Smoking during pregnancy

Introduction

Maternal smoking during pregnancy may have a serious impact on the development of the unborn child (DKFZ 2010; Dudenhausen 2009; DiFranza et al. 2004). Harmful substances that are contained in tobacco smoke reach the bloodstream of the child via the placenta and restrict the supply of oxygen and nutrients. In addition, they impair the formation, maturation and differentiation of nerve cells which can lead to developmental disorders in organs (DKFZ 2010).

Smoking during pregnancy is linked with an increased risk of premature birth, miscarriage and stillbirth (Mund et al. 2013; Rogers 2008). Furthermore, children of mothers who smoked during pregnancy are often smaller at birth, have lower birth weight and a smaller head circumference than children of non-smokers. They also suffer more frequently from physical deformities such as cleft lip and palate (orofacial cleft) and they are more likely to die of sudden infant death syndrome - SIDS (Hackshaw et al. 2011; DKFZ 2015; Rogers 2008; Adgent 2006).

Over the further course of their lives, affected children also have a greater risk of suffering from diabetes mellitus, respiratory diseases and high blood pressure (Rogers 2008; Pattenden et al. 2006; Montgomery, Ekbom 2002).

Indicator

Whether mothers smoked during pregnancy was surveyed as part of KiGGS wave 1 in the telephone parental interview using the following question: “Did the mother of the child smoke during the pregnancy?” The response categories were: “Yes, regularly”, “Yes, now and then” and »Never«. The affirmative answers have been summarised for these present analyses.

The tables show the percentage of mothers of 0 to 6-year-old children who smoked during pregnancy. The results are presented separately according to gender and social status.

Key statements

▶ The proportion of mothers who smoked during pregnancy is 12.1%.
▶ A social gradient can be identified for smoking among pregnant women: the higher the social status of the family the lower the percentage of mothers who smoked during pregnancy.

Conclusion

In KiGGS wave 1 the percentage of mothers who smoked during pregnancy is 12.1 %. When stratified according to social status a clear social gradient is to be observed. The higher the social status of the family the lower the percentage of mothers who smoked during pregnancy.

Similar tendencies regarding the smoking behaviour of pregnant women have been reported by other studies conducted in Germany. Accordingly, in the nationwide Perinatal Survey 2007 – 2011, 11.2 % of women reported having smoked daily during pregnancy (Scholz et al. 2013). More current data from the 2014 School Entrance Survey (Schuleingangsuntersuchung) in Saxony-Anhalt revealed a somewhat higher percentage of smoking among pregnant women at 16.6 % (Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz Sachsen-Anhalt 2014). In contrast, a significantly lower percentage figure was established in a special evaluation by the Bavarian Working Group for Quality Assurance in In-Patient Care (Bayerische Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Qualitätssicherung in der stationären Versorgung). Here the percentage of women who smoked at least one cigarette per day during their pregnancy was 5% (Bayerisches Landesamt für Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit 2015).

Generally, a downward trend in the prevalence of smoking during pregnancy is to be observed. Thus, both when comparing the data from KiGGS 1 with those from the KiGGS baseline study from 2003 – 2006 and the data from the German Perinatal Surveys of 1995 – 1997 and 2007 – 2011, a fall in the percentage of women who smoked during pregnancy can be found (Bergmann et al. 2007; Scholz et al. 2013).
The strongly pronounced differences according to social status that were observed in KiGGS wave 1, are also to be found in other studies (Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz Sachsen-Anhalt 2014; DKFZ 2009; Schneider et al. 2007). In addition, in various surveys, clear differences are to be seen in connection with education (Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz Sachsen-Anhalt 2014; Gilman et al. 2008). More highly educated women therefore are much less likely to smoke during pregnancy than less well-educated women.

Overall, maternal smoking during pregnancy is a significant, avoidable risk factor with regard to the health development of the unborn child. Expectant mothers should therefore be consistently informed by gynaecologists and midwives about the consequences of tobacco consumption and be given support to stop smoking.

Note: A detailed description of the study as well as explanations on the method are available on the KiGGS study website, www.kiggs-studie.de, and in Lange et al. (2014).
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Table 1
Percentage of mothers who smoked during pregnancy among 0 to 6-year-old girls according to social status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social status</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>(95%-CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>(20.5–41.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>(7.4–13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>(1.4–5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (girls and boys)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>(10.2–14.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Percentage of mothers who smoked during pregnancy among 0 to 6-year-old boys according to social status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social status</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>(95%-CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>(17.2–39.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>(9.3–15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>(1.1–3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (girls and boys)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>(10.2–14.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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