-3292-9> PMID: 29948360
33. Deptuła A, Trejnowska E, Dubiel G, Wanke-Rytt M, Deptuła M, Hryniewicz W. Healthcare associated bloodstream infections in Polish hospitals: prevalence, epidemiology and microbiology-summary data from the ECDC Point Prevalence Survey of Healthcare Associated Infections 2012-2015. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2018;37(3):565-70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-017-3150-1> PMID: 29189981

34. Erdem D, Akan B, Kanyilmaz D, Demirelli G, Esingen S, Ornek D, et al. The association between total parenteral nutrition and central line-associated bloodstream infection. *Acta Med Mediter*. 2015;31:1163-7.
35. Green N, Johnson AP, Henderson KL, Muller-Pebody B, Thelwall S, Robotham JV, et al. Quantifying the burden of hospital-acquired bloodstream infection in children in England by estimating excess length of hospital stay and mortality using a multistate analysis of linked, routinely collected data. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2015;4(4):305-12. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piu073> PMID: 26582869
36. Gubbels S, Nielsen J, Voldstedlund M, Kristensen B, Schönheyder HC, Ellermann-Erikson S, et al. National automated surveillance of hospital-acquired bacteremia in Denmark using a computer algorithm. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2017;38(5):559-66. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2017.1> PMID: 28274300
37. Hopmans TEM, Smid EA, Wille JC, van der Kooij TH, Koek MBG, Vos MC, et al. Trends in prevalence of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use in hospitals in the Netherlands: 10 years of national point-prevalence surveys. *J Hosp Infect*. 2020;104(2):181-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2019.10.005> PMID: 31626863
38. Huttunen R, Ättman E, Aittoniemi J, Outinen T, Syrjänen J, Kärki T, et al. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in a Finnish tertiary care hospital: a retrospective cohort study of 2175 episodes during the years 1999-2001 and 2005-2010. *Infect Dis (Lond)*. 2015;47(1):20-6. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00365548.2014.956791> PMID: 25351869
39. Kołpa M, Wałaszek M, Róžańska A, Wolak Z, Wójkowska-Mach J. Hospital-wide surveillance of healthcare-associated infections as a source of information about specific hospital needs. A 5-year observation in a multiprofile provincial hospital in the south of Poland. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(9):1956. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15091956> PMID: 30205510
40. Kontula KSK, Skogberg K, Ollgren J, Järvinen A, Lyytikäinen O. The outcome and timing of death of 17,767 nosocomial bloodstream infections in acute care hospitals in Finland during 1999-2014. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2018;37(5):945-52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-018-3211-0> PMID: 29455272
41. Mancini A, Verdini D, La Vigna G, Recanatini C, Lombardi FE, Barocci S. Retrospective analysis of nosocomial infections in an Italian tertiary care hospital. *New Microbiol*. 2016;39(3):197-205. PMID: 27284985
42. Marani A, Napoli C, Berdini S, Montesano M, Ferretti F, Di Ninno F, et al. Point prevalence surveys on healthcare acquired infections in medical and surgical wards of a teaching hospital in Rome. *Ann Ig*. 2016;28(4):274-81. <https://doi.org/10.7416/ai.2016.2106> PMID: 27479763
43. Ott E, Saathoff S, Graf K, Schwab F, Chaberny IF. The prevalence of nosocomial and community acquired infections in a university hospital: an observational study. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2013;110(31-32):533-40. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2013.0533> PMID: 24069074
44. Pérez López A, Ladhani SN, Breathnach A, Planche T, Heath PT, Sharland M. Trends in paediatric nosocomial bacteraemia in a London tertiary hospital. *Acta Paediatr*. 2013;102(10):1005-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.12347> PMID: 23837813
