

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Need for nursing care support in cancer patients: Registry-linkage study in Germany

Jacob Spallek<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jürgen Breckenkamp<sup>1\*</sup>, Klaus Kraywinkel<sup>3,4</sup>, Wolfgang Schwabe<sup>5</sup>, Volker Krieg<sup>3</sup>, Wolfgang Greiner<sup>6</sup>, Oliver Damm<sup>6</sup>, Oliver Razum<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

**Aim:** In Germany, very little is known about the need for assistance and nursing care support among cancer patients after hospitalization. The aim of this study was to describe nursing care support for cancer patients and to analyse whether these patients need more care assistance than other persons in need for care.

**Methods:** This was a registry linkage study conducted in 2011. Cases were identified from the population-based cancer registry for the Muenster District in north-western Germany and in factually anonymised form linked by a semi-automatic probabilistic procedure (the standard procedure of the cancer registry) with medical examination records of patients applying for assistance and nursing care support from the regional statutory health insurance. The application records of 4,029 patients with colon, breast and prostate cancer were compared to a reference group of 13,104 non-cancer patients.

**Results:** In only 41.7% of colon, 45.8% of breast and 37.4% of prostate cancer patients was the malignancy the main underlying diagnostic cause for the application of assistance and nursing care. These patients were on average younger (mean age 71.1 vs. 76.8 years) than the non-cancer reference group, required higher levels of support (79.5 vs. 58.1% “considerable” or higher level care need) and their applications were less likely to be rejected (odds ratios [ORs] 0.26, 0.28, and 0.31, respectively). By contrast, the proportion of successful applications and the level of support granted did not differ between multimorbid cancer patients with other main diagnoses as compared to non-cancer applicants.

**Conclusion:** Patients with colon, breast or prostate cancer do not need per se more nursing care than non-cancer patients. Only if cancer is the main underlying diagnosis for nursing care support, higher levels of support are needed.

**Keywords:** cancer patients, Germany, nursing care.

*1 Department of Epidemiology and International Public Health, School of Public Health, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany;*

*2 Department of Public Health, Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus-Senftenberg, Senftenberg, Germany;*

*3 Epidemiologic Cancer Registry NRW gGmbH, Münster, Germany;*

*4 German Centre for Cancer Registry Data, Robert Koch-Institute, Berlin, Germany;*

*5 Medical Service of the Health Insurance Westphalia-Lippe, Administrative Centre, Münster, Germany;*

*6 Department of Health Economics and Health Care Management, School of Public Health Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany.*

*\*J. Spallek and J. Breckenkamp contributed equally to this paper.*

**Corresponding author:** Dr. Jürgen Breckenkamp, Department of Epidemiology and International Public Health, School of Public Health, Bielefeld University;

Address: D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany;

Telephone: +49(0)521-106 3803; E-mail: juergen.breckenkamp@uni-bielefeld.de

## Introduction

The population in Germany, like in other industrialized countries, is ageing. Consequently, the burden of disease due to chronic conditions such as cancer is increasing. Improved early detection and medical care result in longer survival of cancer patients (1). Cancer survivors not only require in-hospital or ambulatory medical treatment but they may also need assistance and nursing care, either permanently or during certain periods in the course of their disease. Internationally the access to, the implication for and the coordination of care after treatment for cancer is a subject of research (2). Geriatric assessment (3), the use of care plans (4) and their improvement (5) as well as the use of multidisciplinary teams are discussed as ways to improve care (6). In Germany, depending on the individual need (determined by the type of disease, stage of disease and age of the patient) and on the personal financial resources, the patient or his/her family are entitled to apply for support from the statutory health insurances' nursing care provision program (gesetzliche Pflegeversicherung). This insurance covers about 70.5 million of the 80 million people residing in Germany (all those insured in the compulsory health insurance). After applying for support, the Medical Service of the Health Insurance (Medizinischer Dienst der Kranken-versicherung, MDK) will entrust trained medical personal with conducting a standardized assessment of the actual need of home nursing care in order to assess the eligibility for support and the type of support granted (financial, ambulatory nursing care, or institutional care) (7). The medical assessment results as well as information on the type of support granted are stored in regional databases maintained by the MDK. The MDK databases contain one main and one concomitant diagnosis underlying the need for nursing care support. Thus, older and multimorbid patients, even if they are

