

Telemedicine in emergency responses: reflections from a critical care telemedicine programme between Uzbekistani and German clinicians during COVID-19

Evgeniya Boklage ,¹ Björn Weiss,² Johanna Hanefeld,¹ Karin Steinecke,² Andreas Jansen,¹ Khikmat Anvarov ,³ Abror Valihanov,³ Azamat Alimov,³ Joachim Seybold,⁴ Claudia Spies,⁵ Ulugbek Sabirov⁶

To cite: Boklage E, Weiss B, Hanefeld J, et al. Telemedicine in emergency responses: reflections from a critical care telemedicine programme between Uzbekistani and German clinicians during COVID-19. *BMJ Health Care Inform* 2023;30:e100675. doi:10.1136/bmjhci-2022-100675

Received 06 September 2022
Accepted 03 February 2023

Telemedicine emerged as a tool to support prevention, diagnosis, treatment and management of infectious diseases in remote and low-income settings with underserved populations¹ while the pandemic of COVID-19 has accelerated its adoption.² Different telemedical models exist in the context of acute care. One peer-to-peer approach involving an interdisciplinary team of healthcare professionals, called the 'hub-and-spoke model,' facilitates live audio-video interaction at the bedside from a tertiary hospital to remote care providers to assist remote-site physicians in treating challenging cases.³ The 'hub-and-spoke model' is a multiprofessional peer-to-peer approach involving an interdisciplinary team of doctors, nurses and allied healthcare professionals under the hybrid model, which combines teleconsultations with training and educational activities. It also enables the delivery of telemedical services across national borders,⁴ which offers solutions to clinical questions and promotes the exchange of knowledge and experience about the novel infectious disease between healthcare professionals on a global level. Thus, telemedical support has emerged as a potential surge capability not only for the ongoing pandemic but also for future emergencies.⁵

In March 2021, the Republican Research Centre for Emergency Medicine (RRCEM) in Tashkent, Uzbekistan, connected to a telemedical 'hub' at the university hospital Charité in Berlin, Germany, to strengthen critical care capacity for patients with severe cases of COVID-19 in Tashkent. The RRCEM received a specialised telemedical cart and launched a telemedical intensive care unit,

joining a hub-and-spoke network of hospitals. Now, partners in Uzbekistan and Germany conduct regular joint telemedical rounds to discuss pre-selected cases. The doctors participate in telemedical rounds at agreed times 3 days a week. Between March 2021 and December 2022, the RRCEM and Charité conducted over 500 joint telemedical rounds involving nearly 200 patients. Several structural patient management improvements have occurred in the RRCEM. These include an antibiotic stewardship programme, a guideline-based approach to delirium management and mechanical ventilation strategies. As a team of clinicians and global health professionals, we identify five lessons that may aid the implementation of similar projects elsewhere, which we summarise in table 1.

During the pandemic of COVID-19, the need for remote consultations between patients and doctors and among healthcare professionals increased significantly. With this, many old challenges to the implementation of telemedical initiatives became more evident. Surges made it necessary to treat patients in field-type or small and medium-sized hospitals with varying degrees of experience in treating critically ill patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome and with different levels of readiness to adopt telemedicine. However, facing a public health emergency, patients and clinicians have become more comfortable with digital technologies to deliver healthcare services. They are more likely to appreciate their benefits, including more efficient use of resources



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to
Dr Evgeniya Boklage;
boklagee@rki.de