45. Pinholt M, Østergaard C, Arpi M, Bruun NE, Schönheyder HC, Grøhl KO, et al. Incidence, clinical characteristics and 30-day mortality of enterococcal bacteraemia in Denmark 2006-2009: a population-based cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014;20(2):145-51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12236> PMID: 23647880
46. Raka L, Spahija G, Gashi-Gecaj A, Hamza A, Haxhiu E, Rashiti A, et al. Point prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use in Kosovo hospitals. *Infect Dis Rep*. 2019;11(1):7975. <https://doi.org/10.4081/idr.2019.7975> PMID: 30996847
47. Ryan L, O'Mahony E, Wrenn C, FitzGerald S, Fox U, Boyle B, et al. Epidemiology and molecular typing of VRE bloodstream isolates in an Irish tertiary care hospital. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(10):2718-24. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv185> PMID: 26142479
48. Saliba P, Hornero A, Cuervo G, Grau I, Jimenez E, García D, et al. Mortality risk factors among non-ICU patients with nosocomial vascular catheter-related bloodstream infections: a prospective cohort study. *J Hosp Infect*. 2018;99(1):48-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.11.002> PMID: 29128346
49. Salomanov AG, Vdovychenko SY, Litus OI, Litus VI, Bisyuk YA, Bondarenko TM, et al. Prevalence of health care-associated infections and antimicrobial resistance of the responsible pathogens in Ukraine: Results of a multicenter study (2014-2016). *Am J Infect Control*. 2019;47(6):e15-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2019.03.007> PMID: 31000318
50. Sante L, Aguirre-Jaime A, Miguel MA, Ramos MJ, Pedrosa Y, Lecuona M. Epidemiological study of secondary bloodstream infections: The forgotten issue. *J Infect Public Health*. 2019;12(1):37-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2018.08.011> PMID: 30266540
51. Venturini E, Montagnani C, Benni A, Becciani S, Biermann KP, De Masi S, et al. Central-line associated bloodstream infections in a tertiary care children's University hospital: a prospective study. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2016;16(1):725. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-016-2061-6> PMID: 27903240
52. Virano S, Scolfaro C, Garazzino S, De Intinis C, Ghisetti V, Raffaldi I, et al. Medical care related laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infections in paediatrics. *Infez Med*. 2015;23(2):117-24. PMID: 26110291
53. Atici S, Soysal A, Kepenekli Kadayifci E, Karaaslan A, Akkoç G, Yakut N, et al. Healthcare-associated infections in a newly opened pediatric intensive care unit in Turkey: Results of four-year surveillance. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2016;10(3):254-9. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.7517> PMID: 27031457
54. Atilla A, Doğanay Z, Kefeli Çelik H, Demirağ MD, S Kiliç S. Central line-associated blood stream infections: characteristics and risk factors for mortality over a 5.5-year period. *Turk J Med Sci*. 2017;47(2):646-52. <https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1511-29> PMID: 28425261
55. Bonnet V, Dupont H, Glorion S, Aupée M, Kipnis E, Gérard JL, et al. Influence of bacterial resistance on mortality in intensive care units: a registry study from 2000 to 2013 (IICU Study). *J Hosp Infect*. 2019;102(3):317-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2019.01.011> PMID: 30659869
56. Candevir A, Kurtaran B, Kibar F, Karakoc E, Aksu H, Tasova Y. Invasive device-associated nosocomial infections of a teaching hospital in Turkey; four years' experience. *Turk J Med Sci*. 2011;41(1):137-47.
57. Cevik S, Bosnak V, Namiduru M, Karaoglan I, Mete A. Invasive device-associated hospital infection rates, etiological agents, and their antibiotic susceptibilities in the medical intensive care unit of a university hospital in Turkey. *Turk J Med Sci*. 2013;43(1):33-8.