cancer survivors and have been granted nursing care support, may not be registered as cancer cases in the MDK database. Hence, with this database alone, it is not possible to assess the level of nursing care support that cancer patients require relative to non-cancer patients. The complementing information may be retrieved from population-based cancer registries, which, however, usually do not contain information on nursing care (8). Therefore, very little is known about the need for nursing care support of cancer survivors after hospitalization in Germany. This study aims to describe nursing care support for cancer patients and to analyse whether these patients need more care assistance than other persons in need for care. All requirements of the German Data Protection Act and the responsible Ethical Committee were adhered to.

## Methods

The study was conducted in the Muenster District in the north-west of Germany with a population of about 2.6 million persons in 2011. The Epidemiologic Cancer Registry for the Muenster District (EKR) registers all cancer cases, with a completeness of recording of more than 95% and a proportion of death certificate only cases of about 7% (9). The number of incident cancer diagnoses in the Muenster District is about 13,000 per year (10). Data of the regional MDK of Westphalia-Lippe (MDK-WL) were used to determine the need for and the type of nursing care support granted in the period of 2004 to 2008. The MDK-WL maintains a quasi-complete database of all claims for nursing care in Westphalia-Lippe (7). Westphalia-Lippe comprises three of the five districts of North Rhine-Westphalia (NRW), among them the district of Muenster. In this district, about 73,000 persons received support for nursing care in 2009, corresponding to 2,810 cases per 100,000 inhabitants (11).

As described elsewhere, records of the EKR and the MDK were pseudonymised and linked using a semi-automatic probabilistic procedure in accordance with the Cancer Registry Act of NRW (12). The resulting database contained detailed information about cancer cases (site, time of diagnosis, etc.) as well as their need for nursing care and the type of nursing care granted by the MDK.

About 18,900 cancer cases could be identified in the EKR who had at least one medical examination recorded in the MDK database. A reference group of patients with no records in the EKR was drawn from the MDK database for comparison (about 21,400 non-cancer patients). We used temporary record numbers to identify the patients not registered in the cancer registry and to draw the reference group.

In cases of changes in the need for nursing care (or appeals against the MDK's decision), a follow-up medical examination is conducted. Here, data of the first MDK follow-up examination is used to assess nursing care needs in relation to disease progress.

The analysis was restricted to cancer of the breast, colon and prostate (ICD10 C-18, ICD10 C-50, ICD10 C-61, total N= 4,029), the most frequent malignancies. A cancer record in the EKR and the main diagnosis leading to nursing care in the MDK database were used to define three subgroups:

- i) Cancer according to EKR (yes) and to main diagnosis in the MDK (yes): n=1,707 patients;
- ii) Cancer according to EKR (yes) but not to MDK (no): n=2,322 patients, and;
- iii) EKR (no) and MDK (no): n=13,104 patients (reference group).

Due to the small number of patients (n=181) the fourth group (no/yes) was not considered. The age range was restricted to 38-95 years, so that groups of equal age are compared.

The outcome of interest was the “need for nursing care support” as assessed by MDK in the medical examination. It was defined according to the German Nursing Act in five levels (0 to 3+)[Box 1]. Descriptive analyses compared groups with regard to baseline characteristics and levels of need. Logistic regression models were used to adjust dichotomous outcomes for age (in years) and sex (colon cancer only). Analyses were performed with SAS 9.2.

## Results

Age and sex distribution of patients who applied for nursing care are shown in Table 1, stratified by cancer case status in the EKR and the MDK database. Cancer patients with cancer as main diagnosis justifying nursing care (group 1) were about eight years younger than cancer patients whose need for nursing care was justified by another condition (group 2) and patients with main diagnoses other than cancer (group 3, reference). Differences by sex were fairly small, although statistically significant.