**Table 1** Summary of the lessons learnt

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Minimum technological infrastructure | Adequate digital infrastructure with robust internet connection; appropriate hardware and software | This includes a robust and reliable broadband internet connection. Maintaining stable bandwidth and network speed can be challenging in rural areas and must be secured before implementing telemedicine. Appropriate hardware and software are other critical components. Telemedicine hardware pieces must be mobile and easy to operate in a clinical setting, the software must be well integrated with the existing and future platforms, not interrupting the workflow, and secure future interoperability as the number of telemedical programmes using electronic medical record systems grows. Governments, particularly in low and middle income countries (LMICs), must account for the license and maintenance fees to make telemedicine sustainable. |
| 2. Local champions | Enthusiastic medical staff promoting the adoption of telemedical technology | Local champions need to possess sufficient knowledge of the adopted technology, an understanding of the implementing organisation and the ability to establish credibility among peers ⁷ . An integrative review of champions in healthcare found them among critical factors in project implementation success ⁹ . In our case, a small group of committed English-speaking doctors at the RRCEM operated as local champions. They ran the programme on the Uzbekistani side, participated in regular ward rounds with German counterparts, served as multiplicators for education and training, and promoted and legitimised the new approach. |
| 3. Trust among partners | Trust and commitment among clinical partners engaged in joint telemedical activities | In cross-border telemedical networks, mutual understanding of respective healthcare systems and sociocultural aspects of care between the 'hub' and the 'spoke' are crucial and achieved through dedication and regular communication. In our case, we followed what a hybrid model of care mixing on-site missions with virtual care. Initially, German doctors stayed at Tashkent hospital to support the treatment of critically ill patients. On return, project coordinators in Germany organised a weekly online course on the fundamentals of intensive care medicine between the Charité and RRCEM before the launch of the tele-ICU. The colleagues from both hospitals learnt the specifics of the respective clinical environments by discussing clinical cases and protocols. This combination of on-site and online meetings helped building rapport and prepared colleagues for long-term telemedical work. |
| 4. Human resources | Training programmes to create a sustainable telemedical workforce | Not all staff members may be ready to adopt telemedical technology. Greater engagement with young healthcare professionals is necessary to address this, given their enthusiasm to use new technologies. ¹⁰ Another hindrance is a high workload at the hospital, which could hamper clinicians' ability to learn using novel devices and limit the time for telemedicine. During teleconsultations, recurring technological issues can decrease their effectiveness and impede the willingness to engage with telemedical technology. ¹¹ Combining a blended learning concept with an e-learning part and on-site visits is an efficient way to promote staff training. |
| 5. Governance and leadership | Commitment, support and encouragement of the leadership in the implementation of telemedical projects | Decision-makers, such as the Ministries of Health, must prioritise digital health and promote the use of digital technologies to create more equitable healthcare. Leadership must ensure an appropriate legal framework for conducting joint telemedical rounds, including the matter of licence to practice. Our project received full support from the hospital management, and the Ministries in both countries endorsed it. An international consultancy agreement clarified the making of treatment decisions between two teams. |

RRCEM, Republican Research Centre for Emergency Medicine; tele-ICU, telemedical intensive care unit.

and time, better availability, and improved contact possibilities.⁶

Once healthcare systems begin to recover, countries should build on the momentum to strengthen the position of telemedical technology and practice. Building on what we know, long-standing challenges to the implementation of telemedicine must be addressed systematically through governance, processes, technological infrastructure, and a clear focus on creating a sustainable telemedical workforce. Given the limited resources, it holds relevance for countries with underserved populations. Our project has demonstrated outstanding potential for telemedical programmes in international settings, crossing the borders of healthcare systems when its hard (technology) and soft (training, team building, motivation) components are well considered in the planning phase. With the right approach and commitment, the national government and its international partners in the health sector could use the advances Uzbekistan made in telemedicine during the pandemic to expand the network to the regions to deliver high-quality, affordable healthcare.

Author affiliations

¹Centre for International Health Protection, Robert Koch Institut, Berlin, Germany

²Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

³Republican Research Centre of Emergency Medicine, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

⁴Medical Directorate, Charite Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

⁵Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charite Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

⁶Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Contributors EB conceived the commentary and produced the first draft of the manuscript. BW and JH have contributed important theoretical insights. All authors contributed to critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. EB drafted the final version and submitted the manuscript. All authors have read and approved this version.

Funding The project is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Health, grant number ZMI1-2521GHP909.