58. Culshaw N, Glover G, Whiteley C, Rowland K, Wyncoll D, Jones A, et al. Healthcare-associated bloodstream infections in critically ill patients: descriptive cross-sectional database study evaluating concordance with clinical site isolates. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2014;4(1):34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-014-0034-8> PMID: 25593750
59. Custovic A, Smajlovic J, Tihic N, Hadzic S, Ahmetagic S, Hadzagic H. Epidemiological monitoring of nosocomial infections caused by acinetobacter baumannii. *Med Arh*. 2014;68(6):402-6. <https://doi.org/10.5455/medarh.2014.68.402-406> PMID: 25648217
60. De Santis V, Gresoiu M, Corona A, Wilson AP, Singer M. Bacteraemia incidence, causative organisms and resistance patterns, antibiotic strategies and outcomes in a single university hospital ICU: continuing improvement between 2000 and 2013. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(1):273-8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku338> PMID: 25190722
61. Deptuła A, Trejnowska E, Dubiel G, Żukowski M, Misiewska-Kaczur A, Ozorowski T, et al. Prevalence of healthcare-associated infections in Polish adult intensive care units: summary data from the ECDC European Point Prevalence Survey of Hospital-associated Infections and Antimicrobial Use in Poland 2012-2014. *J Hosp Infect*. 2017;96(2):145-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2016.12.020> PMID: 28173962
62. Djordjevic Z, Jankovic S, Gajovic O, Djonovic N, Folic N, Bukumiric Z. Hospital infections in a neurological intensive care unit: incidence, causative agents and risk factors. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2012;6(11):798-805. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.2659> PMID: 23277505
63. Erayman I, Erdi M, Kalkan E, Karatas Y, Kaya B, Keskin F, et al. Evaluation of nosocomial infections and related risk factors in a neurosurgery intensive care unit. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2016;9(4):7334-8.
64. Geffers C, Gastmeier P. Nosocomial infections and multidrug-resistant organisms in Germany: epidemiological data from KISS (the Hospital Infection Surveillance System). *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2011;108(6):87-93. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2011.0087> PMID: 21373275
65. Inan A, Ozgültekin A, Akcay SS, Engin DO, Turan G, Ceran N, et al. Alterations in bacterial spectrum and increasing resistance rates in isolated microorganisms from device-associated infections in an intensive care unit of a teaching hospital in Istanbul (2004-2010). *Jpn J Infect Dis*. 2012;65(2):146-51. PMID: 22446122
66. Iordanou S, Middleton N, Papathanassoglou E, Raftopoulos V. Surveillance of device associated infections and mortality in a major intensive care unit in the Republic of Cyprus. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2017;17(1):607. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2704-2> PMID: 28877671

67. Kepenekli E, Soysal A, Yalindag-Ozturk N, Ozgur O, Ozcan I, Devrim I, et al. Healthcare-associated infections in pediatric intensive care units in Turkey: a national point-prevalence survey. *Jpn J Infect Dis.* 2015;68(5):381-6. <https://doi.org/10.7883/yoken.IJID.2014.385> PMID: 25791987
68. Kolpa M, Wałaszek M, Gniadek A, Wolak Z, Dobroś W. Incidence, microbiological profile and risk factors of healthcare-associated infections in intensive care units: A 10 year observation in a provincial hospital in southern Poland. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(1):112. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15010112> PMID: 29324651
69. Kostakoğlu U, Saylan S, Karataş M, İskender S, Aksoy F, Yılmaz G. Cost analysis and evaluation of nosocomial infections in intensive care units. *Turk J Med Sci.* 2016;46(5):1385-92. <https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1504-106> PMID: 27966302
70. Kouni S, Tsoia M, Roilides E, Dimitriou G, Tsiodras S, Skoutelis A, et al. Establishing nationally representative central line-associated bloodstream infection surveillance data for paediatric patients in Greece. *J Hosp Infect.* 2019;101(1):53-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2018.07.032> PMID: 30059747
71. Djuric O, Markovic-Denic L, Jovanovic B, Bumbasirevic V. High incidence of multiresistant bacterial isolates from bloodstream infections in trauma emergency department and intensive care unit in Serbia. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung.* 2019;66(3):307-25. <https://doi.org/10.1556/030.66.2019.007> PMID: 30786727
72. Öncül O, Öksüz S, Acar A, Ülkür E, Turhan V, Uygur F, et al. Nosocomial infection characteristics in a burn intensive care unit: analysis of an eleven-year active surveillance. *Burns.* 2014;40(5):835-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2013.11.003> PMID: 24296064