In more than 50% of MDK patients who suffered from colon, breast or prostate cancer, cancer was not the main diagnosis leading to nursing care (Table 2).

### *Nursing care level*

Between 20.5% (group 1) and 41.9% (group 3) of MDK patients did not fulfil the criteria to receive nursing care support according to the first MDK medical examination.

**Textbox 1: Levels of need for nursing care support adapted from [8]**

**-Level 0:** No need of nursing care support

The need for nursing care is below the threshold of 90 minutes/day on average (see level 1 below), so no support is granted.

**-Level 1:** Considerable need for nursing care support

Need for assistance is required at least once a day and covers at least two activities in one or more areas of basic care (body care, feeding and mobility). In addition, domestic help is required several times a week. The weekly expenditure of time is at least 90 minutes/day on average, with more than 45 minutes for basic care.

**-Level 2:** Extensive need for nursing care support

Need for assistance in basic care (body care, feeding and mobility) is required at least three times daily at different times of the day. In addition, domestic help is required several times a week. The weekly expenditure of time is at least 3 hours/day on average, with more than 2 hours for basic care.

**-Level 3:** Very extensive need for nursing care support

There is need for assistance in basic care around the clock, also at night. In addition, domestic help is required several times a week. The weekly expenditure of time is at least 5 hours/day on average, with more than 4 hours for basic care.

**-Level 3+:** "Härtefall"

If the conditions of level 3 are satisfied and there is an unusually high or intensive need of assistance, the hardship regulation with higher payments can be applied.

Nursing care support was more often granted (nursing care level 1 and higher) in MDK patients in whom cancer was the main diagnosis justifying nursing care support (group 1).

For cancer patients who had another main diagnosis that justified nursing care support (group 2), the rejection rate was considerably higher than in group 1, but similar to patients without cancer (group 3).

**Table 1. Age and sex of MDK patients grouped by cancer case status in cancer registry (EKR) and MDK database (cancer cases in groups 1 and 2 restricted to colon, breast and prostate)**

Variable	Group 1 (EKR yes/ MDK yes)*	Group 2 (EKR yes/ MDK no)†	Group 3 (EKR no/ MDK no)‡	P
<b>Age</b>				
Mean ±SD	71.1±11.0	79.2±8.0	76.8±11.1	
Median	73	80	79	<0.001
Range	38-95	38-95	38-95	
<b>Sex</b>				
Male n, (%)	623 (36.5)	920 (39.6)	4.320 (33.0)	
Female n, (%)	1,084 (63.5)	1.402 (60.4)	8.784 (67.0)	<0.001
Total	1,707 (100)	2,322 (100)	13,104 (100)	

\* cancer case in EKR + cancer is main diagnosis justifying nursing care - also if nursing care is not granted (level 0).

† cancer case in EKR + cancer is not main diagnosis justifying nursing care - also if nursing care is not granted (level 0).

‡ non-cancer case in EKR + cancer is not main diagnosis justifying nursing care - also if nursing care is not granted (level 0), reference.

Patients in group 1 also needed extensive nursing care of level 2 or level 3 (including

level 3+) more frequently than patients in the other groups (Table 3).

Of all persons registered in the EKR applying for nursing care support (groups 1 and 2) a need for nursing care support (level 1 and higher) was confirmed at the first medical examination in 69.2 % of colon

cancer patients (mean age: 77.1 years), 65.1 % of breast cancer patients (mean age: 73.4 years), and 72.4 % of prostate cancer patients (mean age 76.4 years) (Table 3).