Competing interests CS reports grants from BMG/RKI, during the conduct of the study; grants from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft/ German Research Society, grants from DeutschesZentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e. V. (DLR)/German Aerospace Center, grants from Einstein Stiftung Berlin/ Einstein Foundation Berlin, grants from Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss/Federal Joint Committee (G-BA), grants from Inneruniversitäre Forschungsförderung/ Inner University, grants from Projektträger im DLR/Project Management Agency, grants from Stifterverband/ Non-Profit Society Promoting Science and Education, grants from European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, grants from Baxter Deutschland, grants from Cytosorbents Europe, grants from Edwards Lifesciences Germany, grants from Fresenius Medical Care, grants from Grünenthal, grants from Masimo Europe, grants from Pfizer Pharma PFE, personal fees from Georg Thieme Verlag, grants from Dr F. Köhler Chemie, grants from Sintetica, grants from Stifterverband für die deutsche Wissenschaft e.V./Philips, grants from Stiftung Charite, grants from AGUETTANT Deutschland, grants from AbbVie Deutschland In addition, CS has a patent 10 2014 215 211.9 licensed, a patent 10 2018 114 364.8 licensed,

a patent 10 2018 110 275.5 licensed, a patent 50 2015 010 534.8 licensed, a patent 50 2015 010 347.7 licensed, and a patent 10 2014 215 212.7 licensed. BW reports grants from BMG/RKI, during the conduct of the study; consulting fees from Orion Pharma; honoraria for presentations from Dr F. Köhler Chemie and BARMER Insurance; support for attending meetings from Intouch Health, USA; position as a Committee Chair and Executive Committee Member for ESCIM.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Evgeniya Boklage <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1724-5376>

Khikmat Anvarov <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6787-8665>

REFERENCES

- 1 Coombes CE, Gregory ME. The current and future use of telemedicine in infectious diseases practice. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2019;21:41. 10.1007/s11908-019-0697-2 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-019-0697-2>
- 2 Monaghesh E, Hajizadeh A. The role of telehealth during COVID-19 outbreak: a systematic review based on current evidence. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20:1193. 10.1186/s12889-020-09301-4 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09301-4>
- 3 Spies C, Paul N, Adrián C, et al. ERIC Study Group. Effectiveness of an intensive care telehealth programme to improve process quality (ERIC): a multicentre stepped wedge cluster randomised controlled trial. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2023;16:1–14. 10.1007/s00134-022-06949-x Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-022-06949-x>
- 4 Saliba V, Legido-Quigley H, Hallik R, et al. Telemedicine across borders: a systematic review of factors that hinder or support implementation. *Int J Med Inform* 2012;81:793–809.
- 5 Bhaskar S, Nurtagina A, Mittoo S, et al. Editorial: telemedicine during and beyond COVID-19. *Front Public Health* 2021;9:662617. 10.3389/fpubh.2021.662617 Available: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.662617>
- 6 Sosnowski R, Kamecki H, Joniau S, et al. Introduction of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic: a challenge for now, an opportunity for the future. *Eur Urol* 2020;78:820–1. 10.1016/j.eururo.2020.07.007 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2020.07.007>
- 7 Wade V, Elliott J. The role of the champion in telehealth service development: a qualitative analysis. *J Telemed Telecare* 2012;18:490–2. 10.1258/jtt.2012.gth115 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1258/jtt.2012.gth115>
- 8 Kotter J, Cohen D. *The heart of change: real life stories of how people change their organization*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press, 2002.
- 9 Miech EJ, Rattray NA, Flanagan ME, et al. Inside help: an integrative review of champions in healthcare-related implementation. *SAGE Open Med* 2018;6:2050312118773261.
- 10 Wong BLH, Khurana MP, Smith RD, et al. Harnessing the digital potential of the next generation of health professionals. *Hum Resour Health* 2021;19:50:50.. 10.1186/s12960-021-00591-2 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00591-2>
- 11 Acharya RV, Rai JJ. Evaluation of patient and doctor perception toward the use of telemedicine in Apollo tele health services, India. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2016;5:798–803. 10.4103/2249-4863.201174 Available: <https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4863.201174>