73. Ong DS, Bonten MJ, Safdari K, Spitoni C, Frencken JF, Witteveen E, et al. Epidemiology, management, and risk-adjusted mortality of ICU-acquired enterococcal bacteremia. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(9):1413-20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ560> PMID: 26179013
74. Orsi GB, Giuliano S, Franchi C, Ciorba V, Protano C, Giordano A, et al. Changed epidemiology of ICU acquired bloodstream infections over 12 years in an Italian teaching hospital. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2015;81(9):980-8. PMID: 25411769
75. Salmanov A, Litus V, Vdovychenko S, Litus O, Davtian L, Ubogov S, et al. Healthcare-associated infections in intensive care units. *Wiad Lek.* 2019;72(5) 5 cz 2;963-9. <https://doi.org/10.36740/WLEK201905201> PMID: 31175725
76. Schwab F, Geffers C, Behnke M, Gastmeier P. ICU mortality following ICU-acquired primary bloodstream infections according to the type of pathogen: A prospective cohort study in 937 Germany ICUs (2006-2015). *PLoS One.* 2018;13(3):e0194210. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194210> PMID: 29518133
77. Süner A, Karaoğlan I, Mete AO, Namiduru M, Boşnak V, Baydar I. Assessment of bloodstream infections and risk factors in an intensive care unit. *Turk J Med Sci.* 2015;45(6):1243-50. <https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1303-41> PMID: 26775377
78. Sutcu M, Akturk H, Acar M, Salman N, Aydın D, Akgun Karapınar B, et al. Impact of vancomycin-resistant enterococci colonization in critically ill pediatric patients. *Am J Infect Control.* 2016;44(5):515-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2015.11.026> PMID: 26781220
79. Tomaszewski D, Rybicki Z, Duszyńska W. The Polish Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care (PPIC): A one-day point prevalence multicenter study. *Adv Clin Exp Med.* 2019;28(7):907-12. <https://doi.org/10.17219/acem/94147> PMID: 30986000
80. Viderman D, Brotfain E, Khamzina Y, Kapanova G, Zhumadilov A, Poddighe D. Bacterial resistance in the intensive care unit of developing countries: Report from a tertiary hospital in Kazakhstan. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist.* 2019;17:35-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2018.11.010> PMID: 30448518
81. Viderman D, Khamzina Y, Kaligozhin Z, Khudaibergenova M, Zhumadilov A, Crapce B, et al. An observational case study of hospital associated infections in a critical care unit in Astana, Kazakhstan. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2018;7(1):57. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-0350-0> PMID: 29713464
82. Wałaszek M, Róžańska A, Bulanda M, Wojkowska-Mach J. Alarming results of nosocomial bloodstream infections surveillance in Polish intensive care units. *Przegl Epidemiol.* 2018;72(1):33-44. PMID: 29667378
83. Wałaszek M, Rozanska A, Bulanda M, Wojkowska-Mach J. Epidemiology of healthcare-associated infections in Polish intensive care. A multicenter study based on active surveillance. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub.* 2018;162(3):190-7. <https://doi.org/10.5507/bp.2018.006> PMID: 29795542
84. Yetkin F, Yakupogullari Y, Kuzucu C, Ersoy Y, Otlu B, Colak C, et al. Pathogens of intensive care unit-acquired infections and their antimicrobial resistance: a 9-year analysis of data from a university hospital. *Jundishapur J Microbiol.* 2018;11(10):e67716. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijm.67716>
85. Yoğun Ç, Ünitesindeki B, Enfeksiyonlarının H, Celiloglu C, Tolunay O, Sucu A, et al. Assessment of healthcare-associated infections in the pediatric intensive care unit. *J Pediatr Inf.* 2017;11(3):113-8. <https://doi.org/10.5578/ced.201733>
86. Baier C, Pirr S, Ziesing S, Ebadi E, Hansen G, Bohnhorst B, et al. Prospective surveillance of bacterial colonization and primary sepsis: findings of a tertiary neonatal intensive and intermediate care unit. *J Hosp Infect.* 2019;102(3):325-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2019.01.021> PMID: 30716339
87. Bolat F, Uslu S, Bolat G, Comert S, Can E, Bulbul A, et al. Healthcare-associated infections in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit in Turkey. *Indian Pediatr.* 2012;49(12):951-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13312-012-0249-4> PMID: 22791673
88. Crivaro V, Bogdanović L, Bagattini M, Iula VD, Catania M, Raimondi F, et al. Surveillance of healthcare-associated infections in a neonatal intensive care unit in Italy during 2006-2010. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2015;15(1):152. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-0909-9> PMID: 25885702
89. Cura C, Ozen M, Akaslan Kara A, Alkan G, Sesli Cetin E. Health care-associated infection surveillance in a tertiary neonatal intensive care unit: A prospective clinical study after moving to a new building. *Am J Infect Control.* 2016;44(1):80-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2015.07.032> PMID: 26320701