**Table 2. Age distribution of MDK patients stratified by cancer location, grouped by cancer case status in cancer registry (EKR) and MDK database**

	<b>Group 1 (EKR yes/ MDK yes)*</b>	<b>Group 2 (EKR yes/ MDK no)†</b>	<b>Group (EKR no/ MDK no)‡</b>
ICD10 C-18: n, (%) (cancer of the colon)	483 (41.7)	675 (58.3)	
Mean(±SD) age females	75.1±10.0	82.0±7.0	78.6±10.3
Mean(±SD) age males	70.6±10.5	77.8±7.8	73.2±11.8
ICD10 C-50: n, (%) (female breast cancer)	811 (45.8)	958 (54.2)	
Mean(±SD) age females	68.4±12.2	79.1±8.9	78.6±10.3
ICD10 C-61: n, (%) (cancer of prostate)	413 (37.4)	689 (62.6)	
Mean(±SD) age males	73.8±7.7	77.9±6.9	73.2±11.8

\*cancer case in EKR + cancer is main diagnosis justifying nursing care - also if nursing care is not granted (level 0).

† cancer case in EKR + cancer is not main diagnosis justifying nursing care - also if nursing care is not granted (level 0).

‡ non-cancer case in EKR + cancer is not main diagnosis justifying nursing care - also if nursing care is not granted (level 0), reference.

To assess what determines the chances of nursing care support being refused by MDK, we calculated odds ratios separately for the three cancer types (Table 4). The reference was group 3 (Table 4). The chance of

receiving no support (level 0) was statistically significantly lower when cancer was the main diagnosis justifying nursing care, irrespective of the type of cancer (OR=0.26-0.31).

**Table 3. Nursing care level of MDK patients as per first medical examination by cancer case status in cancer registry (EKR) and MDK database (cancer cases in groups 1 and 2 restricted to colon, breast and prostate cancer)**

	<b>Group 1 (EKR yes/ MDK yes)*</b>	<b>Group 2 (EKR yes/ MDK no)*</b>	<b>Group 3 (EKR no/ MDKno)*</b>
Nursing care level as per first medical opinion (n, %)			
Level 0 (no support)	350 (20.5)	928 (40.0)	5.492 (41.9)
Level 1 and higher	1.357 (79.5)	1.394 (60.0)	7.612 (58.1)
Level 1†	673 (49.6)	1.065 (76.4)	5.741 (75.4)
Level 2	614 (45.3)	310 (22.2)	1.711 (22.5)
Level 3‡	70 (5.1)	19 (1.4)	160 (2.1)

\* see footnotes to Table 1.

†subgroups with level 1, 2 and 3 add up to 100% (as do Level 0 plus “Level 1 and higher”).

‡ includes level 3+ cases.





patients who is multimorbid and in whom cancer survivorship is a concomitant condition of less severity (group 2). The need for nursing care support of the latter group is not substantially different from that of non-cancer patients (group 3).

Our findings regarding the temporal development of the need for support seem to support this interpretation. Second medical examinations were conducted sooner in patients from group 1 than in the other two patient groups, indicating that the course of disease in this group was more severe requiring reassessment of the condition. Also, the proportion of patients being upgraded to higher levels of support was substantially higher in group 1 than in the other groups, despite younger age and earlier reassessment. While this might reflect a comparatively quick worsening of health among this group of cancer patients, differences in mean age could also partly be an effect of a higher case fatality rate in group 1.

Discussions in other countries highlight unmet needs of cancer patients, often calling for specialised oncology nursing in hospital and nursing home care (2,22-23). Hansen et al. (20) reported an association of unmet needs with health-related quality of life. According to Puts et al. (21) the most common needs are psychological and physical needs as well as needs for information. Another focus is on improvement and coordination of nursing care (2-6). Salz and Baxi (5) assume that patients with serious health problems will benefit most from care coordination. Though the system of nursing care support in Germany is different from systems in other countries, our findings confirm specific care needs among cancer patients. Comparative studies should establish how the different systems are performing, relative to each other.