90. Djordjevic ZM, Markovic-Denic L, Folic MM, Igrutinovic Z, Jankovic SM. Health care-acquired infections in neonatal intensive care units: risk factors and etiology. *Am J Infect Control.* 2015;43(1):86-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.10.005> PMID: 25564130
91. Sadowska-Krawczenko I, Jankowska A, Kurylak A. Healthcare-associated infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Arch Med Sci.* 2012;8(5):854-8. <https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2012.31412> PMID: 23185195
92. Verstraete E, Boelens J, De Coen K, Claeys G, Vogelaers D, Vanhaesebrouck P, et al. Healthcare-associated bloodstream infections in a neonatal intensive care unit over a 20-year period (1992-2011): trends in incidence, pathogens, and mortality. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014;35(5):511-8. <https://doi.org/10.1086/675836> PMID: 24709719
93. Yalaz M, Altun-Köroğlu O, Ulusoy B, Yildiz B, Akisu M, Vardar F, et al. Evaluation of device-associated infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Turk J Pediatr.* 2012;54(2):128-35. PMID: 22734298
94. Guebbe M, Pérez-Granda MJ, Capdevila JA, Barberán J, Pinilla B, Martín-Rabadán P, et al. Nationwide study on peripheral-venous-catheter-associated-bloodstream infections in internal medicine departments. *J Hosp Infect.* 2017;97(3):260-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.07.008> PMID: 28716670
95. Karadağ Geçgel S, Demircan N. The epidemiology of pathogen microorganisms in hospital acquired infections. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2016;9(11):22310-6.
96. Kolpa M, Wałaszek M, Róžańska A, Wolak Z, Wójkowska-Mach J. Epidemiology of surgical site infections and non-surgical infections in neurosurgical Polish patients—Substantial changes in 2003-2017. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2019;16(6):911. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16060911> PMID: 30871283
97. Kuzdan C, Soysal A, Culha G, Altınkanat G, Soyletir G, Bakir M. Three-year study of health care-associated infections in a Turkish pediatric ward. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2014;8(11):1415-20. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.3931> PMID: 25390054
98. Tsitsopoulos PP, Iosifidis E, Antachopoulos C, Anestis DM, Karantani E, Karyoti A, et al. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in neurosurgery: a 10-year analysis in a center with high antimicrobial drug-resistance prevalence. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 2016;158(9):1647-54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-016-2890-5> PMID: 27452903
99. Garner JS, Jarvis WR, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughes JM. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections, 1988. *Am J Infect Control.* 1988;16(3):128-40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-6553\(88\)90053-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-6553(88)90053-3) PMID: 2841893
100. Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. *Am J Infect Control.* 2008;36(5):309-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2008.03.002> PMID: 18538699
101. Ott E, Saathoff S, Graf K, Schwab F, Chaberny IF. The prevalence of nosocomial and community acquired infections in a university hospital: an observational study. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2013;110(31-32):533-40. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2013.0533> PMID: 24069074
102. Russo PL, Stewardson AJ, Cheng AC, Bucknall T, Mitchell BG. The prevalence of healthcare associated infections among adult inpatients at nineteen large Australian acute-care public hospitals: a point prevalence survey. *Antimicrob Resist Infect*

- Control. 2019;8(1):114. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0570-y> PMID: 31338161
103. Huerta-Gutiérrez R, Braga L, Camacho-Ortiz A, Díaz-Ponce H, García-Mollinedo L, Guzmán-Blanco M, et al. One-day point prevalence of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use in four countries in Latin America. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2019;86:157-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2019.06.016> PMID: 31229613
 104. Magill SS, O'Leary E, Janelle SJ, Thompson DL, Dumyati G, Nadle J, et al. Changes in prevalence of health care-associated infections in U.S. hospitals. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(18):1732-44. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1801550> PMID: 30380384
 105. Chen Y, Zhao JY, Shan X, Han XL, Tian SG, Chen FY, et al. A point-prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infection in fifty-two Chinese hospitals. *J Hosp Infect.* 2017;95(1):105-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2016.08.010> PMID: 28007308
 106. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2019 national and state healthcare-associated infections (HAI) progress report. Atlanta: CDC; 2021. [Accessed: 26 Oct 2021]. Available from: <https://arpsp.cdc.gov/profile/national-progress/united-states>
 107. Wang J, Liu F, Tartari E, Huang J, Harbarth S, Pittet D, et al. The Prevalence of healthcare-associated infections in mainland China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2018;39(6):701-9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2018.60> PMID: 29655388
 108. Mendes RE, Castanheira M, Farrell DJ, Flamm RK, Sader HS, Jones RN. Longitudinal (2001-14) analysis of enterococci and VRE causing invasive infections in European and US hospitals, including a contemporary (2010-13) analysis of oritavancin in vitro potency. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2016;71(12):3453-8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw319> PMID: 27609052
 109. Kritsotakis EI, Kontopidou F, Astrinaki E, Roubelaki M, Ioannidou E, Gikas A. Prevalence, incidence burden, and clinical impact of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial resistance: a national prevalent cohort study in acute care hospitals in Greece. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2017;10:317-28. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S147459> PMID: 29066921
 110. Mancini A, Verdini D, La Vigna G, Recanatini C, Lombardi FE, Barocci S. Retrospective analysis of nosocomial infections in an Italian tertiary care hospital. *New Microbiol.* 2016;39(3):197-205. PMID: 27284985
 111. Metsini A, Vazquez M, Sommerstein R, Marschall J, Voide C, Troillet N, et al. Point prevalence of healthcare-associated infections and antibiotic use in three large Swiss acute-care hospitals. *Swiss Med Wkly.* 2018;148(17-18):w14617. PMID: 29698542
 112. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Point prevalence survey of healthcare associated infections and antimicrobial use in European acute care hospitals. Stockholm: ECDC; 2013. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/point-prevalence-survey-healthcare-associated-infections-and-antimicrobial-use-0>
 113. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Point prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use in European acute care hospitals 2011-2012. Stockholm: ECDC; 2013. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/point-prevalence-survey-healthcare-associated-infections-and-antimicrobial-use-0>
 114. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Healthcare-associated infections acquired in intensive care units - Annual Epidemiological Report for 2017. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019. Available from: www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/healthcare-associated-infections-intensive-care-units-annual-epidemiological-1
 115. Huang L, Zhang R, Hu Y, Zhou H, Cao J, Lv H, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin-resistant enterococci infections in Zhejiang China from 2015 to 2017. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2019;8(1):90. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0539-x> PMID: 31164979
 116. Hu F, Zhu D, Wang F, Wang M. Current status and trends of antibacterial resistance in China. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;67(2) suppl_2:S128-34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy657> PMID: 30423045
 117. Japan NIS. (JANIS). Annual open report 2018 (all facilities). Tokyo: JANIS; 2019. Available from: https://janis.mhlw.go.jp/english/report/open_report/2018/3/1/ken_Open_Report_Eng_201800_clsi2012.pdf
 118. Kim EJ, Kwak Y, Kim T, Lee MS, Lee S-O, Kim S, et al. Korean national healthcare-associated infections surveillance system, intensive care unit module report: summary of data from July 2017 through June 2018. *Korean J of Health Assoc Infect Control and Prev.* 2019;24(2):69. <https://doi.org/10.14192/kjicp.2019.24.2.69>
 119. Taiwan Centers for Disease Control Control (TCFDC). Annual report of nosocomial infections surveillance system 2016. Taipei: TCFDC; 2016. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/threats-report/2019-ar-threats-report-508.pdf>
 120. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2019. Atlanta: CDC; 2019. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/threats-report/2019-ar-threats-report-508.pdf>
 121. Pfaller MA, Cormican M, Flamm RK, Mendes RE, Jones RN. Temporal and geographic variation in antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance patterns of Enterococci: results from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program, 1997-2016. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2019;6Suppl 1:S54-62. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofy344> PMID: 30895215
 122. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Antibiotic use in the United States, 2017: progress and opportunities. Atlanta: CDC; 2017. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/stewardship-report/pdf/stewardship-report.pdf>