*-Strengths:* Firstly, the medical examinations from MDK are performed by experts following highly standardized

procedures, so information about need for nursing care and the underlying main diagnosis is reliable. Secondly, the completeness of data in the cancer registry and MDK databases is high. About 95% of cancer cases are registered. About 94% of persons in the study population are insured in the compulsory nursing care insurance, so all their claims for nursing care are registered by the MDK. Thirdly, the results of our study are representative for the study region of Muenster district. As Muenster is a typical West German district with rural and urban areas, the findings may be generally indicative of needs of the population in the western part of Germany. Fourthly, we could combine administrative with medical data, thus obtaining information that is not available in single databases such as claims data (16,17). To achieve this, we had to solve a methodological problem, namely linking routine data while maintaining data protection. There is no system of unique national identification numbers (24) that would allow a simple linkage of routine health data from different sources (25) in Germany, as in case of countries like Canada or the United Kingdom. We overcame this problem by developing an approach using pseudonymisation of personal identifiers, data encryption and probabilistic record linkage (17).

*-Limitations:* Only information available in the datasets of MDK and the cancer registry could be used. As complete data could only be obtained from one region, the number of cancer cases was sufficiently large to analyze only the three most common cancer diagnoses. Data on follow-up (second medical examination) was limited and this may compromise the interpretation of findings on the progression of nursing care needs. Also, deaths are documented in the cancer registry but not by the MDK database, which could bias comparisons between cancer and non-cancer patients. Studies with larger study populations and



preferably a prospective design could help to analyse the need for nursing care among other cancer diagnoses and to obtain more reliable data about the progression of nursing care needs of cancer patients. Enrolling incident cancer cases prospectively would also allow estimating the proportion of cancer patients applying for nursing care support. However, until sufficient patient-years among elderly people have been accrued in large cohort-studies, registry-based studies such as ours are needed to fill the gap.

## Conclusion

Patients applying for nursing care support because of colon, breast or prostate cancer needed higher levels of support in spite of being younger than non-cancer patients (reference group). Also, their condition seems to deteriorate faster as they are re-examined after shorter time periods. Multimorbid cancer survivor patients, in

whom cancer was not the main reason for their application for nursing care support, did not differ from the reference group in most parameters.

**Conflict of interest:** None.

## Authors' contribution

JS and OR conceived the study. KK, WS, JB, and VK provided the data and prepared the datasets. JB, KK, VK and JS performed the data analysis. JB, JS and OR wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to discussion of the results and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

Funded by German Cancer Aid ("Deutsche Krebshilfe", project number 108232). The study sponsor had no involvement in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

We acknowledge support for the Article Processing Charge by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Open Access Publication Fund of Bielefeld University.

## References

1. Brenner H. Long-term survival rates of cancer patients achieved by the end of the 20th century: a period analysis. *Lancet* 2002;360:1131-5.
2. Cockle-Hearne J, Charnay-Sonnek F, Denis L, Fairbanks HE, Kelly D, Kav S, et al. The impact of supportive nursing care on the needs of men with prostate cancer: a study across seven European countries. *Brit J Cancer* 2013;109:2121-30.
3. Magnuson A, Allore H, Cohen HJ, Mohile SG, Williams GR, Chapman A, et al. Geriatric assessment with management in cancer care: Current evidence and potential mechanisms for future research. *J Geriatr Oncol* 2016;7:242-8.
4. Guerard EJ, Nightingale G, Bellizzi K, Burhenn P, Rosko A, Artz AS, et al. Survivorship Care for Older Adults with Cancer: U 13 Conference Report. *J Geriatr Oncol* 2016;7:305-12.
5. Salz T, Baxi S. Moving survivorship care plans forward: focus on care coordination. *Cancer Med* 2016;5:1717-22.
6. Karnakiis T, Gattás-Vernaglia IF, Saraiva MD, Gil-Junior LA, Kanaji AL, Jacob-Filho W. The geriatrician's perspective on practical aspects of the multidisciplinary care of older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol* 2016;7:341-5.
7. Medizinischer Dienst der Krankenversicherung Westfalen-Lippe. Wir über uns – Aufgaben und Leistungen. Available from:  
8. Robert Koch-Institut und die Gesellschaft der epidemiologischen Krebsregister (Eds). *Krebs in Deutschland 2005/2006. Häufigkeiten und Trends*. Berlin; 2010.
9. GEKID. *Cancer in Germany, incidence and trends*. Saarbrücken, GEKID; 2006. Available from: <http://www.ekr.med.uni-erlangen.de/GEKID/Doc/kid2006.pdf> (accessed: July 30, 2018).
10. EKR Epidemiologisches Krebsregister für den Regierungsbezirk Münster (Eds.). *Krebserkrankungen im Regierungsbezirk Münster, Band 3. Bericht für die Jahre 1998 – 2002*. Münster, EKR; 2004.
11. Landeszentrum Gesundheit Nordrhein-Westfalen. *Indikatoren der Ländergesundheits-Berichterstattung. Indikator 3.49\_01, Jahr 2009*. Available from: <http://www.lzg.gc.nrw.de/00indi/0data/03/html/0304901052009.html> (accessed: July 30, 2018).
12. Breckenkamp J, Spallek J, Kraywinkel K, Krieg V, Schwabe W, Greiner W, et al. Abgleich von Verwaltungsdaten des Medizinischen Dienstes der Krankenversicherung mit Krebsregisterdaten. *Das Gesundheitswesen* 2012;74:e52-60.

13. SGB §15; Sozialgesetzbuch (SGB), Elftes Buch (XI) – Soziale Pflegeversicherung. Artikel 1 des Gesetzes vom 26. Mai 1994. §15 Stufen der Pflegebedürftigkeit. Available from: [http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/sgb\\_11/\\_15.html](http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/sgb_11/_15.html) (accessed: July 30, 2018).
14. Kirchberger I, Meisinger C, Heier M, Zimmermann AK, Thorand B, Autenrieth CS, et al. Patterns of multimorbidity in the aged population. Results from the KORA-Age study. PLoS One 2012;7:1.
15. Fuchs J, Busch M, Lange C, Scheidt-Nave C. Prevalence and patterns of morbidity among adults in Germany. Results of the German telephone health interview survey German Health Update (GEDA) 2009. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz 2012;55:576-8.
16. van den Bussche H, Koller D, Kolonko T, Hansen H, Wegscheider K, Glaeske G, et al. Which chronic diseases and disease combinations are specific to multimorbidity in the elderly? Results of a claims data based cross-sectional study in Germany. BMC Public Health 2011;11:101.
17. Schäfer I. Does multimorbidity influence the occurrence rates of chronic conditions? A claims data based comparison of expected and observed prevalence rates. PLoS One 2012;7:e45390.
18. Wagner A, Fleer B. Pflegebericht des Medizinischen Dienstes 2006. Medizinischer Dienst des Spitzenverbandes Bund der Krankenkassen e.V. Essen; 2007.
19. Wagner A, Brucker U. Pflegebericht des Medizinischen Dienstes 2001-2002. Medizinischer Dienst des Spitzenverbandes Bund der Krankenkassen e.V. Essen; 2003.
20. Hansen DG, Larsen PV, Holm LV, Rottmann N, Bergholdt SH, Søndergaard J. Association between unmet needs and quality of life of cancer patients: A population-based study. Acta Oncol 2013;52:391-9.
21. Puts MTE, Papoutsis A, Springall E, Tourangeau AE. A systematic review of unmet needs of newly diagnosed older cancer patients undergoing active cancer treatment. Support Care Cancer 2012;20:1377-94.
22. Maguire R, Papadopoulou C, Kotronoulas G, Simpson MF, McPhelim J, Irvine L. A systematic review of supportive care needs of people living with lung cancer. Eur J Oncol Nurs 2013;17:449-64.
23. Fennell ML. Nursing homes and cancer care. Health Serv Res 2009;44:6.
24. Nitsch D, Morton S, De Stavola B, Clark H, Leon DA. How good is probabilistic record linkage to reconstruct reproductive histories? Results from the Aberdeen children of the 1950s study. BMC Med Res Methodol 2006;6:15.
25. Ronellenfitsch U, Kyobutungi C, Becher H, Razum O. Large-scale, population-based epidemiological studies with record linkage can be done in Germany. Eur J Epidemiol 2004;19:1073-4.

© 2018 Spallek et al; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.