 123. Allegranzi B, Bagheri Nejad S, Combescure C, Graafmans W, Attar H, Donaldson L, et al. Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2011;377(9761):228-41. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)61458-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61458-4) PMID: 21146207
 124. Ayobami O, Willrich N, Harder T, Okeke IN, Eckmanns T, Markwart R. The incidence and prevalence of hospital-acquired (carbapenem-resistant) *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Europe, Eastern Mediterranean and Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2019;8(1):1747-59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2019.1698273> PMID: 31805829
 125. Markwart R, Saito H, Harder T, Tomczyk S, Cassini A, Fleischmann-Struzek C, et al. Epidemiology and burden of sepsis acquired in hospitals and intensive care units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020;46(8):1536-51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06106-2> PMID: 32591853
 126. Kritsotakis EI, Kontopidou F, Astrinaki E, Roubelaki M, Ioannidou E, Gikas A. Prevalence, incidence burden, and clinical impact of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial resistance: a national prevalent cohort study in acute care hospitals in Greece. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2017;10:317-28. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S147459> PMID: 29066921
 127. Hansen S, Zingg W, Ahmad R, Kyratsis Y, Behnke M, Schwab F, et al. Organization of infection control in European hospitals. *J Hosp Infect.* 2015;91(4):338-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.07.011> PMID: 26542950
 128. Werner G, Coque TM, Hammerum AM, Hope R, Hryniewicz W, Johnson A, et al. Emergence and spread of vancomycin resistance among enterococci in Europe. *Euro Surveill.* 2008;13(47):19046. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.13.47.19046-en> PMID: 19021959
 129. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial consumption in the EU/EEA, annual epidemiological report for 2018. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/surveillance-antimicrobial-consumption-europe-2018>
 130. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial consumption database (ESAC-Net). Stockholm: ECDC; 2021. [Accessed: 5 Nov 2021]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/antimicrobial-consumption/surveillance-and-disease-database>
 131. Forstner C, Diab-Elschahawi M, Kivaranovic D, Graninger W, Mitteregger D, Macher M, et al. Non-linear significant relationship between use of glycopeptides and isolation of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus species in a university hospital setting. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2015;4(1):25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-015-0064-5> PMID: 26078865
 132. Fridkin SK, Edwards JR, Courval JM, Hill H, Tenover FC, Lawton R, et al. The effect of vancomycin and third-generation cephalosporins on prevalence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in 126 U.S. adult intensive care units. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;135(3):175-83. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-135-3-200108070-00009> PMID: 11487484
 133. Dahms RA, Johnson EM, Statz CL, Lee JT, Dunn DL, Beilman GJ. Third-generation cephalosporins and vancomycin as risk factors for postoperative vancomycin-resistant enterococcus infection. *Arch Surg.* 1998;133(12):1343-6. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.133.12.1343> PMID: 9865653
 134. Kritsotakis EI, Christidou A, Roubelaki M, Tselentis Y, Gikas A. The dynamic relationship between antibiotic use and the incidence of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus: time-series modelling of 7-year surveillance data in a tertiary-care

- hospital. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2008;14(8):747-54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02026.x> PMID: 18727798
135. Remschmidt C, Behnke M, Kola A, Peña Diaz LA, Rohde AM, Gastmeier P, et al. The effect of antibiotic use on prevalence of nosocomial vancomycin-resistant enterococci- an ecologic study. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2017;6(1):95. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-017-0253-5> PMID: 28924472
136. Agudelo Higuera NI, Huycke MM. Enterococcal disease, epidemiology, and implications for treatment. Boston: Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary; 2014. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK190429>

License, supplementary material and copyright

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) Licence. You may share and adapt the material, but must give appropriate credit to the source, provide a link to the licence and indicate if changes were made.

Any supplementary material referenced in the article can be found in the online version.

This article is copyright of the authors or their affiliated institutions, 2021.